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The EXP pair-potential system. I. Fluid phase isotherms, isochores, and quasiuniversality
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It was recently shown that the exponentially repulsive EXP pair potential defines a system of particles in terms of which simple liquids’ quasiuniversality may be explained [A. K. Bacher et al., Nat. Commun. 5, 5424 (2014); J. C. Dyre, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 28, 323001 (2016)]. This paper and its companion [A. K. Bacher et al., J. Chem. Phys. 149, 114502 (2018)] present a detailed simulation study of the EXP system. Here we study how structure monitored by the radial distribution function and dynamics monitored by the mean-square displacement as a function of time evolve along the system’s isotherms and isochores. The focus is on the gas and liquid phases, which are distinguished pragmatically by the absence or presence of a minimum in the radial distribution function above its first maximum. A constant-potential-energy (NVU)-based proof of quasiuniversality is presented, and quasiuniversality is illustrated by showing that the structure of the Lennard-Jones system at four state points is well approximated by those of EXP pair-potential systems with the same reduced diffusion constant. Paper II studies the EXP system’s isomorphs, focusing also on the gas and liquid phases. © 2018 Author(s).
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I. INTRODUCTION

For more than half a century, the term “simple liquid” has implied a system of point particles interacting via pair-wise additive forces. 1–8 The paradigmatic simple liquid is the hard-sphere (HS) system of identical spheres that do not interact unless they touch each other, at which point the potential energy jumps to infinity. 8–14 The HS system embodies a physical picture going back to van der Waals’ seminal thesis from 1873 15 according to which the harshly repulsive forces between a liquid’s atoms or molecules determine the structure. This idea is the basis of the present understanding of liquids as elucidated, e.g., in the classical monograph by Hansen and McDonald from 1976, 8 and in the classical reviews by Widom from 1967 11 and by Chandler, Weeks, and Andersen from 1983. 14 The HS picture has had many successes, for instance leading to very useful perturbation theories of the liquid state. 8,16–22

van der Waals’ fundamental insight was that liquids’ properties to a large extent derive from the repulsive forces. 15 The weaker and longer-ranged attractive forces play little role for the structure and dynamics; they mainly serve to reduce energy and pressure by providing a virtually constant negative cohesive energy. It has been found from computer simulations, however, that some pair-potential systems are not simple in any reasonable understandings of the term, whereas, on the other hand, a number of molecular liquids 23 and even polymer-like systems 24 have simple and regular behavior. A liquid like water exhibits non-simple behavior by having, e.g., a diffusion constant that increases upon isothermal compression, by melting instead of freezing upon compression, etc. 25 Pair-potential systems with such anomalous behavior include the Gaussian-core model, 26,27 the Lennard-Jones Gaussian model, 26 and the Jagla model. 25 At high and moderate temperatures, the Gaussian-core model is not steeply repulsive, which may explain its anomalies, but the other two systems are complex despite their strongly repulsive forces. Thus pair-wise additive forces between point particles are neither necessary nor sufficient for a liquid to be “simple,” and a different definition of simplicity is called for.

An alternative definition of liquid simplicity is provided by the isomorph theory according to which simple behavior is found whenever the system in question to a good approximation exhibits “hidden scale invariance” (“hidden” because this property is rarely obvious from the mathematical expression for the potential energy). 7,29–31 This defines the class of Roskilde (R)-simple systems that include the standard Lennard-Jones (LJ) model, a class which was first identified by characteristic strong correlations between the virial and potential-energy thermal fluctuations in the canonical (NVT) ensemble. 32–34

R-simple systems have isomorphs, 29 which are lines in the thermodynamic phase diagram along which structure and dynamics in reduced units (see below) are invariant to a good approximation. These invariances reflect the fact that state points on the same isomorph have approximately the same canonical probabilities for configurations that scale uniformly into one another. 29 Isomorph-theory predictions have been
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validated in computer simulations of LJ type systems,\textsuperscript{29,35,36} simple molecular models,\textsuperscript{23} crystals,\textsuperscript{37} nano-confined liquids,\textsuperscript{38} non-linear shear flows,\textsuperscript{39} zero-temperature plastic flows of glasses,\textsuperscript{40} polymer-like flexible molecules,\textsuperscript{24,41} metals studied by \textit{ab initio} density functional theory computer simulations,\textsuperscript{42} plasmas,\textsuperscript{43} and other liquids.\textsuperscript{31,44} Experimental confirmations of the isomorph theory were presented in Refs. 45–50. The numerical and experimental confirmations notwithstanding, it is important to emphasize that the isomorph theory is rarely exact, that it usually works only in the liquid and solid parts of the thermodynamic phase diagram [the exponential (EXP) system is an interesting exception to this], and that the theory does not apply for systems with strong directional bonding (hydrogen-bonding or covalently bonded systems).

The basic characteristic of an R-simple system is that, because of its isomorphs, the thermodynamic phase diagram is effectively one-dimensional in regard to structure and dynamics.\textsuperscript{29,31} R-simple systems have this property in common with the HS system for which the packing fraction determines the physics throughout the phase diagram.\textsuperscript{8}

In 2014, it was shown\textsuperscript{51} that the isomorph theory is a consequence of the following scale-invariance property in which $\mathbf{R} = (r_1, \ldots, r_N)$ is the vector of all particle coordinates, $U(\mathbf{R})$ is the potential-energy function, and $\lambda$ is a uniform scaling parameter,

$$U(\mathbf{R}_0) < U(\mathbf{R}_b) \Rightarrow U(\lambda \mathbf{R}_0) < U(\lambda \mathbf{R}_b).$$

Thus if the potential energy of some configuration $\mathbf{R}_0$ is lower than that of another configuration $\mathbf{R}_b$, both of the same density, this property is maintained after a uniform scaling of the configurations. Strong virial potential-energy correlations,\textsuperscript{32} as well as the approximate invariance along isomorphs of Boltzmann probabilities of uniformly scaled configurations that originally defined isomorphs,\textsuperscript{29} are consequences of Eq. (1).\textsuperscript{51}

