



## The impact of ozone exposure, temperature and CO2 on the growth and yield of three spring wheat varieties

Hansen, Emilie Marie Øst: Hauggaard-Nielsen, Henrik: Launay, Marie: Rose, Paul; Mikkelsen, Teis Nørgaard

Published in: Environmental and Experimental Botany

DOI: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.103868

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (APA):

Hansen, E. M. Ø., Hauggaard-Nielsen, H., Launay, M., Rose, P., & Mikkelsen, T. N. (2019). The impact of ozone exposure, temperature and CO2 on the growth and yield of three spring wheat varieties. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, *168*, Article 103868. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.103868

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
  You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact rucforsk@kb.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	The impact of ozone exposure, temperature and CO <sub>2</sub> on the growth and yield of three spring wheat
2	varieties.

- 3
- 4 Emilie M. Ø. Hansen<sup>a</sup>, Henrik Hauggaard-Nielsen<sup>a</sup>, Marie Launay<sup>b</sup>, Paul Rose<sup>b</sup>, Teis N.
- 5 Mikkelsen<sup>c\*</sup>
- 6
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>a</sup> Department of People and Technology, Research group Environment, Energy, Transport,
- 8 Regulation, Innovation and Climate Policy, Universitetsvej 1, DK-4000 Roskilde
- 9 Roskilde University
- 10 <sup>b</sup> Unité Climat Sol et Environnement, INRA, Site Agroparc, 84914 Avignon Cedex 9, France
- 11 ° Department of Environmental Engineering, Section Air, Land and Water Resources, Technical
- 12 University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
- 13
- 14 \*Corresponding author: Teis N. Mikkelsen, DTU, temi@env.dtu.dk
- 15

34

## 17 ABSTRACT

18 When assessing potentials for crop production under future climatic conditions, multiple 19 environmental parameters need to be included. An increase in carbon dioxide [CO<sub>2</sub>], higher 20 temperatures, and regional changes in tropospheric ozone [O<sub>3</sub>] will influence the growth responses 21 of existing crop species and varieties. Ozone is phytotoxic and a plant stressor at current 22 concentrations, reducing yields worldwide, but possible interactions with changes in other abiotic 23 factors have been considered very little. In this study, we have used eight combinations: two levels 24 of temperature, two levels of  $[CO_2]$ , and three  $[O_3]$  exposure regimes to assess the impact of 25 medium-to-high ozone concentrations (80-100 ppb) on wheat growth when other abiotic factors 26 change. Two modern spring wheat varieties (KWS Bittern and Lennox) and a landrace variety 27 (Lantvete) were grown to maturity in climate chambers. We examined plant performance during growth as development rate, rate of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, water use, and at harvest 28 29 as total aboveground dry matter and grain yield. 30 All three varieties lost yield in all treatments compared to the ambient treatment that had the 31 following settings: Lowest temperature, ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>], and very low [O<sub>3</sub>]. For episodic ozone

32 exposure in the ambient or high [CO<sub>2</sub>] and high-level temperature treatment, the yield losses were

33 18 and 25%, respectively, for KWS Bittern; 44 and 34% for Lennox; and 16 and 37% for Lantvete.

35 eight treatments, although they are higher by weight in seven of the eight treatments. The landrace

The yields of the modern varieties are significantly higher than the landrace variety in two out of

36 variety's fraction loss from its highest grain yield in the ambient treatment to the high-[CO<sub>2</sub>]-and

- 37 high-level-temperature-treatments was smaller than the modern varieties', showing a comparably
- 38 higher degree of plasticity of performance. Current crop varieties might be more sensitive to ozone

- 39 than older varieties, emphasizing the need of future breeding programs to expand the gene pool to
- 40 provide more climate robust crops.

- 41
- 42 Keywords
- 43 Climate change, air pollution, ecophysiology, multifactorial design, ozone episodes

## 47 **1. Introduction**

48 The changes in climate relating to the climate scenarios' emission of carbon dioxide include 49 temperature increase, increased frequency of extreme weather events, regional aggravation of 50 current challenges and addition of new challenges (IPCC, 2014) influencing plant growth in natural 51 and agricultural ecosystems (Albert et al., 2011; Pleijel et al., 2018). These factors will not change 52 individually and their impact on ecosystems is increasingly investigated in multifactorial settings (Ingvordsen et al., 2015; Langley and Hungate, 2014; Namazkar et al., 2016). When addressing 53 54 responsiveness of existing crop species and varieties grown under future climatic conditions, 55 multiple environmental parameters must be included (Frenck et al., 2013; Vázquez et al., 2017). Both temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> are well-known parameters influencing the growth and development of 56 57 plants. Temperature influence amongst others enzyme activity and water relations of the plant and 58 as a single factor changing, temperature can be both too low and too high to sustain optimal plant 59 growth, the optimal temperature range depend on the genetics of the variety (Albert et al., 2011; 60 Frenck et al., 2011; Gibson and Paulsen, 1999). Being the substrate for photosynthesis, CO<sub>2</sub> 61 availability can be a limiting factor at ambient concentrations meaning that CO<sub>2</sub>-increases enhance 62 yields (AbdElgawad et al., 2015; Cure and Acock, 1986). However, simultaneous combinations of 63 changes in climatic factors may not result in additive effects (Clausen et al., 2011; Dieleman et al., 64 2012; Shaw et al., 2002). 65 In addition, the air pollutant, ozone, which is causing yield loss under the current climatic 66 conditions, may interact with the changing levels of other abiotic factors, and thus further impact 67 temporal and spatial plant growth patterns and yield (Pleijel et al., 2018). Exposure to elevated

68 ozone typically results in suppressed photosynthesis, accelerated senescence, decreased growth and

69 lower yields (Booker et al., 2009). However, there are major knowledge gaps when assessing the

70 threat that ozone plays (Ainsworth et al., 2012; Fuhrer and Booker, 2003).

71 Ozone is readily formed from available precursors in the presence of UV-radiation. The precursors 72 are natural or anthropogenic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOxs) 73 (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1993). The precursors may be carried long distances and result in both 74 peak ozone formations far from the precursor formation/emission and in a regional increase of 75 background concentration (Monks et al., 2015). Efforts to reduce precursor emission contribute to 76 regional control of ozone levels (Derwent et al., 2018). Precursors may also build up during periods 77 of low radiation, i.e. in winter, and result in a peak ozone period in spring when radiation increases 78 (Munir et al., 2013). In stable, high-pressure conditions, ozone concentration may build up to create 79 a peak ozone episode, whilst the ozone molecules may disperse into adjacent areas in more windy conditions (Kleanthous et al., 2014). 80

81 While everyday ozone concentrations reflect regional and local activities and conditions,

background ozone levels have been steadily rising with anthropogenic activity over the last century
(Lamarque et al., 2010). The background ozone concentration, which is a result of the mixing of air
and the emitted precursors, has also been projected to worsen in the future depending on possible
climate scenarios (Vingarzan, 2004) due to emissions from industrialization and other human
activities (Wild et al., 2012). Ozone concentration varies with the time of day and season, based on
precursor availability and regional weather, and plants may therefore be exposed to periods of
ozone peaks as well as the increase in background ozone.

The cost of the plant's defense mechanism against ozone is that fewer carbon substances are available for allocation to build biomass, both above and below ground (Calatayud et al., 2011). Thus, ozone limits plant growth and agricultural yields, and Mills et al. (2018) estimate a global yield gap of 7.1% in wheat at the background levels and peak ozone incidents prevailing under

93 current climatic conditions. Effects of changes in climatic factors and levels of ozone concentration

94 can be synergistic or antagonistic interactions with the defense mechanism influencing plant growth95 and yield in unknown ways (Larsen et al., 2011).

96 In the present study, wheat (Triticum aestivum) is used as model crop selected for its global 97 importance as staple food in many countries (Wrigley, 2009). Wheat yields have increased vastly 98 with breeding, research, development, and intensification of production for the last 50 years, 99 however, the rate of yield increase has diminished in the past 30 years (Brisson et al., 2010). The 100 decline in soil carbon content may be one explanation (Brisson et al., 2010), and the combined 101 increase of CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration and temperature another (Dieleman et al., 2012). While an increase 102 in [CO<sub>2</sub>] has a growth promoting effect on many plants as the substrate of photosynthesis, and 103 higher temperatures increase the rates of activity in many biochemical processes, the combination 104 of CO<sub>2</sub>-enrichment and temperature increase may have a less than additive effect on the plant 105 biomass (Dieleman et al., 2012; Shaw et al., 2002). As ozone is known to reduce the yield of cereals 106 (Broberg et al., 2015), the increase in tropospheric ozone with anthropogenic activity (Lamarque et 107 al., 2010) suggests that the increasing ground-level ozone concentration cannot be ignored and 108 might be a threat to future food production through interactions with other climate factors.

