

Buffer solutions in drug formulation and processing

How pKa values depend on temperature, pressure and ionic strength

Samuelsen, Lisa; Holm, René; Lathuile, Audrey; Schönbeck, Jens Christian Sidney

Published in:
International Journal of Pharmaceutics

DOI:
[10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019.02.019)

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (APA):
Samuelsen, L., Holm, R., Lathuile, A., & Schönbeck, J. C. S. (2019). Buffer solutions in drug formulation and processing: How pKa values depend on temperature, pressure and ionic strength. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 560, 357-364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019.02.019>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact rucforsk@kb.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Buffer Solutions in Drug Formulation and Processing: How pK_a values Depend on Temperature, Pressure and Ionic Strength

Lisa Samuelsen^a, René Holm^{a,b*}, Audrey Lathuile^b, and Christian Schönbeck^a

^aDepartment of Science and Environment, Roskilde University, Universitetsvej 1, DK-4000

Roskilde, Denmark; ^bDrug Product Development, Janssen Research and Development, Johnson & Johnson, Turnhoutseweg 30, 2340 Beerse, Belgium

*Corresponding author: rholm@ITS.JNJ.com

Abstract

Solution pH is an important factor during drug formulation and processing. Changes in pH present challenges. Regulation of pH is typically managed by using a buffer system, which must have a suitable pK_a . The pK_a value of buffers depends on temperature, pressure and ionic strength. In addition, the pK_a value can also be affected by the polarity of the solvent, e.g., by the addition of a co-solvent. Theoretical considerations and accessible experimental data were used to understand how the pK_a values of pharmaceutically relevant buffers depend on these factors. Changes in temperature also affect the buffer pK_a . Carboxylic acid moieties were least affected by changes in temperature. Buffers containing amino groups were most affected by changes in temperature, and the pK_a decreased as temperature was increased. It was possible to predict accurately how buffer pK_a varies with temperature, based on changes in enthalpy and heat capacity for the ionization reactions. Changes in pressure had a limited effect on buffer pK_a for pressures <100 MPa. At higher pressures, buffer pK_a varied by up to 0.5 pH units. Altering the ionic strength or polarity of the solvent influenced buffer pK_a slightly. However, it is possible to keep both the ionic strength and the polarity of the solvent constant during drug formulation and processing.

Keywords: pH; pharmaceutical processing; temperature-dependency; chemical thermodynamics; liquid formulations; autoclaving;

1. Introduction

Regulation of pH is often essential, e.g., biological and biochemical processes are controlled by proton transfer (Fukada and Takahashi, 1998). Energy production in mitochondria by reduction of NAD^+ to NADH is one example of a biological process governed by proton transfer, and many
5 biochemical studies are performed under pH-buffered conditions (Fukada and Takahashi, 1998; Karow et al., 2013; Mauger, 2017). pH is also an important factor in drug formulation and processing, as the pH facilitates folding and unfolding of proteins, chemical degradation and solubility of drug compounds (Babic et al., 2007; O'Brien et al., 2012; Stoll and Blanchard, 1990). It is estimated that two-thirds of marketed and potential drugs are ionizable compounds (Williams et
10 al., 2013). Most ionizable drugs are weak electrolytes, thus their dissociation is partially pH-dependent (Williams et al., 2013). Regulation of pH is used to ensure the quality and performance of drug formulations, since pH can improve the overall thermodynamic parameters of reactions, chemical stability and solubility. During pharmaceutical processing such as autoclaving, homogenization, stability testing and storage, controlling pH can be considered as a critical quality
15 attribute in many systems and formulations.

Buffer systems are central in the control of pH, making it important to understand buffer properties in order to obtain a robust formulation. All weak acids or bases and their corresponding base or acid in aqueous solution can be a buffer solution. It is easy to imagine the large numbers of potential
20 buffers that exist (Stoll and Blanchard, 2009), though within drug formulation a limited number of buffers are usually used. In general, buffers can be characterized based on their chemical structure as, i) carboxylic acid buffers, ii) phosphate buffers, iii) zwitterionic buffers and iv) Tris-based buffers (Mauger, 2017). Buffers should: (1) have a relevant pK_a , (2) have a high aqueous solubility to achieve a sufficient buffer capacity, (3) be chemically stable, (4) exhibit minimal toxicity, and (5)

25 be inexpensive, easily purified and accessible (Good et al., 1966; Mauger, 2017; Stoll and
Blanchard, 1990; Yalkowsky, 1999). Selecting a buffer with a relevant pK_a is important and it
should reflect the experimental conditions, i.e., as a general rule buffers are most effective in a
range of ± 1 pH units of their pK_a (Mauger, 2017).