The scale-invariance property Eq. (1) is only obeyed rigorously for the unrealistic case of a system with an Euler-homogeneous potential-energy function plus a constant. For realistic R-simple systems, Eq. (1) applies to a good approximation, i.e., for modest density variations of most of its physically relevant configurations. This is, nevertheless, enough to ensure approximate invariance of the structure and dynamics along the isomorphs.\textsuperscript{51} Incidentally, these curves in the phase diagram are virtually parallel to the freezing and melting lines,\textsuperscript{29,44} a fact that explains several well-known phenomenological melting-line characterizations, e.g., the Lindemann melting criterion’s pressure independence.\textsuperscript{29,52,53}

The invariance of the structure and dynamics along isomorphs relates to “reduced” quantities.\textsuperscript{29,31,51} These are quantities that have been made dimensionless by scaling with the length

$$l_0 \equiv \rho^{-1/3}$$

defined from the particle density $\rho \equiv N/V$ in which $N$ is the number of particles and $V$ is the sample volume, the energy

$$e_0 \equiv k_B T$$

in which $T$ is the temperature, and the time

$$t_0 \equiv \rho^{-1/3} \frac{m}{k_B T}$$

in which $m$ is the average particle mass. Note that these units vary with the state point in question.

Reduced units are used throughout the present paper and Paper II.\textsuperscript{59} Two notable exceptions to this are density and temperature, which are both constant in reduced units. Therefore, in order to specify a state point, the density is reported in units of the EXP pair potential length parameter $\sigma$ of Eq. (5) below, i.e., in units of $1/\sigma^3$, and temperature is reported in units of the potential’s energy parameter over the Boltzmann constant, $\epsilon/k_B$. We refer to this as the “EXP unit system.”

Although the HS system provides a good reference for understanding simple liquids, it has some challenges.\textsuperscript{44} For instance, while simple liquids’ quasiuniversal structure may be understood from the harsh interparticle repulsions modeled by a HS system, it is much less obvious how to explain simple liquids’ quasiuniversal dynamics by reference to the HS system. After all, the HS system’s particles evolve in time according to Newton’s first law following straight lines in space, interrupted by infinitely fast collisions. This is quite different from what happens in a real liquid where each particle interacts continuously and strongly with ten or more nearest neighbors. Also, the HS reference system cannot explain the above-mentioned fact that some systems with strong interparticle repulsions do not belong to the quasi-universal class of “simple” systems.\textsuperscript{31} Finally, the HS system is unphysical because of its discontinuous potential-energy function, implying, in particular, that the time-averaged potential energy is zero at all state points.

It would be nice to have a generic analytic pair-potential system in terms of which simple liquids’ quasiuniversality may be explained, thus defining the “mother of all pair-potential systems.” By means of the isomorph theory, it was recently suggested\textsuperscript{31,44} that this role may be played by the exponentially repulsive EXP pair potential defined by (in which $\epsilon$ is a characteristic energy and $\sigma$ a characteristic length)

$$v_{\text{EXP}}(r) = \epsilon \ e^{-r/\sigma}.$$  

References 31 and 44 showed that any system with a pair potential, which may be written as a sum of spatially decaying exponentials of the form given in Eq. (5) with numerically large prefactors relative to $k_B T$, to a good approximation obeys the same equation of motion as the EXP system itself. This explains the quasiuniversality of traditional simple liquids like the LJ system, inverse power-law systems, Yukawa pair-potential system, etc., as well as exceptions to quasiuniversality that cannot be written in this way.\textsuperscript{31,44}

Despite its mathematical simplicity and the fact that the exponential function in mathematics is central, e.g., for defining the Fourier and Laplace transforms, the EXP pair-potential system has been studied little on its own right. In the literature, an EXP term typically appears added to a $r^{-6}$ attractive term\textsuperscript{54,55} or multiplied by a $1/r$ term as in the Yukawa pair potential.\textsuperscript{56,57} Born and Meyer in 1932 used an exponentially repulsive term in a pair potential and justified this from the fact that electronic bound-state wavefunctions decay
exponentially in space.\textsuperscript{54} Kac and co-workers used a HS pair potential minus a long-ranged EXP term for rigorously deriving the van der Waals equation of state in one dimension.\textsuperscript{58} Recently, by reference to the EXP pair potential Maimbourg and Kurchan showed that the isomorph theory for pair-potential systems with strong repulsions is exact in infinite dimensions.\textsuperscript{59} The EXP pair potential was also used recently by Kooij and Lerner in a study of unjamming in models with analytic pair potentials.\textsuperscript{60}

The reason that the pure EXP pair-potential system has not been studied very much may be that this system has been regarded as unrealistic by being purely repulsive. However, even the purely repulsive inverse power law pair-potential systems have been studied much more than the EXP system.\textsuperscript{51–67} In view of this, the present paper and Paper II\textsuperscript{59} undertake an investigation of the EXP pair-potential system by presenting results from extensive computer simulations.

Figure 1 shows the phase diagram of the EXP system indicating the state points studied. Like for any purely repulsive system there are only two thermodynamically distinct phases: a solid phase at low temperatures and high densities and a “fluid” phase; there is no gas-liquid phase transition since this requires attractive forces. We have chosen, nevertheless, to pragmatically distinguish typical “gas” state points from typical “liquid” state points, but it is important to recall throughout the paper that these phases merge continuously into one another, just as in a real system above its critical temperature. To distinguish the gas and liquid phases, we used the following criterion: if the radial distribution has a clear minimum above its first maximum, the state point is liquid; if not, it is a gas-phase state point. The large region of in-between states is indicated in Fig. 1 by the use of light colors. This is where the so-called Frenkel line is located.\textsuperscript{80}

In Sec. II, we briefly discuss technicalities relating to computer simulations of the EXP system. Section III shows that the EXP system obeys Eq. (1) to a good approximation by demonstrating that one of its consequences—strong virial potential-energy correlations at constant density\textsuperscript{34,68}—applies in a large part of the thermodynamic phase diagram. Section IV gives results for how pressure, virial, and potential energy vary throughout the system’s phase diagram. In Sec. V, we report simulations of the structure and dynamics along isotherms, while Sec. VI gives the same information along isochores. Even though the EXP system has no liquid-gas phase transition, its structure and dynamics look pretty much like those of other simple liquids. Section VII rationalizes this by giving a new proof of simple liquids’ quasianiversality in terms of the EXP pair-potential system. This section also presents numerical results for four state points, showing that the physics of the LJ system is fitted well by that of EXP systems with the same reduced diffusion constant. Finally, Sec. VIII provides a brief summary.