109

110 The aim of this study was to investigate eight climate treatments reflecting current conditions and 111 future scenarios. From seed to maturity, the wheat varieties were regularly evaluated for a range of 112 growth indicators to learn how the combinations of abiotic factors influenced the development and 113 yield of the wheat plants. The following four hypotheses were tested: i) Yields of modern varieties 114 are higher than yields of the old variety; ii) Episodic ozone exposure at Zadoks' growth stages 115 (ZS)31-69 is as injurious to wheat yields as full-time ozone exposure; iii) The combined effect of 116 elevated  $[CO_2]$  and elevated temperature, which stimulate plant growth less than expected by the 117 elevation of each factor individually is further aggravated by exposure to elevated ozone

- 118 concentrations; iv) Differences in yields are reflected in the way growth parameters respond to the
- 119 treatments during growth.

### 121 **2.** Materials and methods

### 122 2.1. Climate chamber experiment

123 The study was done in climate chambers that provided a controlled environment and uniform 124 conditions, thus eliminating other potentially interacting parameters. The RERAF phytotron (Risø Environmental Risk Assessment Facility, Technical University of Denmark, Risø, Denmark) 125 126 consists of six gastight chambers sized 6 x 4 x 3 m, providing detailed control of temperature, 127 [CO<sub>2</sub>], air humidity, light, and ozone concentration and exposure duration. Chamber air was exchanged at a rate of 4 m<sup>3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, resulting in a complete exchange of chamber air every 18 hours and 128 a wind speed of less than 0.6 m s<sup>-1</sup> (measured in earlier experiments). The lighting produced 313-129 389 µmol depending on chamber. The facility's computational system logged treatment parameters 130 in high resolution. For detailed description of the physical facility see Frenck et al. (2011), Clausen 131 132 et al. (2011) & Ingvordsen et al., (2015).

133

#### 134 2.2. Common input

135 The studied spring wheat varieties included two modern varieties: Lennox (Saaten-Union) used in 136 southern France and KWS Bittern (DanishAgro) used in Denmark, and one landrace variety: the 137 Swedish Lantvete (Nordic Genetic Resource Center). All with a life span of approx. 3-4 months. 138 Twelve seeds of the spring wheat varieties tested were sown in 11 L pots filled with 4 kg of sphagnum (Pindstrup Substrate No. 4, Pindstrup Mosebrug A/S, Ryomgaard, Denmark) and 139 reduced to eight plants after germination, corresponding to  $\sim 165$  plants m<sup>-2</sup>. Each variety was 140 represented in each treatment with five pots. No additional nutrients were added to the pots as the 141 142 sphagnum was nutrient enriched. The watering water was tap water.

143 CO<sub>2</sub> was provided by <u>AGA A/S (Linde Worldwide, Copenhagen, Denmark)</u> and ozone was

144 generated by the use of UV Pro 550 A ozone generators (Crystal Air Products and Services,

Langley, BC, Canada). The UV-lamps in the ozone generators were at times partially shaded with
 cardboard to reduce the amount of ozone generated.

147 The experiment lasted from March  $6^{\text{th}}$  to June  $25^{\text{th}}$  2016.

148

149 2.3. Growth conditions

150 2.3.1. Treatments

151 Eight treatments were tested in total. They were selected among possible combinations of two 152 present and future temperature levels (19/12 °C or 24/17 °C, both levels simulating days (16h) that 153 are warmer than nights (8h)), two concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> (400 and 700 ppm), and one of three ozone 154 regimes: 1) no ozone enrichment, 2) episodic ozone exposure (.EpO3), and full-time ozone 155 exposure (.O3) (Fig. 1). The 'no ozone' ozone-enrichment treatments act as filtered air treatments, 156 as ozone concentrations in the climate chambers 'background levels' ( $5.9 \pm 0.5$  and  $7.2 \pm 1.7$  ppb, 157 see Table 1) are lower than the outside average ozone concentration near the RERAF phytotron 158 with an average of 40.4 ppb, and maximum ozone one-hour concentrations between 70,9-86,6 ppb 159 (calculated with data from 2013-16 (May-July; 8am - 20pm), from station DK0012R, Danish 160 Center for Environment and Energy, Aarhus University). For both the episodic and full-time ozone 161 exposure, the ozone concentration target was 80-100 ppb during the day, thus resulting in 16 hours 162 of daytime ozone exposure, at night the ozone concentration was as the chamber background 163 measured in the 'no ozone enrichment' treatments. Unlike the full-time exposure treatments, which 164 started at sowing, the ozone exposure of the .EpO3 treatments began when the Lennox variety 165 reached Zadoks' developmental stage 31 (ZS31 - first node detectable) and ended when Lennox 166 reached stage 69 (ZS69 - anthesis complete) (Zadoks and Board, 1974). Thus, the number of days 167 of ozone exposure varied as plant development varied depending on climate. Relative humidity was 168 kept at 70/55% (day/night) for all treatments.

- 169 The following eight treatments were represented in this experiment: A, A.EpO3, A.O3, C.EpO3,
- 170 CT, CT.EpO3, CT.O3, and T.EpO3 as shown in Fig. 1. A denotes 'ambient conditions' with
- 171 temperatures that correspond to good growing conditions during the growth season of spring wheat
- 172 in Denmark, and a CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration corresponding to present average atmospheric concentrations;
- 173 C denotes an elevated level of [CO<sub>2</sub>], and T denotes a higher temperature.



- 175 Fig. 1: The selected climate combinations are named A, A.EpO3, A.O3 (ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>], lower temperature settings and no addition (), episodic addition (.EpO3) or chronic addition of ozone 176 177 (.O3)) and CT, CT.EpO3. CT.O3 (high [CO<sub>2</sub>] (C), higher temperature settings (T), and no addition (), episodic addition (.EpO3) or chronic addition of ozone (.O3)). Two treatments, C.EpO3 and 178 179 T.EpO3 have episodic addition of ozone (EpO3) and either higher [CO<sub>2</sub>] or higher temperature 180 settings. The aim for concentration of ozone in the chambers was 80-100 ppb. The episodic 181 exposure started at Zadoks' growth stage ZS31 and ended at stage ZS69. A.EpO3 and CT.EpO3 were transferred to the corresponding full-time ozone exposure chamber for ozone exposure and 182 returned after exposure, while C.EpO3 and T.EpO3 received ozone exposure in their own chambers 183 184 for the episode from ZS31-ZS69.
- 185
- 186 2.3.2. Watering regime
- 187 Plants were watered three times a week to provide a full supply of water; the warm treatment plants
- 188 were, by design and by consumption, given more water than ambient treatment plants and all plants
- 189 got increasingly more water as they grew. Pots were weighed before and after watering to ensure

the same amount of water was accessible in the treatment regardless of the pot's previousconsumption.

192

193 2.4. Potential chamber gradient effects

194 To minimize influences from potential gradients of treatment factors or chamber microclimates, the

195 tables in each chamber were moved to different positions in the chamber following each watering.

196 Also, once a week we moved the treatments between the chambers to reduce the risk of intrinsic

197 traits of one chamber to manifest in any one treatment.

198

199 2.5. Process values and measurements of plant growth parameters

200 Process values of treatment parameters such as relative humidity, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and

201 temperature were logged by a data collection system several times per minute. The ozone

202 concentration was monitored sequentially, i.e. twice every hour. Photosynthesis, stomatal

203 conductance, transpiration, and other parameters were measured once or twice a week throughout

the experiment using two LI-6400 portable photosynthesis systems (LICOR, 2004). The LiCor light

205 was set to 1500 µmol and the other settings settings followed the treatment parameters. The gas

206 exchange measurements were done at the second leaf, i.e. at any time the youngest fully expanded

leaf, representing throughout the life time of the wheat plants the most productive leaf, and hencethe productivity of the plant as a whole as the most ozone-exposed leaves as well as senescence will

209 cause main photosynthesis to take part in other leaves.

Water consumption was recorded by weighing the individual pots before and during watering; the plants' heights were measured; the development stage assessed according to Zadok's growth stages

212 (Zadoks and Board, 1974) and noted. Ozone was not switched off during measurements and

213 watering.

