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SOYBEAN BIOMASS PRODUCED IN ARGENTINA: MYTHS AND REALITIES


INTRODUCTION

Soybean biomass for biodiesel has been proposed as a means of reducing greenhouse gas emissions, as compared to fossil fuels. Several EU institutions and governments are designing certification schemes for the sustainable production of biomass. This study questions the validity of the proposed environmental standards, using as a case study the production of Argentine soybean.

Soybean production has profound environmental impacts. The use of pesticides induces resistance in weeds, leading to an increase in the quantity and variety of pesticides used. Soil fertility in decline is addressed by using synthetic fertilisers, whose production is energy intensive and whose use generates emissions of N\(_2\)O. The quantity of substances sprayed by terrestrial and aerial means has negative impacts on biodiversity, water, soil, and human and animal health.

This intensive production also has social impacts, including loss of livelihoods and food sovereignty and rural exodus. The demand for soybean is a driver of deforestation and the loss of native habitats, vital to climate stabilisation. Several studies have causally related deforestation to the outbreak of diseases in human populations.

The atmospheric impact of soybean cultivation has not been tested in situ. Some of the models for climate impact (N\(_2\)O emissions, etc) are based on in vitro studies, while field data are scarce. The situation has not been sufficiently researched in the EU or anywhere else. Furthermore, there are serious issues of enforcement of the environmental legislation in production countries, particularly now that EU countries are adopting mandatory blending of biofuels with fossil fuels. This study considers that a certification system will not create the conditions for environmental sustainability. It concludes that certifying soy monocultures as ‘sustainable’ would exacerbate existing climatic and environmental problems.

Demand due to EU biofuels obligations is one of the main drivers for increased soy crushing plant capacity in Argentina. Soy imports from Paraguay, Brazil and Bolivia will also be required, most of which are likely to be GM. In 2007 over 20 biodiesel projects were announced. If they were all come on line, production would exceed 2 billion litres by 2010 (GAIN Report: 2007).

IMMUTABLES OF SOY-BEAN PRODUCTION

Deforestation: The clearance of native forests and scrub is done by machines, fire, and aerial application of herbicides. Increased global demand for biofuels will lead to a further extension of the agricultural frontier in Argentina. In the Chaco region, there are plans for 3 million hectares of new land to be used for the production of biofuel feedstocks, incl. soybean (Pengue 2007).

Estimates of the atmospheric impacts of soybean cultivation, for which field data are scarce, are mostly based on in vitro studies. In Argentina, soybean cultivation is the main source of non-CO\(_2\) greenhouse gases (N\(_2\)O, Ch\(_4\) from agriculture (Taboada 2004). Emissions from soybean cultivated soils have been established (Dalgaard et al. 2008; Panicioli et al. 2008; Sheehan et al. 1998). However, it is difficult to measure N\(_2\)O emissions as these are highly variable, both during and between seasons. Evidence for increased carbon sequestration in no till agriculture is not compelling. In Argentina, all GM soybean is cultivated using no till (NT) methods. According to the IPCC methodology, conversion from conventional tillage (CT) to NT leads to an increase of 10% in carbon sequestration in the soil. However, recent studies have cast doubt on these claims (Baker et al. 2007). There is a poor understanding of how tillage controls soil respiration in relation to N\(_2\)O emissions and denitrification. In another study, higher CO\(_2\) & N\(_2\)O fluxes were measured in NT as compared to soil, irrespective of the nitrogen source and moisture content (Xuejun et al. 2007).

Increasing use of pesticides: The production of soy is dependent on agrochemicals, which have negative environmental impacts, including increased resistance to pesticides. This in turn leads to an increase in the quantity and the types of pesticide used.

Soil compaction, caused by the lack of soil turning, and the heavy equipment used for seeding and harvest, is associated with NT agriculture (Gerster et al. 2008). It is considered by some source to be one of the main factors driving the increased use of fertilizers and herbicides on soybean under NT (Bentbrook 2003).

Eutrophication: Current agricultural practices, which rely heavily on continuous additions of glyphosate, may alter the structure and function of many natural aquatic environments (Perez et al. 2007).

CONCLUSIONS

Certification schemes, however well-meaning, are not going to be able to address the environmental and social problems of GM-soybean cultivation. While the political, environmental and social conditions vary according to feedstock and producer country, it is clear that too little is known about the impacts of agricultural feedstock production and that further, context specific research is urgently required. For Argentina, the development of life cycle analyses (LCA) specific to the production of feedstocks in different regions are a high priority. LCAs should be carried out using data obtained in situ and not by computer modelling using standard data (i.e. default values). The inclusion of inputs such as fertilizers and herbicides, and the direct or indirect impacts of changes in land use will be necessary if such studies are to adequately reflect the systems being modelled.
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