II. SIMULATIONS DETAILS

The simulations were performed on graphics cards using the RUMD open-source software.\textsuperscript{59} All simulations were carried out using the unit system in which temperature and density are both unity; varying the state point is achieved by changing the parameters $\epsilon$ and $\sigma$ of the EXP pair potential.

The time step $\Delta t = 0.0025$ was used in most of the phase diagram, except for state points with $T = 10^{-6}$ and $\rho > 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for which $\Delta t = 0.002$. Temperature was controlled by a Nose-Hoover thermostat with characteristic time 0.2. For most state points [compare Fig. 2(a)], an initial configuration of 1000 particles in a simple cubic lattice was generated with thermal velocities. An initial configuration of 2000 particles in a body-centered cubic lattice was used for state points with $1.5 \cdot 10^{-6} < T < 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $\rho > 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$, compare Fig. 2(a). The system was equilibrated by $10^6$ time steps at the desired state point—ensuring a mean-square displacement (MSD) of at least 1000 at all fluid state points. Data collection was carried out over at least 10 000 000 subsequent time steps.

A shifted-force cutoff was used to allow for a shorter cut-off distance than the standard shifted-potential cutoff.\textsuperscript{70,71} The cut-off was $2\sigma$ when $\rho < 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ except for the lowest-temperature state points, else at $4\sigma$, compare Fig. 2(b). RUMD uses single precision as standard. A customized version with double precision was used to validate selected simulations,
concluding that single precision works well in the reported part of the phase diagram. Only in the low-temperature, high-density part, i.e., deep into the crystalline phase, did the use of single precision present a problem. These state points have been left out.

The focus of the present paper and Paper II is on the gas and liquid phases. Results are occasionally reported also for the solid (crystalline) phase, but these may be less reliable by deriving from simulations initiated from lattices that in some cases during the simulation reorganized into different crystal structures. This led to crystals with many defects, i.e., solids that are not in proper thermodynamic equilibrium.

### III. STRONG VIRIAL POTENTIAL-ENERGY CORRELATIONS

This section studies how well the EXP system’s constant-density thermal-equilibrium virial fluctuations correlate with its potential-energy fluctuations. Strong correlations are a consequence of Eq. (1) and have been demonstrated in $NVT$ computer simulations of many model liquids, including the molecular ones. Recall that the microscopic virial $W(R)$ is defined as $W(R) = \partial U(R)/\partial \ln \rho$ in which the density change induces a uniform scaling of $R$. The virial, which is an extensive quantity of dimension energy, provides the modification of the ideal-gas law caused by particle interactions,

$$pV = Nk_B T + W$$

in which $W = \langle W(R) \rangle$ where the sharp brackets denote a thermal average.

The microscopic virial is calculated by summing over all particles as follows: $W(R) = \sum_{ij} r_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{ij}/3$, where $r_{ij}$ is the vector from particle $i$ to particle $j$ and $\mathbf{F}_{ij}$ is the force with which particle $i$ acts on particle $j$. Figure 3 shows results from simulations of the EXP system’s equilibrium fluctuations at a liquid state point. The black stars give the potential energy and the red circles give the virial. From both quantities the mean has been subtracted, after which they were normalized to unit variance. There is a very strong correlation. The EXP pair potential has the unique property that the pair force is proportional to the pair potential energy. Thus, if interactions corresponding to a narrow range of pair distances dominate the potential energy as well as the virial, one expects strong correlations between these two quantities.

The Pearson correlation coefficient $R$ quantifying correlations is defined by

$$R \equiv \frac{\langle \Delta U \Delta W \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle (\Delta U)^2 \rangle \langle (\Delta W)^2 \rangle}}$$

FIG. 3. Normalized equilibrium fluctuations of potential energy (black) and virial (red) at the state point $(\rho, T) = (10^{-3}, 1.25 \cdot 10^{-3})$. The correlations are strong ($R = 0.9916$), showing that the EXP pair potential system is R-simple at this state point.
in which $\Delta$ denotes the quantity in question minus its state-point average. A system is defined to be R-simple or strongly correlating whenever $R > 0.9$, which provides a pragmatic though somewhat arbitrary criterion. The state point studied in Fig. 3 has better than 99% correlation. This is quite strong compared to, for instance, the LJ system that has $R \sim 95\%$ for liquid state points close to the triple point.

We evaluated $R$ for the EXP system at several state points. Figure 4(a) shows the thermodynamic phase diagram colored after the value of $R$, while (b) gives numerical values of $R$ throughout the phase diagram as tiny numbers written into the figure. Interestingly, $R$ is fairly independent of the density. The virial potential-energy correlation coefficient is close to unity at low temperatures (Paper II proposes that $R \rightarrow 1$ as $T \rightarrow 0$ if the limit is taken along an isomorph). Note that $R \equiv 1$ applies at low temperatures for all phases, which may be interpreted as reflecting an effective inverse-power law behavior of the EXP system at low temperatures, independent of the density. In particular, it is notable that the low-temperature gas phase exhibits strong correlations, which may be contrasted to the LJ system for which this does not apply due to the attractive pair forces.34,68

At small densities, the EXP system is a gas in which individual pair interactions (collisions) dominate the physics. In this limit, it is possible to calculate $R$ analytically assuming that the particle collisions are random and uncorrelated. The derivation, which is given in Appendix A, results in

$$R = \frac{A_3}{\sqrt{A_2 A_4}}$$

in which (with $\beta \equiv \epsilon/k_B T$)

$$A_n = \int_0^{\infty} v \ln^4(1/v) e^{-\beta v} dv.$$  

Table I compares the theory’s predictions to numerical results for $R$, which at each temperature have been averaged over the simulated gas-phase state points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>$R$ from theory</th>
<th>$R$ from gas-phase simulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00 · 10$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.9396</td>
<td>0.9348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 · 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.9808</td>
<td>0.9807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25 · 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.9911</td>
<td>0.9912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 · 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.9955</td>
<td>0.9955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 · 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.9972</td>
<td>0.9972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 · 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
<td>0.9981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. THERMODYNAMICS