214	Leaf discoloration was assessed at four different dates following the initiation of ozone exposure in
215	the episodic ozone exposure treatments (starting at 42 and 43 days after sowing). The ratio of green
216	leaf area to total leaf area on the third leaf was assessed by image processing photos of the
217	harvested leaf with the software ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). At sampling one leaf in each
218	treatment was harvested.
219	Grain and aboveground biomass were weighed at the end of the experiment. Gluten index was
220	determined by the ICC 155 procedure by the Nordic Seed Laboratory Services.
221	
222	2.6. Ozone treatment recap, fluxes and plant ozone uptake.
223	The ozone flux depends on the ozone concentration in the air, the degree of stomatal opening
224	(which, among other factors, depends on water status and CO <sub>2</sub> availability), and the ozone
225	molecules' resistance to cross the boundary layer of the leaves. As the latter was not measured, the
226	resistance part of the equation is left out and any assumptions would only reflect the similarity of
227	wind conditions in the chambers. Thus, ozone flux is the product of the hourly ozone concentrations
228	(in nmol m <sup>-3</sup> ) and the stomatal ozone conductance (H <sub>2</sub> O mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ). The stomatal conductance was
229	measured approximately six times in each variety of every treatment, and the values for the days
230	between measurements were found by linear interpolation. Ozone conductance was found by the
231	ratio of molecule size $O_3$ to $H_2O$ (0.66) and converted from mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> into m s <sup>-1</sup> (Monks et al.,
232	2015). From the obtained values for fluxes of ozone into the plant, the accumulated plant uptake of
233	ozone above a threshold of 6 was aggregated, giving a unit of mmol O <sub>3</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> PLA (Projected Leaf
234	Area). The threshold of 6 mmol O <sub>3</sub> reflects the sensitivity of wheat plants (ICP Vegetation, 2017).
235	The data logging system occasionally failed to log ozone data, and thus data gap filling has been
236	necessary for the summation of ozone into the plants. Gap filling for single or a few missing data

- 237 points was done using the average of the 12 closest measurements. The average of daytime ozone
- concentration (ppb) in treatment and as background can be found in Table 1.
- 239
- 240
- Table 1. The average daytime concentration of ozone in the eight different treatments with ozone
- 242 levels in ppb and i) No ozone treatment equivalent to background concentration in the chambers, ii)
- 243 daily average of episodic ozone exposure and iii) average of chronic ozone exposure. Averages
- based on ~32 recordings of ozone concentration per growing day. Further explanation of treatment
- abbreviations see Fig. 1.

	Episodic	relocation			
Treatment	Base	Exposure	No ozone	Episodic ozone	Chronic ozone
	treatment	treatment			
А			6.4 ±2.1	-	
A.EpO3	А	A.O3	6.4 ±2.1	$84.5 \pm 28.1$	
A.O3					$78.8 \pm 32.4$
C.EpO3			6.8 ±1.3	$82.7 \pm 35.2$	
CT			5.9 ±0.5	-	
CT.EpO3	CT	CT.O3	5.9 ±0.5	80.1 ±35.9	
CT.O3				-	$88.5 \pm 22.0$
T.EpO3			7.2 ±1.7	98.9 ±22.5	

- 246
- 247

## 248 2.7. Data analysis

249 Data were statistically analyzed using the statistical software R or Microsoft Excel and tested with

250 Breusch-Pagan test, pairwise t-tests and anovas. Levels of  $p \le 0.05$  were considered significant.

251 Models that were tested followed the formula of e.g. Grain Yield =  $\gamma$  (Treatment<sub>i</sub> · Variety<sub>i</sub>) +e<sub>i</sub>.

252 Different physiological responses, such as biomass or photosynthesis were tested for dependence on

- 253 the climate treatments or elements thereof, i.e. ozone on/off, climates relating to the
- 254 CO<sub>2</sub>/temperature combinations (e.g. Tables 4 and 6).

# **3. Results**

257 The results are consequently displayed 'per pot' to underline the phytotron experiment design.

Nevertheless, the grain yield range of  $30.8 \pm 1.0$  to  $55.7 \pm 1.1$  g pot<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2) converts to  $5.0 \pm 0.2$  to

 $12.1 \pm 0.2$  tons ha<sup>-1</sup>. Unless otherwise indicated, results are displayed with standard errors.

260



Fig. 2. Grain yields with standard errors, sorted from the highest to the lowest; blue squares, purple triangles, and green circles indicate if a variety is KWS Bittern, Lennox or Lantvete, respectively. Colored bars indicate groups of no significant difference. Grey columns indicate how many other treatment\*varieties this treatment\*variety is significantly different from ( $p \le 0.05$ ). n=5. Further explanation of treatment abbreviations see Fig. 1.

267

- 268
- 269 3.1. Experimental levels of treatment parameters.
- 270 Treatment parameters are reported with standard deviations,  $TP = X \pm SD$ , each average is based on
- 271 10-15 recordings per growing day or night, the data is not shown. The average temperatures in the





06-03-2017 26-03-2017 15-04-2017 05-05-2017 25-05-2017 14-06-2017

Fig. 3. Water consumption in mm in the treatments consuming most and the least water, Lantvete
 T.EpO3 (orange circles) and Lennox C.EpO3 (green triangles). n=5, with standard errors. The
 horizontal bars indicate the daily average for each treatment.

287

288 3.2. Grain yields

Grain yields were significantly influenced by the main effects of treatment, variety and interactions 289 between them (p-values of resp. 2.2E-16, 1.11E-11, and 9.55E-05). Elevated temperatures 290 291 outcompete other effects (Table 2), whereas at ambient temperatures i) ozone significantly reduces 292 yield (except for Lennox A.EpO3) and ii) elevated CO<sub>2</sub> mitigates effects of episodic ozone 293 exposure EpO3, only in the Lantvete variety. On average, across all treatments, yields of the 294 landrace variety, Lantvete, were significantly lower than the modern varieties, p = 0.0013 (KWS 295 Bittern) and p = 0.00012 (Lennox). Similarly, across the varieties, treatment A had significantly 296 higher yields than all other treatments (p < 0.01), and treatment C.EpO3 had significantly higher 297 yields than treatment A.O3 (p = 0.0004) and CT.O3 (p = 0.02). There were interactions between the 298 varieties and treatments which led to significant differences between some treatment\*variety-299 combinations, e.g. A Lennox, CT.EpO3 Bittern and A.O3 Lantvete (Fig.2). Within a treatment, the 300 varieties only showed a significant difference in A.EpO3, where Lantvete was different from both 301 Lennox and KWS Bittern, and in CT, where Lantvete was different from Lennox but not from KWS 302 Bittern. All three varieties lost grain yield relative to treatment A, but the losses were differently 303 distributed between the treatments without any patterns between losses of grain yield and biomass 304 to explain loss or gain of harvest index (Table 2). A high harvest index (ratio grain yield mass to 305 total aboveground biomass (grain and vegetative biomass)) indicates a high-yielding variety 306 (Peltonen-Sainio et al., 2008). Modern varieties have been bred to be high-yielding and as such 307 have high harvest indexes, but the production of non-reproductive biomass may serve other 308 purposes, e.g. an increased resilience capacity towards biotic and abiotic stresses (Dolferus, 2014). 309 Lantvete had the highest biomass production, which led to lower harvest indexes than the modern

310	varieties (Table 2). In the colder treatments, Lantvete's harvest index decreased with ozone
311	exposure. In warmer treatments, Lantvete's harvest indexes increased compared to the colder
312	treatments, but ozone exposure diminished that increase. For Lennox and KWS Bittern, the harvest
313	index decreased with full-time ozone in the colder treatments and in general in the warmer
314	treatments (Table 2). Lennox lost the same percentage of biomass in the colder treatments
315	regardless of ozone exposure and elevation of [CO <sub>2</sub> ]. In the warm T.EpO3, Lennox lost the most
316	biomass of all treatments. However, it lead to an increase in harvest index. KWS Bittern lost the
317	most biomass in the warmer CO <sub>2</sub> -enriched treatment with no ozone, CT; however the grain yield
318	loss in that treatment was not the most severe and there was an increase in harvest index.
319 320 321	Table 2. Grain yield change, Aboveground DM (grain and vegetative dry matter) and change, Harvest Index and change relative to the best yielding treatment A. For grain yield, see Fig. 2. Harvest Index (HI) is grain yield divided by Aboveground DM. Comparison within same variety,

n=5. Star symbol indicate if a change relative to treatment A is statistically significant. Further
 explanation of treatment abbreviations see Fig. 1.