A visual representation of the EXP system’s equation of state \((pV/T)\) relation is provided in Fig. 5 showing how the average reduced pressure \(\langle \tilde{p} \rangle \equiv \langle p \rangle / (\rho k_B T)\) and the average reduced virial per particle \(\langle \tilde{W} \rangle/N\) vary throughout the phase diagram. Both quantities are colored after the value of their logarithm. The reduced pressure is close to unity in the gas phase \((\tilde{p} = 1\) corresponds to the ideal gas equation), but it grows and becomes much larger than unity as the liquid and solid phases are approached. Comparing Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) reveals that the virial per particle in the gas phase is much lower than the pressure, whereas in the solid and liquid phases the pressure is dominated by the virial. For reference, (c)
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FIG. 5. (a) Variation of the logarithm of the average reduced pressure \(\langle \tilde{p} \rangle\). (b) Logarithm of the average reduced virial per particle \(\langle \tilde{W} \rangle/N\). (c) and (d) give the numerical values (visible upon magnification) at the densities and temperatures listed in Appendix B. The numerical values are written with a slope marking the direction of the isomorph through the state point in question; red indicates gas, blue liquid, and green solid phase state points.
FIG. 6. (a) Variation of the logarithm of the reduced potential energy throughout the phase diagram. (b) gives the numerical values of $\tilde{U}$ at different state points (visible upon magnification) at the densities and temperatures listed in Appendix B. At each state point, the numerical value is written with a slope marking the direction of the isomorph through the state point in question; red indicates gas, blue liquid, and green solid phase state points.

and (d) report the numerical values of average pressure and virial.

The variation of the reduced average potential energy per particle is shown in Fig. 6(a). The gas phase is characterized by a much lower potential energy than the kinetic energy, implying $\langle \tilde{U} \rangle / N \ll 1$. In the solid phase, the opposite behavior is seen; here the potential energy dominates.

V. STRUCTURE, DYNAMICS, AND SPECIFIC HEAT ALONG ISOTHERMS

This section investigates the EXP system’s properties along selected isotherms; Sec. VI does the same along isochores. Both sections cover temperatures between $10^{-6}$ and 1 and densities between $10^{-5}$ and $10^{-2}$, with a focus on the gas and liquid phases (compare Fig. 1).

Figure 7 shows how the radial distribution function (RDF) $g(r)$ develops with density at four temperatures: $T = 1$, $T = 10^{-2}$, $T = 10^{-4}$, and $T = 10^{-6}$. Recall that the RDF gives the probability to find two particles the distance $r$ from each other relative to that of an ideal gas at the same density. When comparing $g(r)$ at different state points, it is convenient to use reduced units, $\tilde{r} \equiv \rho^{1/3} r$.

At the highest temperature $T = 1$, there is little structure; here the system is a gas at all densities investigated. At close distances, the RDF falls below unity, reflecting the interparticle repulsion. Because of the reduced units used, at low densities this happens for $\tilde{r} \ll 1$. (b) shows $T = 10^{-2}$ data; some structure now appears at the highest densities, (c) shows the data for $T = 10^{-4}$. The range of densities studied here comprise a few solid state points, revealed as spikes in the RDFs that are present also at large distances (dashed lines). (d) gives RDFs for $T = 10^{-6}$ at which a similar pattern appears. For all four temperatures, the low-density state points have little structure because they are all in the gas phase.

Figure 8 shows the reduced mean-square displacement (MSD) $\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle$ as a function of time evaluated along the same four isotherms. The MSD is converted into reduced units by multiplying by $\rho^{2/3}$ while time is multiplied by $\rho^{1/3} \sqrt{k_B T/m}$, which is the inverse of the time for a free particle of kinetic energy $k_B T$ to move a typical nearest-neighbor distance. (a) gives the $T = 1$ results for the same range of densities as in Fig. 7. At short times corresponding to ballistic motion, the reduced MSD equals $3 \tilde{r}^2$ since $\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle = \rho^{2/3} \langle \tilde{r}^2 \rangle t^2 = \rho^{2/3} (k_B T/m)^{1/2} = 3 \tilde{r}^2$. At long times, the MSD varies in proportion to $\tilde{t}$, which is the well-known diffusive motion. The lower density is, the later does the transition to diffusive motion take place. This is because for gas-like states, the mean free path $l$ is much larger than the average nearest-neighbor distance $73,74$ (see below). At lower temperature (b), the transition moves closer to $\tilde{t} \sim 1$ as density increases. In (c) and (d) reporting results for the two lowest temperatures, we observe at high densities a solid phase MSD (dashed lines, not equilibrated).

Figure 9(a) shows the reduced diffusion constant $\tilde{D}$ derived from long-time MSD data via $\langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle = 6\tilde{D}t$ along
FIG. 7. Structure along isotherms probed by the radial distribution function (RDF) as a function of the reduced pair distance $\tilde{r} \equiv \rho^{1/3} r$ at the following temperatures: (a) $T = 1$, (b) $T = 10^{-2}$, (c) $T = 10^{-4}$, and (d) $T = 10^{-6}$. The RDFs of state points in the gas and liquid phases (Fig. 1) are indicated by full lines and solid-phase RDFs by dotted lines. Panel (a) corresponds to the average kinetic energy per particle comparable to the pair potential energy at zero separation. In this case, the system is gas-like over the entire density range investigated. (b) Liquid-like structure is observed at the highest densities. (c) and (d) With increasing density, the system transforms from gas to liquid to solid behavior.

FIG. 8. Reduced-unit mean-square displacement (MSD) for selected state points along the four isotherms $T = 1$, $T = 10^{-2}$, $T = 10^{-4}$, and $T = 10^{-6}$. Gas and liquid phase state points are indicated by full lines and solid state points by dotted lines. At short times, the MSD in all cases follows the ballistic prediction $3\tilde{t}^2$ (see the text), and at long times it follows the diffusion equation prediction $\propto \tilde{t}$. Not surprisingly, the solid phase does not reach the long-time diffusive limit.
HEAT ALONG ISOCHORES

VI. STRUCTURE, DYNAMICS, AND SPECIFIC HEAT ALONG ISOCORES

Next we study how the above quantities vary along the lines of constant volume, reporting results for the densities $10^{-5}$, $10^{-4}$, $10^{-3}$, and $10^{-2}$.

Figure 11 shows the reduced RDFs along these four isochores. At the lowest density (a), there is little structure. Here the system is a gas at all temperatures, compare Fig. 1. As density is increased, structure begins to appear at the lowest temperatures, and for the two highest densities, we recognize gas and liquid state points. The dashed line is the prediction for a harmonic crystal, $c_v^{ex} = 3/2$.

Figure 10 gives the reduced excess isochoric specific heat per particle $c_v^{ex}$, i.e., $c_v/k_B$ subtracted the $3/2$ ideal-gas per-particle contribution. This quantity is calculated from the system’s potential-energy fluctuations in $NVT$ simulations via the canonical-ensemble expression $c_v^{ex} = \langle (\Delta U)^2 \rangle/k_BT^2 N$. The lowest temperatures have the largest $c_v^{ex}$, reflecting stronger interactions than at higher temperatures, which are more gas like. There is a transition to a virtually constant $c_v^{ex} \approx 3/2$ at high densities at which the system is in the crystalline state.

FIG. 10. Reduced isochoric excess heat capacity per particle along isotherms. Gas and liquid state points are given as open symbols; stars represent crystal state points. The dashed line is the prediction for a harmonic crystal, $c_v^{ex} = 3/2$.

$$\tilde{D} \equiv D/(v_0^2/\rho_0) \propto l/l_0 = l_0^{1/3}.$$ Gas-phase kinetic theory moreover predicts that $l$ is given by $\rho l_0^2 \sim 1$ where $r_0$ is the effective hard-sphere radius that may be estimated from $v_\text{exp}(-r_0) = k_BT$, implying $r_0 = \ln(1/T) = -\ln T$ in the EXP unit system. Thus one expects the reduced diffusion constant in the gas phase to be given by $\tilde{D} \propto l_0^{1/3} \sim \rho^{-2/3} l_0^{2/3} = \rho^{-2/3} / \ln^2(T)$. The Enskog kinetic theory determines the constant of proportionality, resulting in

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{3}{8 \sqrt{T}} \rho^2 \ln^2(T) = 0.212 \rho^{-2/3} / \ln^2(T).$$

This expression is validated below in Fig. 13. The hard-sphere approximation is expected to work best at low densities and low temperatures, which is consistent with the findings of Fig. 9(a).

Several isotherms in the gas and liquid phases. At fixed temperature, $\tilde{D}$ decreases when density increases; as the gas phase transforms smoothly into the liquid phase, the mean-free path is reduced and approaches the average interparticle distance. More accurately, as we now proceed to show, in the gas phase $\tilde{D} \propto \rho^{-2/3}$ at fixed temperature [dashed line in Fig. 9(a)].

According to kinetic theory, the diffusion constant in the gas phase is proportional to $lv$ in which $l$ is the mean free path and $v$ the thermal velocity. Since $v$ is basically $l_0/v_0$, compare Eqs. (2) and (4), this implies

\begin{align*}
\tilde{D} &\propto D/(v_0^2/\rho_0) \\
&\propto l/l_0 = l_0^{1/3}.
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\tilde{D} &\propto l_0^{1/3} \sim \rho^{-2/3} l_0^{2/3} \\
&= \rho^{-2/3} / \ln^2(T).
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\tilde{D} &\sim \rho^{-2/3} l_0^{2/3} \\
&= \rho^{-2/3} / \ln^2(T).
\end{align*}
FIG. 11. Radial distribution functions along the following isochores: (a) $\rho = 10^{-5}$, (b) $\rho = 10^{-4}$, (c) $\rho = 10^{-3}$, (d) $\rho = 10^{-2}$. State points in the gas and liquid phases (compare Fig. 1) are indicated by full lines, solid-phase state points by dotted lines. At the lowest densities little structure is present and the system is a gas even at the lowest temperatures studied. At higher densities liquid-like structure appears at the lowest temperatures, and for $\rho = 10^{-3}$ and $\rho = 10^{-2}$ crystal structure is observed at the lowest temperatures.

Figure 13(a) shows how the reduced diffusion constant varies with temperature along the seven isochores. For a given temperature, the reduced diffusion constant is largest at low densities. The increase with temperature reflects the effective particle size decreasing; compare kinetic theory (Sec. V). The Enskog prediction Eq. (10) for $\rho = 10^{-4}$ is shown as the dashed line in Fig. 13(a). Figure 13(b) plots $\rho^2/\tilde{D}$ versus $1/\ln^2(T)$ in order to test Eq. (10), which is expected to apply...

FIG. 12. Reduced MSD along four isochores: (a) $\rho = 10^{-5}$, (b) $\rho = 10^{-4}$, (c) $\rho = 10^{-3}$, and (d) $\rho = 10^{-2}$. Gas and liquid phase state points are indicated by full lines and solid-phase state points by dotted lines.
asymptotically as the density goes to zero. This is the case to a good approximation.

Finally, Fig. 14 shows how the excess isochoric heat capacity \( \tilde{c}_V^{\text{ex}} \) varies with temperature along seven isochores. At low temperatures and high densities, the reduced heat capacity is high and constant, close to the \( 3/2 \) per particle harmonic contribution expected in the solid phase. For other state points, the excess heat capacity is considerably lower.