Variety	Treatment	Grain yield	Abovegr	ound DM	Harvest In	dex
		Change (%)	a pot <sup>-1</sup>	Change (%)	ш	Change
		Change (70)	g pot	Change (70)	111	(%)
KWS	А	$0 \pm 1$	$76.4 \pm 1.9$	$0\pm 2$	$0.41 \pm 0.006$	$0 \pm 2$
Bittern	A.EpO3	-18 ±1*	$62.8 \pm 1.7$	-18 ±2	$0.44 \pm 0.005$	$0 \pm 2$
	A.O3	-35 ±2*	$66.0 \pm 1.9$	-14 ±3	$0.35 \pm 0.005$	-16 ±2
	C.EpO3	-14 ±1	$65.8 \pm 1.5$	-14 ±2	$0.44 \pm 0.004$	$0 \pm 2$
	CT	-28 ±5*	52.6 ±5.3	-31 ±7*	$0.44 \pm 0.013$	3 ±3
	CT.EpO3	-25 ±3*	$62.1 \pm 6.0$	-19 ±8	$0.42 \pm 0.014$	-4 ±4
	CT.O3	-32 ±2*	55.3 ±2.0	-28 ±3*	$0.4 \pm 0.008$	-3 ±2
	T.EpO3	-32 ±5*	$61.6 \pm 8.1$	-19 ±11	$0.42 \pm 0.039$	-8 ±9
Lantvete	А	0 ±2	$104.1 \pm 3.7$	0 ±4	$0.3 \pm 0.005$	0 ±2
	A.EpO3	-44 ±4*	84.6 ±2.6	-19 ±3	$0.27 \pm 0.015$	-15 ±5
	A.O3	-45 ±2*	82.5 ±2.9	-21 ±3*	$0.27 \pm 0.003$	-14 ±2
	C.EpO3	-26 ±3	92.2 ±4.1	-29 ±18	$0.31 \pm 0.013$	-3 ±4
	CT	-39 ±3*	66.6 ±1.6	-36 ±2*	$0.34 \pm 0.011$	6 ±4
	CT.EpO3	-34 ±4*	72.9 ±1.4	-30 ±1*	$0.34 \pm 0.011$	6 ±4
	CT.O3	-36 ±3*	$79.0 \pm 2.8$	-24 ±3*	$0.31 \pm 0.013$	-2 ±4
	T.EpO3	-33 ±3*	$70.7 \pm 1.9$	-32 ±2*	$0.34 \pm 0.009$	9 ±3
Lennox	А	$0 \pm 1$	71.8 ±2.7	0 ±4	$0.44 \pm 0.004$	$0 \pm 1$
	A.EpO3	-16 ±1	$60.0 \pm 0.8$	-16 ±1	$0.44 \pm 0.005$	$1 \pm 2$
	A.O3	-31 ±3*	$61.0 \pm 0.7$	-15 ±1	$0.39 \pm 0.01$	-12 ±2
	C.EpO3	-22 ±5*	60.5 ±5.1	-16 ±7	$0.42 \pm 0.035$	-3 ±8
	CT	-17 ±3	63.1 ±2.7	-12 ±4	$0.42 \pm 0.006$	-3 ±2
	CT.EpO3	-37 ±6*	73.6 ±3.4	2 ±5	$0.32 \pm 0.029$	-26 ±7*
	CT.O3	-31 ±4*	$63.3 \pm 6.9$	-12 ±10	$0.39 \pm 0.034$	-11 ±8
	T.EpO3	-22 ±3*	$56.9 \pm 3.8$	-21 ±5	$0.44 \pm 0.025$	$0 \pm 6$

325

326 3.3. Ozone uptake and grain yield.

The A and CT treatments contained all three regimes of ozone exposure (Fig. 4). For the A-327 treatments, the correlation between grain yield and ozone dose was between R<sup>2</sup> 0.57 and 0.95, 328 329 indicating that the increase in ozone dose contributed considerately to the explanation of yield loss. 330 Furthermore, as indicated by the regression equations in Table 3, yield change due to an increased 331 ozone dose was higher in the A-treatments than in the CT-treatments, where the increase in ozone uptake explained only 11 to 55% of the change in yield, due to the detrimental effect of elevated 332 333 temperature in CT treatments. In both A and CT-treatments, it was the landrace variety's yield change that was least correlated with the increase in ozone dose (R<sup>2</sup>-values of 0.57 (A-settings) and 334

335 0.11 (CT settings) versus 0.95 and 0.93 in A for KWS Bittern and Lennox resp., and 0.55 and 0.40 336 in CT). But it may be worth noticing that the Lantvete's  $R^2 = 0.11$  in CT-settings covered a yield-337 increase in the same order of magnitude as the KWS Bittern's yield loss in those settings. The 338 anova in Table 4 specifies that in addition to ozone dose (p= 1.71E-09), the main effects of variety 339 and climate as well as interactions between the factors significantly influence grain yield.



Plant ozone uptake, mmol O<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup>

341

Fig. 4. Grain yield relating to different amounts of ozone uptake in the two general climate settings;
A (ambient [CO2] + low temperature) and CT (high [CO2] + high temperature). The varieties are
presented by color and shape; KWS Bittern (blue squares), Lennox (purple triangles), and Lantvete
(green circles). Solid lines and filled data marks are A treatments and dashed lines with open data
marks are CT treatments. n = 5.

347

Table 2. Regression equations and correlation coefficients of relation between the wheat varieties' grain yield and plant ozone uptake in ambient temperature and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (A) and

- 350 combined elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and elevated temperature treatments (CT).
- 351

	Variety	Regression equation	$\mathbb{R}^2$
А	KWS Bittern	-1.7 + 54.1	0.95
	Lennox	-1.0 + 53.3	0.93
	Lantvete	-1.9 + 43.2	0.57
CT	KWS Bittern	-0.1 + 41.2	0.55
	Lennox	-0.5 + 43.5	0.40
	Lantvete	0.1 + 35.0	0.11







363 Fig. 5. Grain yield of the varieties sorted in treatments. KWS Bittern, Lantvete and Lennox are blue,

- 364 green and purple, respectively. The plant ozone uptake values of varieties in ozone-exposed
- treatments are marked with white interruptions on the relevant bar; read at secondary axis. n=5. The marks of no significant difference between the treatments relate to the individual variety (letters =
- 367 KWS Bittern, numbers = Lantvete, capital letters = Lennox).

368 Table 3. Anova results for the test of Grain yield as a function of main effects and interactions of

369	Ozone Uptake (OU), in the climate treatments of either ambient $[CO_2]$ + low temperature (A-), or
370	high $[CO_2]$ + high temperature (CT-) and Variety.

Grain Yield	Pr(>F)	
Climate	1.01E-03	**
OU	1.71E-09	***
Variety	8.14E-11	***
Climate : OU	1.06E-07	***
Climate : Variety	2.80E-03	**
OU : Variety	0.915516	
Climate : OU : Variety	0.007374	**

<sup>371</sup> 

373	3.4. Plant performanc	e
-----	-----------------------	---

374 3.4.1. Photosynthesis versus intercellular carbon dioxide.

375 The amount of photosynthesis taking place at different availabilities of carbon dioxide is illustrated

in Fig. 6 for each variety. If the photosynthetic apparatus is well-functioning, it responds to an

377 increase in CO<sub>2</sub> with an increase in photosynthesis. In the figures, the CO<sub>2</sub>-component of the

378 treatments divides the possible increase in photosynthesis depending on treatment. As expected, the

379 CO<sub>2</sub>-enrichments resulted in measurements of high rates of photosynthesis, but also measurements

380 of low rates of photosynthesis at high levels of carbon dioxide. The CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration in the plant

381 cells of the non-CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched treatments was less variated in distribution, and photosynthesis never

382 peaked as high as in the C-treatments but were more often in the lower range (more PS<10 than in

383 C-treatments).

384 The T.EpO3 Lennox, CT.O3 Lantvete, and A.O3 Lantvete, all had negative relations between

intercellular carbon dioxide and photosynthesis (Fig. 7). The majority of the other

386 treatments\*varieties have regression slopes with values of 0.05 or less, only six have higher values,

387 among these are all A.EpO3-varieties, modern varieties of A, and Lantvete from the CT-treatment.

388 Table 5 shows the variance analysis for the photosynthesis' dependence on ozone, treatment,

389 intercellular carbon, and variety.

390 Table 4. Anova result of the test for Rate of photosynthesis as a function of main effects of variety

391	and main effects and interactions of intercellular carbon, ozone (on/off), (high/low) temperature	
392	setting.	

Photosynthesis ~ Variety + Ci * ozone * Temp	Pr(>F)	
Variety	2.46E-07	***
Ci	< 2.2e-16	***
Ozone	1.37E-02	*
Temp	9.63E-07	***
Ci : Ozone	0.001023	**
Ci : Temp	6.11E-06	***
Ozone : Temp	1.94E-13	***
Ci : Ozone : Temp	2.24E-03	**

<sup>393</sup> 

395 3.4.2. 1000-grain weight, water consumption, protein content, and gluten index.

396 The protein mass was, on average across the treatments,  $5.8 \pm 0.1$ ,  $5.8 \pm 0.2$  and  $5.2 \pm 0.1$  g for KWS 397 Bittern, Lennox and Lantvete, respectively. There was statistically significant interaction between 398 treatments and varieties (Table 6), although the data for individual treatments are not shown here. 399 Gluten index was on average across the treatments  $28.7 \pm 1.9$ ,  $29.4 \pm 2.5$  and  $30.8 \pm 0.8$  (with SD) for 400 KWS Bittern, Lennox and Lantvete, respectively. There was significant interaction between 401 treatment and variety. The individual treatment\*varieties are illustrated with grain yield in Fig. 8. 402 The 1000-grain weight was also significantly influenced by interactions between treatment and 403 variety. The total water consumption reflected the different water use efficiencies between the 404 landrace and the modern varieties, with the modern varieties consuming less water than the landrace 405 (Fig. 8).

<sup>394</sup> 



Fig. 6. Obtained net photosynthesis/ intercellular CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration over time. The varieties are
 referred to by the data mark shapes; squares, circles, triangles are KWS Bittern, Lantvete, and

- 409 Lennox, respectively. The colors refer to Fig. 1 of the treatments: lightest blue (A), medium blue
- 410 (A.EpO3), dark blue (A.O3,) orange (T.EpO3), yellow with black outline (CT), tan (CT.EpO3),
- 411 dark tan (CT.O3), and green (C.EpO3). Above the horizontal axis is marked the duration of ozone
- 412 exposure in the colors of the treatments (dashed lines represent carbon enrichment). See Fig. 1 for
- 413 further explanation of treatment abbreviations.
- 414
- 415 Table 6. Anova results of test of protein content (g pot<sup>-1</sup>), gluten index (ICC 155), 1000-grain
- 416 weight  $(g \text{ pot}^{-1})$  and vegetative dry matter  $(g \text{ pot}^{-1})$  as functions of main effects and interactions of 417 treatment and variety.

	Protein content	Gluten index	1000-grain weight	Vegetative DM
Treatment	< 2.2E-16 ***	< 2.2E-16 ***	< 2.2E-16 ***	9.50E-12 ***
Variety	0.2898	< 2.2E-16 ***	< 2.2E-16 ***	< 2.2E-16 ***
Treatment : Variety	7.59E-12 ***	< 2.2E-16 ***	0.000188 ***	0.000244 ***



422 Fig. 7. In descending order, the regression slope values (circles) from Fig. 6 Rate of photosynthesis

423 versus intercellular CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration. Correlation coefficient, R<sup>2</sup> (dashes).



Fig. 8. The three diagrams show the grain yield of the treatments presented in descending order but
clustered in variety (please note that the treatments do not show in the same order for all varieties).
Alongside the yield is the 1000-grain weight, the gluten index and the total water consumption. The
data marks are as follow: x (1000 grain weights), ◊ (gluten index) and o (total water consumption).
See Fig. 1 for explanation of treatment abbreviations.

- 430
- 431
- 432 3.4.3. The plant development and leaf discoloration.
- 433 The wheat plant varieties developed at a similar pace with differences induced by treatments (Fig.
- 434 9). The majority of the differences could be attributed to differences in temperature; however,
- 435 periods of 5-7 days of delay of development from CO<sub>2</sub>-enrichment and ozone exposure could be
- 436 detected at different times during growth, mostly in the lower temperature treatments.
- 437 Similar influence from temperature first and ozone second can be seen in the development of leaf
- 438 discoloration as in Fig. 10 showing also *some* inter-varietal differences. In the Lantvete variety the
- 439 different treatments induce the largest differences and in the KWS Bittern the smallest.



Fig. 9. The plant development after sowing, scored as Zadoks' growth stages 2-3 times a week, exemplified by the Lantvete variety. The colors refer to Fig. 1 of the treatments: lightest blue (A), medium blue (A.EpO3), dark blue (A.O3,) orange (T.EpO3), yellow (CT), tan (CT.EpO3), dark tan (CT.O3), and green (C.EpO3). Carbon-enriched treatments (C-) are shown as dashed lines. Above the horizontal axis is marked the duration of ozone exposure in the colors of the treatments (dashed lines represent carbon enrichment). Further explanation of treatment abbreviations in Fig. 1.



Fig. 10 Discoloration of second leaf at four dates in the three varieties in the eight treatments. The discoloration is shown as part of total leaf area being green. Square, circle and triangle data marks are KWS Bittern, Lantvete and Lennox respectively. The discoloration is assessed while all treatments were subjected to ozone exposure following the initiation of ozone exposure for the episodic ozone treatments. Further explanation of treatment abbreviations in Fig. 1.

# **4. Discussion**

The discussion includes the performance of facilities and settings to realize the chosen climate treatments, after which the discussion considers the results relating to the hypotheses.

457 4.1. Realized climates

Apart from the level of CO<sub>2</sub> in treatments with settings of ambient CO<sub>2</sub>, the logging of variables by 458 459 the RERAF facilities show that the experimental values in general were in accordance with the set 460 points of variables (see 3.1). The elevated temperature treatment could possibly have been so high 461 as to induce a moderate chronic heat stress to the wheat plants (Sandhu et al., 2018), contributing to 462 an explanation of the dominating impact of temperature relative to the ozone stress. 463 An unplanned increase in CO<sub>2</sub> level in a facility as RERAF, which cannot remove excess CO<sub>2</sub>, may 464 arise from several sources. The use of a growth medium with a high content of organic matter may 465 result in microbial decomposition causing a measurable impact on CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration as in 466 Ingvordsen et al. (2018). An increase at nighttime when no photosynthesis occur is similar to 467 natural ecosystems where increases in [CO<sub>2</sub>] are seen at low wind speeds (Mikkelsen et al., 2008), 468 and also suggested by Ingvordsen et al. (2018) as a possible explanation for their high average 469 [CO<sub>2</sub>]. In the present case, however, the set point was exceeded by approximately 150 ppm, approx 470 100 ppm higher than Ingvordsen et al. (2018) reported. One key difference to the Ingvordsen et al. 471 experiment, was that we did manual watering to obtain knowledge on the water consumption 472 throughout the plants' growth which increased human presence in the chambers. Human activities 473 from measuring and maintaining the plants in the chambers resulted in peaks of  $[CO_2]$  of 6-900 ppm 474 depending on the activity, and consequently the impact of human respiration on the CO<sub>2</sub>-475 concentration in the chambers were not adequately accounted for in the experiment set-up. The 476 increase in average chamber CO<sub>2</sub> compared to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>-concentration minimize the 477 detectable effects of the CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched treatments as the difference in plant functioning will be more 478 influenced by the first increase of [CO<sub>2</sub>] compared to the influence arising from the increase

479 assigned the CO<sub>2</sub>-enrichment-treatments. For future trials of a similar kind, exchanging the chamber 480 air at a higher rate than 4 m<sup>3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> could be explored for better neutralizing such peak CO<sub>2</sub>-

481 enrichments. An extract of measurements of carbon dioxide concentration from the chambers of

482 treatment A is shown in Fig. 11, where the nighttime respiration accumulation as well as the

483 attribution of human activity to chamber [CO<sub>2</sub>] can be seen.



Fig. 11. CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of treatment A, CO<sub>2</sub>-setting 400 ppm. Highlighted two days of no
human interference and one with. Arrows 'a' indicate the decrease in daytime when photosynthesis
is activated, 'b' indicate nighttime increase due to plant and soil respiration, and 'c' denote incidents
of human presence in the chamber for a shorter or longer period for watering or measuring reasons.

490

484

491 When growing agricultural crops in pots, there are many conditions that are different from field conditions. In this study, there were fewer plants m<sup>-2</sup> than would be normal in the field, and the 492 493 boundary conditions of the potted plants would be far from those of field-grown plants. Albeit 494 grown in sphagnum in pots and while inside climate chambers, the plants in this study grew to maturity and produced yields in a range from 5-12 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> (see 3. Results), which is better than, but 495 496 including, the Danish spring wheat yield average from 2017 of 5.2 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> (Lundø, 2017). A higher 497 yield in climate chambers than in fields is not rare, as many biotic and abiotic yield-reducing factors 498 are eliminated in climate chambers (Poorter et al., 2016). In the ambient treatments in this study,

ozone as a yield-reducing factor is eliminated due to the molecules' reactivity with surfaces through the ventilation system. In the ozone treatments high ozone concentrations were measured in the chambers, but due to low wind speed in the chambers ( $<0.6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) the boundary layer resistance can be assumed to have been considerable and that the plants therefore were less exposed to toxic levels of ozone than what the concentration itself provided. The light supply can be claimed to be insufficient compared to what can be measured in the field, however as it was constant we believe the drawbacks from a suboptimal lighting are evened by the consistency in the supply.

506

507 4.2. Older versus modern wheat varieties.