VII. QUASIUNIVERSALITY

As mentioned in Sec. I, the EXP pair potential is central in a recent proof of simple liquids’ quasiuniversality, a review of which is given in Ref. 31. The idea is that—to a good approximation—any pair-potential system for which \( v(r) \) is a sum of exponential functions corresponding to the strongly correlating part of the phase diagram (Fig. 4), i.e., with coefficients that are much larger than \( k_B T \), has the same structure and dynamics as the EXP system itself. This section presents a constant-potential-energy (NVU)-based proof of quasiuniversality, combining arguments from Refs. 51 and 75. After this, as an example it is shown how the LJ system’s structure at four state points may be approximated by those of EXP pair-potential systems with the same reduced diffusion constant.

A. NVU proof of simple liquids’ quasiuniversality

NVU dynamics is molecular dynamics based on conservation of the potential energy. The idea is the following. The 3N-dimensional configuration space of the particle coordinates \( \mathbf{R} \)—usually implemented assuming periodic boundary conditions, i.e., on a high-dimensional torus—has (3N-1)-dimensional hypersurfaces of constant potential energy. NVU dynamics is defined as motion at constant velocity on these hypersurfaces along geodesics curves, i.e., curves of minimum length (locally). A geodesic curve is a generalized straight line, so NVU dynamics may be regarded as realizing Newton’s first law in the curved high-dimensional space defined by the relevant constant-potential-energy hypersurface. Geodesic dynamics also appears in the general theory of relativity, but there just in four dimensions. Despite the fact that the potential and kinetic energies are both conserved in NVU dynamics, it has been shown analytically as well as numerically that NVU dynamics in the thermodynamic limit leads to the same structure and dynamics as ordinary Newtonian NVE or NVT dynamics.

Quasiuniversality of systems with a pair-potential function that is a sum of EXP pair potentials from the strongly correlating part of the EXP phase diagram (Fig. 4) is based on the following fact: For different pair-potential parameters \( \epsilon \) and \( \sigma \), the EXP system’s family of reduced-unit constant-potential-energy hypersurfaces are identical. We show this below, followed by a proof that systems with a pair potential that is a linear combination of two or more EXP terms have the same constant-potential-energy hypersurfaces as the EXP system itself and, consequently, have the same NVU trajectories. This implies identical reduced-unit structure and dynamics.

For any system at density \( \rho \), one defines the microscopic excess-entropy function by \( S_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{R}) = S_{\text{ex}}(\rho, U)|_{U=U(\mathbf{R})} \), in which \( S_{\text{ex}}(\rho, U) \) is the thermodynamic excess entropy as a function of density and average potential energy. In other words, \( S_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{R}) \) is the thermodynamic excess entropy of the state point with density \( \rho \) corresponding to \( \mathbf{R} \) and average potential energy \( U(\mathbf{R}) \). By inversion, one has \( U(\mathbf{R}) = U(\rho, S_{\text{ex}}(\mathbf{R})) \) in which \( U(\rho, S_{\text{ex}}) \) is the average potential energy at the state point with density \( \rho \) and excess entropy \( S_{\text{ex}} \). From the configuration-space microcanonical ensemble
expression for the excess entropy, it is straightforward to show that the hidden-scale-invariance condition Eq. (1) implies \( S_{\text{ex}}(\lambda R) = S_{\text{ex}}(R) \), i.e., a uniform scaling of a configuration does not change its excess entropy.\(^{51}\) This scale invariance means that the microscopic excess entropy is a function of the configuration’s reduced coordinate vector \( \tilde{R} \equiv \rho^{1/3} R \),\(^{51}\) implying that

\[
U(\tilde{R}) = U(\rho, S_{\text{ex}}(\tilde{R})). \tag{11}
\]

Having in mind that the EXP system’s potential-energy function \( U_{\text{EXP}}(R) \) depends on \( \rho \) and \( \sigma \), Eq. (11) implies that a dimensionless function \( \Phi_{\text{EXP}} \) of two variables exists such that \( (S_{\text{ex}} = S_{\text{ex}}(\tilde{R})) \)

\[
U_{\text{EXP}}(\rho, \sigma, \epsilon) = \epsilon \Phi_{\text{EXP}}(\rho^{3/2}, S_{\text{ex}}(\tilde{R})). \tag{12}
\]

The appearances of \( \epsilon \) in front and of \( \sigma^{3} \) multiplied by the density are dictated by dimensional analysis. A consequence of Eq. (12) is that different EXP systems have the same family of reduced-coordinate constant-potential-energy hypersurfaces, all of which are given by \( S_{\text{ex}}(\tilde{R}) = \text{Const} \). The constant defines the relevant isomorphism.

Consider now the system defined by the pair potential \( U(r) = \epsilon_{1} \exp(-r/\sigma_{1}) + \epsilon_{2} \exp(-r/\sigma_{2}) \) and let us focus on one particular configuration \( R \). Since it defines all pair distances, this system’s potential-energy function is given by adding the two EXP system’s potential energies,

\[
U(R) = \epsilon_{1} \Phi_{\text{EXP}}(\rho^{3/2}, S_{\text{ex}}(\tilde{R})) + \epsilon_{2} \Phi_{\text{EXP}}(\rho^{3/2}, S_{\text{ex}}(\tilde{R})). \tag{13}
\]

Assuming positive temperature, i.e., that

\[
\epsilon_{1}(\partial \Phi_{\text{EXP}}(\rho^{3/2}, S_{\text{ex}})/\partial S_{\text{ex}}) + \epsilon_{2}(\partial \Phi_{\text{EXP}}(\rho^{3/2}, S_{\text{ex}})/\partial S_{\text{ex}}) > 0,
\]

the potential energy \( U(R) \) of Eq. (13) can be constant only if \( S_{\text{ex}}(\tilde{R}) \) is constant. Via Eq. (12), this implies that \( U_{\text{EXP}}(\rho, \epsilon, \sigma) \) is constant. Thus the constant-potential-energy hypersurfaces for the function \( U(R) \) of Eq. (13) are identical to the EXP system’s constant-potential-energy hypersurfaces. The NVU dynamics of the sum of two EXP systems is consequently identical to that of the EXP system, implying identical structure and dynamics.

The above generalizes to pair-potential systems of arbitrary linear combinations of EXP terms, and EXP pair-potential terms may also be subtracted. Basically, the only requirement is that each EXP pair-potential term refers to the strongly correlating part of the EXP system’s phase diagram, i.e., that the energy parameter obeys \( \epsilon \gg k_{B}T \).\(^{31}\) This requirement, which ensures that Eq. (12) applies for each term, translates into requiring that the reduced-unit pair potential
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FIG. 15. Quasiuniversality illustrated by comparing radial distribution functions (RDF) at four state points for the Lennard-Jones (LJ) system (black curves) to those of EXP systems with the same reduced diffusion constant within 1% (colored curves). EXP state points are specified by density, temperature, and density-scaling exponent \( \gamma \). (a) LJ state point \( (\rho, T) = (0.029, 199.6) \), a typical high-temperature gas state point at which \( D = 4.8061 \). \( \gamma \) here is 4.29, which is not far from the value 4 predicted from the repulsive \( r^{-12} \) term of the LJ pair potential.\(^{68}\) The red, blue, and green curves are RDF predictions for different EXP systems with the same reduced diffusion constant. (b) LJ state point \( (\rho, T) = (1.09, 482.17) \), a moderate-density, high-temperature gas state point at which \( D = 0.3789 \). The red EXP system fits better than the blue one. Deviations are centered around the first peak, with the largest deviations for the EXP state point with density-scaling exponent \( \gamma \) most different from its LJ value (blue). (c) LJ state point \( (\rho, T) = (1.09, 10.17) \) at which \( D = 0.1068 \). There are slight deviations around the first peak, which are smallest for the EXP system with \( \gamma \) closest to that of the LJ system. (d) LJ state point \( (\rho, T) = (1.09, 2.17) \), a condensed-phase liquid state point close to the melting line at which \( D = 0.0266 \). The green curve, which fits best, represents an EXP system that has virtually the same \( \gamma \) as the LJ system. The inset provides a blow up of the first peak.
in question is a sum of EXP terms with numerically large prefactors.31

B. Example: The EXP system approximates the Lennard-Jones system

The LJ system \( (v(r) = 4\varepsilon [(r/\sigma)^{-12} - (r/\sigma)^{-6}]) \) is in the EXP quasiuniversality class.\(^{8,44}\) As a demonstration of quasiuniversality, we consider four state points of the LJ system typical for the high-temperature gas, high-temperature liquid, and the liquid close to the melting line. At each state point, the reduced diffusion constant \( \tilde{D} \) was evaluated. According to quasiuniversality, \( \tilde{D} \) determines the reduced structure. For each of the four reduced LJ diffusion constants, we identified two or three EXP systems (equivalently: EXP state points) with the same \( \tilde{D} \) and calculated the RDF in order to compare to those of the LJ system.

The results are shown in Fig. 15, which gives LJ system RDFs as black curves and those of EXP systems with same reduced diffusion constant as colored curves. The fits are generally good. Deviations are center around the first peak. These reflect the following breakdown of quasiuniversality: At small interparticle separation the RDF is dominated by the pair potential via the asymptotic behavior \( g(r) \sim \exp(-v(r)/k_B T) \) for \( r \to 0 \). The quantity \( v(r)/k_B T \) is not isomorph invariant, however, implying that the way in which \( g(r) \) approaches zero at short distances violates quasiuniversality. If one assumes that the number of particles in the first coordination shell is quasiuniversal, there must be a compensating non-quasiuniversal height of the first peak of the RDF. For the EXP system, the larger the density-scaling exponent becomes along an isomorph (see Paper II\(^{79}\)), the higher is the peak because the more rapidly does \( g(r) \) go to zero at short distances. This explains the slight deviations from quasiuniversality observed in Fig. 15. If one wishes from the reduced diffusion constant to identify an EXP system with almost identical RDF also around the first peak, an EXP system should be sought with both the correct reduced diffusion constant and the correct density-scaling exponent. This is illustrated in Fig. 15(d), compare the inset.

VIII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have presented an investigation of the EXP pair-potential system’s structure and dynamics over a large part of its low-temperature, low-density thermodynamic phase diagram, focusing on gas and liquid state points. At temperatures higher than those studied here the EXP system changes character because particles there may overlap and pass through one another. As for other systems with no attractive forces, the EXP system has a solid and a fluid phase, but no liquid-gas phase transition. We find gas-like behavior in a large part of the studied phase diagram as revealed by a virtual absence of structure probed by the RDF. When varying density or temperature, we find, not surprisingly, that the EXP fluid has more structure the closer it is to the melting transition. For both the structure and the dynamics, one finds the same trends whether density or temperature is lowered. This reflects the existence of isomorphs, which are lines in the thermodynamic phase diagram along which the reduced-unit physics is invariant\(^{29,51}\) (Paper II\(^{79}\)).

The motivation for studying the EXP pair-potential system is the recent suggestion that the EXP potential may be regarded as “the mother of all pair potentials” in the sense that any pair potential of an R-simple single-component pair-potential system may be well approximated by a sum of EXP pair potentials with coefficients that in reduced units are numerically much larger than unity.\(^{31,44}\) Because the EXP system is R-simple, isomorph theory implies that any such linear combination has virtually the same structure and dynamics as the pristine EXP system;\(^{31}\) compare Sec. VII. This is our explanation of the quasiuniversality reported for the majority of simple liquids, which is traditionally explained by reference to the hard-sphere system.

The present paper focused on the gas and liquid phases. This is also the focus of Paper II studying the EXP system’s isomorphs.\(^{79}\)
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APPENDIX A: ANALYTICAL THEORY FOR THE VIRIAL POTENTIAL-ENERGY CORRELATION COEFFICIENT IN THE GAS PHASE

For mathematical simplicity, we use below the EXP unit system in which \( \varepsilon = \sigma = 1 \); moreover we put \( k_B = 1 \). The EXP pair potential is given by

\[
v(r) = e^{-r}.
\]  

(A1)

The inverse temperature is denoted by \( \beta \), i.e., \( \beta \equiv 1/T \).