508 The modern varieties, KWS Bittern and Lennox, which we used in the experiment, were bred to 509 yield well and are used in Denmark and Southern France respectively, and the harvest index (Table 510 2) reveals that their higher yields are based on less biomass than the landrace variety's. Breeding of 511 varieties for modern production take place under current ozone conditions, and as so, modern 512 varieties are supposedly naturally adapted to the current increases in ozone concentration, but the 513 modern wheat varieties tend to be more sensitive to ozone (Biswas et al., 2008; Pleijel et al., 2006). 514 Studies examining the effects of filtered air as well as unfiltered air on the growth and yields of 515 wheat show significant yield loss at current concentrations of ozone, indicating that breeding is not 516 successful in obtaining full ozone resistance (Pleijel et al., 2018, 2006). On the other hand, the 517 landrace variety in this study yielded as poorly when temporarily exposed to ozone as when 518 constantly exposed, where the yield of the modern variety were not significantly different from no 519 ozone to temporary exposure. This indicates perhaps that the modern varieties are resistant to some 520 degree of ozone at the lower temperature settings compared to the resistance of the landrace. 521 The landrace variety used in this study has no commercial use at present, which points to its lack of ability to compete on yields or sales. The Lantvete yield is on the other hand only significantly 522

523 lower than the modern varieties in two treatments. Its larger biomass may be responsible for its 524 resilience towards the warmer CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched treatments (Lopes et al., 2015). The changes in harvest 525 indexes between the treatments reflect that the biomass allocation is a possible actor in the way the

526 varieties deal with the changes in growth related climatic factors (Table 2).

527 As Lennox is a common variety grown in the south of France, it could be expected to resist warmer

528 temperatures (in CT and T.EpO3 treatments in particular) better than KWS Bittern and Lantvete.

529 This seems to be the case: the yield loss in CT treatment is approx. 17% for Lennox, while it's 28%

and 39% for KWS Bittern and Lantvete. That is the only non-significant loss (p = 0.19) from the A

treatment to a warm treatment within the same variety, it is also significantly higher than the yield

532 of CT Lantvete (Fig. 2).

The yield losses in T.EpO3 treatment compared to the A.EpO3 are approx. 10% for Lennox and approx. 26% for KWS Bittern, while Lantvete gains 20% from A.EpO3 to T.EpO3. The differences in loss as a temperature response align with the geographic purpose of the two modern varieties, where Lennox is used in the south of Europe and KWS Bitten in more northern regions. The Lantvete gain is probably more related to the severe grain yield loss in A.EpO3 than to a proper acclimatization to elevated temperatures (table 2).

539

540 4.3. Episodic versus full time ozone exposure and effects on wheat yield

It was expected that the plants experiencing ozone as a longer-stretched episode at a critical timing lose as much in yields as plants that have adjusted to ozone from growing in a continuously medium-high ozone environment. The Lantvete variety had similar losses in the colder treatment, but the two modern varieties lost more in the A.O3 than in the A.EpO3 treatment (Fig. 4). It could be assumed that intraspecific variations in the ozone acclimation of growth physiological processes exist among wheat cultivars. Such results were shown on thermal acclimation of photosynthesis 547 among alfalfa cultivars (Zaka et al., 2016). However, it could also refer to the contradictory 548 conditions between ambient air ozone concentration and chamber background concentration of 549 ozone. Treatment A had in fact conditions resembling filtered air treatments, resulting in A 550 reflecting the natural selection for ozone adaptation in the modern varieties' yield. This aligns with 551 literature on ozone diminishing agricultural yields at present conditions (Pleijel et al., 2018). 552 In the warmer treatment, the correlation between the dose of phytotoxic ozone and grain yield was 553 not as strong as in the cold treatments (Table 3). In these wheat varieties, an adaptation to ozone to 554 reduce the impact of continuous ozone to the level of episodic ozone exposure was not found. 555 Linear correlations between grain yield and plant ozone uptake (reported as POD<sub>6</sub>) were also 556 reported by Harmens et al. (2018) and Grünhage et al (2012). The weaker correlations between 557 grain yield and ozone uptake in the warmer CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched treatments indicate that the climate 558 components influence the yields more than the ozone dose.

559

#### 560 4.4. Multiple factors and additional ozone exposure

561 Increases in [CO<sub>2</sub>] have been expected to induce a fertilizing effect on plant growth and the effect 562 of temperature follows a bell curve indicating that it may be too low as well as too high for 563 optimum plant functioning (Norby and Luo, 2004). The best yields in this study were attained in the 564 ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]/ low temperature treatment (Table 2), indicating that the additional parameters, 565 increase in  $[CO_2]$  and temperature, alone or combined all skewed the plant growth out of its 566 optimum. However, the less than additive positive effect of the combination of changes in climate 567 factors have been documented previously (Frenck et al., 2013; Ingvordsen et al., 2015; Shaw et al., 568 2002). Broberg et al. (2015) observe in their review that both negative and positive effects are to be 569 expected from ozone exposure.

570 The yields of CT and CT-ozone-treatments were not significantly different. If ozone uptake was the 571 main reason of yield reduction, increased ozone exposure should diminish the yields accordingly.

572 However, the uptake of ozone cannot alone explain the yield decrease of the CT-treatments, and the

573 climate treatment must be contributing.

574 The ozone taken up influenced the varieties' yields differently, for example, very different ozone

amounts were taken up in in T.EpO3, but with no comparative yield decline, stressing the

576 interactions of climate and variety on the grain yield (Fig. 5, Table 4). For the episodically exposed

577 treatments with elevated temperature, T.EpO3 and CT.EpO3, a possible effect of the stomatal

578 regulative effect of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] is visible qua the lower uptake of ozone in the CT.EpO3

treatment compared to the T.EpO3.

580

Although not significant, we observe that the distribution of Lantvete yields suggests a plasticity of the grain yield from A.EpO3 to CT.EpO3, indicating a trend of not losing additional yields with the future climate scenario. The modern varieties lose yield from A.EpO3 to CT.EpO3; Lennox

584 significantly, KWS Bittern not significantly.

From Fig. 4, the impact of ozone is presumably greater in colder temperature treatments, and the addition of ozone to a treatment with elevated  $[CO_2]$  and elevated temperature does not significantly increase injury to yields already affected by the higher temperature. However, Tai and Martin (2017) suggest an adaptation of crops to regional ozone and water constraints to induce a stronger ozone tolerance. Elevated  $[CO_2]$  may also influence the water relation. In this study, it was decided to keep the plants well-watered. However, had the plants lacked water at times, a better stoma regulation might have reduced the ozone uptake.

592

593 4.5. Growth parameters and responses during growth

594 The photosynthesis vs. intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> depicted in Fig. 6 a, b, c, show that the photosynthetic 595 apparatus of the plants worked as expected on altered input in all varieties and in most treatments. 596 From the sorting of regression slopes in Fig. 7, it seems that the photosynthetic apparatus was 597 affected by the amendment of ozone, and especially full-time ozone treatments resulted in negative 598 regression slopes. This is in accordance with the general perception that ozone has a direct negative 599 influence on photosynthesis (Mikkelsen, 1995; Mikkelsen and Ro-Poulsen, 2013). 600 Protein production could be depending on soil nutrient availability rather than treatment in this case 601 (Thomsen et al., 2008). The obtained amount of protein mass did not vary as much as grain yields, 602 but the baking quality in form of gluten index indicates that the quality and quantity was not tightly 603 linked in this case. Rather, the lower yields represented a better baking quality. The higher total water consumption (Fig. 8c) of the landrace variety is in accordance with the 604 605 higher biomass of the Lantvete (Table 2) and is a trait of a less efficient variety. 606 As expected, the phenological development of the plants in the treatments (Fig. 9) revealed a 607 stronger dependence on temperature than on any other treatment parameter. However, there was a 608 5-degree difference between colder and warmer treatments, and the strong reflection of temperature 609 influence could be caused by this biologically large leap. The impact of [CO<sub>2</sub>]-enrichment could be 610 detected on close observation of the development data (not shown here) and this impact may also 611 relate to the absolute difference between the treatment concentrations. 612 Due to the nature of the sampling of only one leaf in a treatment at a time the results of the 613 development of discoloration of leaves are indicative rather than significant, however, as seen in 614 Fig. 10, the varieties respond differently to the treatments, with the Lantvete variety showing more 615 variation than the modern varieties, and the modern expressing some difference in their response to 616 temperature.