When the density is sufficiently low, the individual pair energies and forces are statistically independent and one can calculate the averages in \( R \) [Eq. (7)] by reference to single particle pairs. This is the same simplification that was recently used to prove that the isomorph theory is exact in infinite dimensions for all pair-potential systems with strong repulsions.\(^ {81}\) For a pair at distance \( r \), the virial is given by \( w = (−1/3)v′(r) \), i.e.,

\[
w = \frac{1}{3} \ln(1/v).
\]  

(A2)

In terms of \( v \) and \( w \), Eq. (7) becomes

\[
R = \frac{⟨ww⟩ − ⟨w⟩^2}{\sqrt{⟨w^2⟩ − ⟨w⟩^2}}.
\]  

(A3)

The gas-phase physics is determined by the two-particle Boltzmann canonical probability, \( p(v) \propto r^2 \exp(−\beta v) \). The pair-potential energy \( v = \exp(−r) \) varies between zero and one, but when the temperature is low, little error arises from allowing \( v \) to be any positive number. The probability of finding the pair potential energy \( v \) is given by \( p(v) = (p(r)dr)dv \). Since \( r = \ln(1/v) \), one has \( p(v) \propto v^2 (1/v) \exp(−\beta v)dr/dv \) or
This distribution is not normalizable in the $v \to 0$ limit, reflecting the infinitely many particle pairs found far from each other. Introducing a lower $v$ cut-off, the normalization constant thus diverges as the cutoff goes to zero. This means that in expressions like $(\Delta v \Delta w) = (\Delta w) - (\Delta v)$ the latter product disappears as the $v$ cutoff goes to zero, so Eq. (A3) simplifies into

$$R = \frac{\langle vw \rangle}{\sqrt{v^2(w^2)}}. \quad (A5)$$

If one defines

$$A_n = \int_0^\infty v \ln^n(1/v) e^{-\beta v} dv \quad (A6)$$

and $K$ is the normalization constant of $p(v)$ with a cutoff, one has $(\langle v^2 \rangle) = KA_2$, $(\langle vw \rangle) = KA_3/3$, and $(\langle w^2 \rangle) = KA_4/9$, but $K$ does not enter into the final expression,

$$R = \frac{A_3}{\sqrt{A_2 A_4}}. \quad (A7)$$

Using Maple, one gets

$$A_2 = \beta^{-2} \left( \ln^2 \beta - 2(1 - C) \ln \beta + (\pi^2/6 + C^2 - 2C) \right), \quad (A8)$$

$$A_3 = \beta^{-2} \left[ \ln^3 \beta - 3(1 - C) \ln \beta \right. \\
\left. + (\pi^2/2 + 3C^2 - 6C) \ln \beta + k_3 \right], \quad (A9)$$

and

$$A_4 = \beta^{-2} \left( \ln^4 \beta - 4(1 - C) \ln^3 \beta + (\pi^2 + 6C^2 - 12C) \ln^2 \beta \\
+ h_4 \ln \beta + k_4 \right). \quad (A10)$$

Here

$$C \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty} \left( \frac{\sum_{p=1}^n \frac{1}{p} - \ln n}{n} \right) = 0.577216 \ldots \quad (A11)$$

is Euler’s constant (in his original notation, this number is sometimes denoted by $\gamma$).

$$k_3 = C^3 - 3C^2 + (\pi^2/2)C - 2C^2(3) = -0.48946 \quad (A12)$$

in which $\zeta(3) = 1.20206$ is the Riemann zeta function’s value at 3 (“Apery’s constant”),

$$h_4 = 2 \left( 2C^3 - 6C^2 + 7C^2 - \pi^2 \right) 4k_3 = -1.9578, \quad (A13)$$

and

$$k_4 = C^4 - 4C^3 + \pi^2 C^2 - 2\pi^2 C + 8\zeta(3)C - 8\zeta(3) + 3\pi^4/20 = 1.7820. \quad (A14)$$

Numerically, the three integrals are given by

$$\beta^2 A_2 = \ln^2 \beta - 0.8456 \ln \beta + 0.8237, \quad (A15)$$

$$\beta^2 A_3 = \ln^3 \beta - 1.268 \ln^2 \beta + 2.471 \ln \beta - 0.4895, \quad (A16)$$

and

$$\beta^2 A_4 = \ln^4 \beta - 1.691 \ln^3 \beta + 4.942 \ln^2 \beta \\
- 1.958 \ln \beta + 1.782. \quad (A17)$$

### APPENDIX B: SIMULATED STATE POINTS

The state points simulated involve the following densities

$$1.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 2.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 3.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 5.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 8.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 1.00 \cdot 10^{-4}; 1.25 \cdot 10^{-4}; 2.16 \cdot 10^{-4}; 3.43 \cdot 10^{-4}; 5.12 \cdot 10^{-4}; 7.29 \cdot 10^{-4}; 1.00 \cdot 10^{-3}; 2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}; 3.00 \cdot 10^{-3}; 5.00 \cdot 10^{-3}; 8.00 \cdot 10^{-3}; 1.00 \cdot 10^{-2}$$

and the following temperatures:

$$1.00 \cdot 10^{-6}; 2.00 \cdot 10^{-6}; 3.00 \cdot 10^{-6}; 5.00 \cdot 10^{-6}; 8.00 \cdot 10^{-6}; 1.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 2.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 3.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 5.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 8.00 \cdot 10^{-5}; 1.00 \cdot 10^{-4}; 2.00 \cdot 10^{-4}; 3.00 \cdot 10^{-4}; 5.00 \cdot 10^{-4}; 8.00 \cdot 10^{-4}; 1.25 \cdot 10^{-3}; 2.00 \cdot 10^{-3}; 3.33 \cdot 10^{-3}; 5.00 \cdot 10^{-3}; 1.00 \cdot 10^{-2}; 2.00 \cdot 10^{-2}; 3.00 \cdot 10^{-2}; 5.00 \cdot 10^{-2}; 8.00 \cdot 10^{-2}; 1.00 \cdot 10^{-1}; 2.00 \cdot 10^{-1}; 3.00 \cdot 10^{-1}; 5.00 \cdot 10^{-1}; 8.00 \cdot 10^{-1}; 1.$$