#### 617 **5.** Conclusion

618

619 exposure regimes affected both growth and yield in spring wheat, while interacting with the studied 620 spring wheat varieties. On average, across all treatments, the landrace variety Lantvete had significantly lower yields than the modern varieties Lennox and KWS Bittern. However, the yields 621 622 were significantly lower within only two treatments; in the treatment with ambient levels of 623 temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] and episodically exposure to ozone (A.EpO3), and in the treatment with an 624 elevated level of temperature and [CO<sub>2</sub>] (CT). Within the other six treatments, there was no 625 significant difference between the yields of the three tested varieties. 626 The effect on yield with episodic ozone exposure relative to treatment A depended on variety. Yield 627 losses relative to treatment A were significant in all CT.EpO3 and T.EpO3, in A.EpO3 of KWS 628 Bittern and Lantvete, and in C.EpO3 of Lennox. Yield losses due to chronic ozone exposure were 629 significant in all varieties. Increased [CO<sub>2</sub>] and higher temperature without ozone exposure induced 630 significant loss of yield in Lantvete and KWS Bittern. Full-time exposure to ozone reduced yields 631 more than ozone exposure during limited time. The combination of climate factors and ozone has 632 shown that the effect of changes in climate factors on wheat yield influence the effect of ozone, and 633 that the influence of temperature can be detrimental regardless of ozone exposure. While an 634 increase in the ozone dose induced yield loss in the low temperature and ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatment, 635 the effect in the warm treatments was less clear and yields were lower even at low ozone doses. The 636 different reactions of the varieties to higher ozone doses in the warmer CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched treatments 637 compared to the reactions in the treatments with lower temperature and lower [CO<sub>2</sub>], lead to the 638 assumption that responses to the combined effects of climate factor changes (temperature, [CO<sub>2</sub>], 639 ozone) are due to either more or less additive intraspecific variations and adaptations or one of the 640 factors (e.g. elevated temperature) having an overriding influence on the impact of other factors.

The various combinations of two levels of temperature, two levels of  $[CO_2]$ , and three ozone

- 641 Declaration of interest
- 642 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

- 644 Acknowledgement
- 645 This research is part of the Joint Programming Initiative on Agriculture, Food Security and Climate
- 646 Change (FACCE-JPI) and funded by the FACCE-ERA-NET+ project: Climate–CAFÉ.
- 647 Saaten-Union, Danish AGRO and Nordic Genebank kindly provided the spring wheat seeds for the
- 648 study.
- 649

#### 651 REFERENCES

- 652 AbdElgawad, H., Farfan-Vignolo, E.R., Vos, D. De, Asard, H., 2015. Elevated CO2 mitigates
- drought and temperature-induced oxidative stress differently in grasses and legumes. Plant Sci.
- 654 231, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.11.001
- Ainsworth, E.A., Yendrek, C.R., Sitch, S., Collins, W.J., Emberson, L.D., 2012. The effects of
- tropospheric ozone on net primary productivity and implications for climate change. Annu.

657 Rev. Plant Biol. 63. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103829

- Albert, K.R., Mikkelsen, T.N., Michelsen, A., Ro-Poulsen, H., van der Linden, L., 2011. Interactive
- effects of drought, elevated CO2 and warming on photosynthetic capacity and photosystem
  performance in temperate heath plants. J. Plant Physiol. 168, 1550–1561.
- 661 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2011.02.011
- Biswas, D.K., Xu, H., Li, Y.G., Sun, J.Z., Wang, X.Z., Han, X.G., Jiang, G.M., 2008. Genotypic
- differences in leaf biochemical, physiological and growth responses to ozone in 20 winter
- wheat cultivars released over the past 60 years. Glob. Chang. Biol. 14, 46–59.
- 665 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01477.x
- Booker, F., Muntifering, R., Mcgrath, M., Burkey, K., Decoteau, D., Fiscus, E., Manning, W.,
- 667 Krupa, S., Chappelka, A., Grantz, D., 2009. The ozone component of global change: Potential
- 668 effects on agricultural and horticultural plant yield, product quality and interactions with
- 669 invasive species. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 51, 337–351. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-
- 670 7909.2008.00805.x
- 671 Brisson, N., Gate, P., Gouache, D., Charmet, G., Oury, F.X., Huard, F., 2010. Why are wheat yields
- 672 stagnating in Europe? A comprehensive data analysis for France. F. Crop. Res. 119, 201–212.
- 673 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2010.07.012
- Broberg, M.C., Feng, Z., Xin, Y., Pleijel, H., 2015. Ozone effects on wheat grain quality A

675	summary. Environ. Pollut. 197, 203–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.12.009
676	Calatayud, V., García-Breijo, F.J., Lia Cervero, J., Reig-Armiñ Ana, J., Sanz, M.J., 2011.
677	Physiological, anatomical and biomass partitioning responses to ozone in the Mediterranean
678	endemic plant Lamottea dianae. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 74, 1131–1138.
679	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2011.02.023
680	Clausen, S.K., Frenck, G., Linden, L.G., Mikkelsen, T.N., Lunde, C., Jørgensen, R.B., 2011. Effects
681	of single and multifactor treatments with elevated temperature, CO2 and ozone on oilseed rape
682	and barley. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 197, 442-453. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-
683	037X.2011.00478.x
684	Cure, J.D., Acock, B., 1986. Crop responses to carbon dioxide doubling: a literature survey. Agric.

- 685 For. Meteorol. 38, 127–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1923(86)90054-7
- 686 Derwent, R.G., Manning, A.J., Simmonds, P.G., Spain, T.G., O'Doherty, S., 2018. Long-term
- trends in ozone in baseline and European regionally-polluted air at Mace Head, Ireland over a
- 688 30-year period. Atmos. Environ. 179, 279–287.
- 689 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.02.024
- 690 Dieleman, W.I.J., Vicca, S., Dijkstra, F. a., Hagedorn, F., Hovenden, M.J., Larsen, K.S., Morgan, J.
- a., Volder, A., Beier, C., Dukes, J.S., King, J., Leuzinger, S., Linder, S., Luo, Y., Oren, R., De
- 692 Angelis, P., Tingey, D., Hoosbeek, M.R., Janssens, I. a., 2012. Simple additive effects are rare:
- 693 A quantitative review of plant biomass and soil process responses to combined manipulations
- 694 of CO2 and temperature. Glob. Chang. Biol. 18, 2681–2693. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 695 2486.2012.02745.x
- Dolferus, R., 2014. To grow or not to grow: A stressful decision for plants. Plant Sci. 229, 247–261.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.10.002
- 698 Finlayson-Pitts, B.J., Pitts, J.N., 1993. Atmospheric chemistry of tropospheric ozone formation:

699 Scientific and regulatory implications. Air Waste 43, 1091–1100.

- 700 Frenck, G., van der Linden, L., Mikkelsen, T.N., Brix, H., Jørgensen, R.B., 2013. Response to
- 701 multi-generational selection under elevated [CO2] in two temperature regimes suggests
- enhanced carbon assimilation and increased reproductive output in Brassica napus L. Ecol.
- 703 Evol. 3, 1163–1172. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.523
- 704 Frenck, G., van der Linden, L., Mikkelsen, T.N., Brix, H., Jørgensen, R.B., 2011. Increased [CO2]
- does not compensate for negative effects on yield caused by higher temperature and [O3] in

706 Brassica napus L. Eur. J. Agron. 35, 127–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2011.05.004

- Fuhrer, J., Booker, F., 2003. Ecological issues related to ozone: Agricultural issues. Environ. Int.
- 708 29, 141–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(02)00157-5
- Gibson, L.R., Paulsen, G.M., 1999. Yield Components of Wheat Grown under High Temperature
  Stress during Reproductive Growth. Crop Sci. 39, 1841.
- 711 https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1999.3961841x
- 712 Grünhage, L., Pleijel, H., Mills, G., Bender, J., Danielsson, H., Lehmann, Y., Castell, J.F.,
- 713 Bethenod, O., 2012. Updated stomatal flux and flux-effect models for wheat for quantifying
- effects of ozone on grain yield, grain mass and protein yield. Environ. Pollut. 165, 147–157.
- 715 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.02.026
- 716 Harmens, H., Hayes, F., Mills, G., Sharps, K., Osborne, S., Pleijel, H., 2018. Wheat yield responses
- 717 to stomatal uptake of ozone: Peak vs rising background ozone conditions. Atmos. Environ.
- 718 173, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.10.059
- 719 ICP Vegetation, 2017. III. Mapping Critical Levels for Vegetation.
- 720 Ingvordsen, C.H., Backes, G., Lyngkjær, M.F., Peltonen-Sainio, P., Jensen, J.D., Jalli, M., Jahoor,
- A., Rasmussen, M., Mikkelsen, T.N., Jørgensen, R.B., Stockmarr, A., Jørgensen, R.B., 2015.
- Significant decrease in yield under future climate conditions: Stability and production of 138

723	spring barley acc	essions. Eur. J. A	Agron. 63, 10	05–113. https://	//doi.org/10.1	016/j.eja.2014.12.003
			-Bronn 00, 10	<b>e</b> 110. mps.		· • · • · j·• j•• · • · • · • • • • • •

- 724 Ingvordsen, C.H., Lyngkjær, M.F., Peltonen-Sainio, P., Mikkelsen, T.N., Stockmarr, A., Jørgensen,
- R.B., 2018. How a 10-day heatwave impacts barley grain yield when superimposed onto future
- 126 levels of temperature and CO2 as single and combined factors. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 259,
- 727 45–52. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.01.025
- 728 IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report, Contribution of working groups I, II and III
- to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva,
  Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324
- 731 Kleanthous, S., Vrekoussis, M., Mihalopoulos, N., Kalabokas, P., Lelieveld, J., 2014. On the
- temporal and spatial variation of ozone in Cyprus. Sci. Total Environ. 476–477, 677–687.
- 733 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.101
- Lamarque, J.-F., Bond, T.C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C.,
- 735 Mieville, A., Owen, B., Schultz, M.G., Shindell, D., Smith, S.J., Stehfest, E., Van Aardenne,
- J., Cooper, O.R., Kainuma, M., Mahowald, N., Mcconnell, J.R., Naik, V., Riahi, K., Van
- 737 Vuuren, D.P., 2010. Historical (1850-2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning
- emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application. Atmos. Chem. Phys
- 739 10, 7017–7039. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010
- Langley, J.A., Hungate, B.A., 2014. Plant community feedbacks and long-term ecosystem
  responses to multi-factored global change. AoB Plants 6, 1–12.
- 742 https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plu035
- 743 Larsen, K.S., Andresen, L.C., Beier, C., Jonasson, S., Albert, K.R., Ambus, P., Arndal, M.F.,
- 744 Carter, M.S., Christensen, S., Holmstrup, M., Ibrom, A., Kongstad, J., Van Der Linden, L.,
- 745 Maraldo, K., Michelsen, A., Mikkelsen, T.N., Pilegaard, K., Priemé, A., Ro-Poulsen, H.,
- 746 Schmidt, I.K., Selsted, M.B., Stevnbak, K., 2011. Reduced N cycling in response to elevated

- 747 CO2, warming, and drought in a Danish heathland: Synthesizing results of the CLIMAITE
- 748 project after two years of treatments. Glob. Chang. Biol. 17, 1884–1899.
- 749 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02351.x
- LICOR, 2004. Using the LI-6400 Portable Photosynthesis OPEN Software version 5.3, 2005th ed,
  Components. LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, Nebraska.
- Lopes, M.S., El-Basyoni, I., Baenziger, P.S., Singh, S., Royo, C., Ozbek, K., Aktas, H., Ozer, E.,
- 753 Ozdemir, F., Manickavelu, A., Ban, T., Vikram, P., 2015. Exploiting genetic diversity from
- landraces in wheat breeding for adaptation to climate change. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 3477–3486.
- 755 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv122
- Lundø, M., 2017. Statistical report: Harvest of bulk grain, rapeseed and grain legumes 2017 (In
  Danish).
- Mikkelsen, T.N., 1995. Physiological responses of Fagus sylvatica L. exposed to low levels of
  ozone in open-top chambers. Trees 9, 355–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00202500
- 760 Mikkelsen, T.N., Beier, C., Jonasson, S., Holmstrup, M., Schmidt, I.K., Ambus, P., Pilegaard, K.,
- 761 Michelsen, A., Albert, K., Andresen, L.C., Arndal, M.F., Bruun, N., Christensen, S., Danbæk,
- 762 S., Gundersen, P., Jørgensen, P., Linden, L.G., Kongstad, J., Maraldo, K., Priemé, A., Riis-
- 763 Nielsen, T., Ro-Poulsen, H., Stevnbak, K., Selsted, M.B., Sørensen, P., Larsen, K.S., Carter,
- 764 M.S., Ibrom, A., Martinussen, T., Miglietta, F., Sverdrup, H., 2008. Experimental design of
- 765 multifactor climate change experiments with elevated CO2, warming and drought: The
- 766 CLIMAITE project. Funct. Ecol. 22, 185–195. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 767 2435.2007.01362.x
- Mikkelsen, T.N., Ro-Poulsen, H., 2013. Exposure increases of Norway spruce to current ozone year
  the to sensitivity of needles photoinhibition. New Phytol. 128, 153–163.
- 770 Mills, G., Sharps, K., Simpson, D., Pleijel, H., Frei, M., Burkey, K., Emberson, L., Uddling, J.,

771	Broberg, M., Feng, Z., Kobayashi, K., Agrawal, M., 2018. Closing the global ozone yield gap:
772	Quantification and cobenefits for multistress tolerance. Glob. Chang. Biol. 24, 4869–4893.
773	https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14381
774	Monks, P.S., Archibald, A.T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier,
775	C., 2015. Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air
776	quality to short-lived climate forcer. Atmos. Chem. Phys 15, 8889-8973.
777	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015
778	Munir, S., Chen, H., Ropkins, K., 2013. Quantifying temporal trends in ground level ozone
779	concentration in the UK. Sci. Total Environ. 458–460, 217–227.
780	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.04.045
781	Namazkar, S., Stockmarr, A., Frenck, G., Egsgaard, H., Terkelsen, T., Mikkelsen, T., Ingvordsen,
782	C.H., Jørgensen, R.B., 2016. Concurrent elevation of CO2, O3 and temperature severely
783	affects oil quality and quantity in rapeseed. J. Exp. Bot. 67, 4117–4125.
784	https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw180
785	Norby, R.J., Luo, Y., 2004. Evaluating ecosystem responses to rising atmospheric CO2 and global
786	warming in a multi-factor world. New Phytol. 162, 281–293. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
787	8137.2004.01047.x
788	Peltonen-Sainio, P., Muurinen, S., Rajala, A., Jauhiainen, L., 2008. Variation in harvest index of

modern spring barley, oat and wheat cultivars adapted to northern growing conditions. J.

790 Agric. Sci. 146, 35–47. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859607007368

791 Pleijel, H., Broberg, M.C., Uddling, J., Mills, G., 2018. Current surface ozone concentrations

significantly decrease wheat growth, yield and quality. Sci. Total Environ. 613, 687–692.

793 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.111

Pleijel, H., Eriksen, A.B., Danielsson, H., Bondesson, N., Selldén, G., 2006. Differential ozone

- sensitivity in an old and a modern Swedish wheat cultivar Grain yield and quality, leaf
- chlorophyll and stomatal conductance. Environ. Exp. Bot. 56, 63–71.
- 797 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2005.01.004
- 798 Poorter, H., Fiorani, F., Pieruschka, R., Wojciechowski, T., van der Putten, W.H., Kleyer, M.,
- Schurr, U., Postma, J., 2016. Pampered inside, pestered outside? Differences and similarities
- 800 between plants growing in controlled conditions and in the field. New Phytol. 212, 838–855.
- 801 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14243
- 802 Sandhu, S.S., Singh, J., Kaur, P., Gill, K.K., 2018. Heat Stress in Field Crops: Impact and
- 803 Management Approaches, in: Advances in Crop Environment Interaction. Springer Singapore,
- 804 Singapore, pp. 181–204. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1861-0\_7
- Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., Eliceiri, K.W., 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
  analysis.
- Shaw, M.R., Zavaleta, E.S., Chiariello, N.R., 2002. Grassland Responses to Global Environmental
  Changes Suppressed by Elevated CO2. Science (80-. ). 298, 1987–1990.
- 809 Tai, A.P.K.K., Martin, M.V., 2017. Impacts of ozone air pollution and temperature extremes on
- 810 crop yields: Spatial variability, adaptation and implications for future food security. Atmos.
- 811 Environ. 169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.09.002
- 812 Thomsen, I.K., Pedersen, L., Jørgensen, J.R., 2008. Yield and flour quality of spring wheat as
- 813 affected by soil tillage and animal manure. J. Sci. Food Agric. 88, 2117–2124.
- 814 https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3322
- 815 Vázquez, D.P., Gianoli, E., Morris, W.F., Bozinovic, F., 2017. Ecological and evolutionary impacts
  816 of changing climatic variability. Biol. Rev. 92, 22–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12216
- 817 Vingarzan, R., 2004. A review of surface ozone background levels and trends. Atmos. Environ. 38,
- 818 3431–3442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.03.030

819	Wild, O., Fiore, A.M., Shindell, D.T., Doherty, R.M., Collins, W.J., Dentener, F.J., Schultz, M.G.,
820	Gong, S., Mackenzie, I.A., Zeng, G., Hess, P., Duncan, B.N., Bergmann, D.J., Szopa, S.,
821	Jonson, J.E., Keating, T.J., Zuber, A., 2012. Modelling future changes in surface ozone: a
822	parameterized approach. Atmos. Chem. Phys 12, 2037–2054. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-
823	2037-2012
824	Wrigley, C.W., 2009. Wheat: A unique grain for the world, in: Wheat: Chemistry and Technology:
825	Fourth Edition. AACC International Press, pp. 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-891127-
826	55-7.50008-2

- Zadoks, J.C., Board, E., 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. Weed Res. 14, 415–
  421. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.1974.tb01084.x
- 829 Zaka, S., Frak, E., Julier, B., Gastal, F., Louarn, G., 2016. Intraspecific variation in thermal
- 830 acclimation of photosynthesis across a range of temperatures in a perennial crop. AoB Plants 8.
- 831 https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plw035