30 Buffer solutions and their chemistry have been investigated since the beginning of the 1900s. The
pK_a of various buffer species vary as a function of temperature, pressure and ionic strength (Fukada
and Takahashi, 1998; Good et al., 1966; Mauger, 2017; Stoll and Blanchard, 1990). These three
parameters can all be varied in a drug formulation and during processing of the formulation. It is,
therefore, important for the pharmaceutical scientist to understand how the pK_a of buffers varies
35 with these parameters to ensure both a robust formulation during processing, e.g. during
sterilization, and during storage (Karow et al., 2013). To understand the variation of pK_a,
knowledge of thermodynamic data for the ionization of acids and bases is necessary.

The present work aims to provide formulation scientists with an overview of how the pH of the
40 buffers used in pharmaceutical drug formulation is influenced by temperature, pressure and ionic
strength based on accessible experimental data and theoretical considerations.

2 Theoretical background

A buffer solution or buffering agent is an aqueous solution of a weak acid (HA) or base (A⁻) in
45 equilibrium with its corresponding base or acid.



The equilibrium constant is referred to as the acid dissociation constant K_a or ionization constant. It relates to the degree of dissociation of the acid, and generally it is calculated based on the concentrations of the reacting species:

$$K_a = \frac{[H^+][A^-]}{[HA]} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

So the K_a is a measure of the strength of the acid. Strong acids have a high K_a , which means a high degree of dissociation. Most often, acid strengths are reported as pK_a values, the negative logarithm of K_a . This value is strongly related to the pH of the solution, and the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation describes how the pH depends on the concentration of the buffer components and pK_a :

$$\text{pH} = \text{p}K_a + \log \frac{[A^-]}{[HA]} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

The buffer pK_a depends on temperature, pressure and ionic strength, and the pH of the solution is affected accordingly. To understand how such factors influence the pK_a , it is important to understand the thermodynamics behind the equilibrium constant. ΔG° (Gibb's free energy) is the thermodynamic parameter quantifying the energy that drives reactions. At constant temperature (T in K) and pressure, ΔG° is defined by the change in enthalpy (H) and entropy (S):

$$\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T\Delta S^\circ \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

For a system in chemical equilibrium, ΔG° is related to the equilibrium constant:

$$\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_a \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

where R is the gas constant, and T is the temperature.

2.1 Theoretical influence of temperature

Through standard Gibb's free energy in eq. 4 and 5, the equilibrium constant can be linked to enthalpy and entropy:

70

$$\ln K_a = -\frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

It is possible to differentiate the expression in equation 7 with respect to T and get the van't Hoff equation, which describes how K_a depends on temperature.

$$\frac{\partial \ln K_a}{\partial T} = \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT^2} \quad (\text{Eq. 7})$$

Eq. 7 shows that the temperature dependence of K_a is governed by the protonation enthalpy. A large
75 absolute value of ΔH means that K_a is strongly temperature dependent. The van't Hoff equation is typically written for a specific integral between temperatures T_1 and T_2 :

$$\ln \frac{K_2}{K_1} = \frac{-\Delta H^\circ}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_2} - \frac{1}{T_1} \right) \quad (\text{Eq. 8})$$

This equation shows the link between K_a and temperature with the assumption that ΔH is a constant
in the given temperature range. However, ΔH does change with temperature. The change in
80 enthalpy as a function of temperature is described by a quantity termed the heat capacity, C_p :

$$\Delta C_p = \frac{\partial \Delta H}{\partial T} \quad (\text{Eq. 9})$$

By combining eq. 8 and 9, it is possible to derive an extended van't Hoff equation:

$$\ln K_2 = \frac{\Delta H_1 - T_1 \Delta C_p}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_1} - \frac{1}{T_2} \right) + \frac{\Delta C_p}{R} \ln \left(\frac{T_2}{T_1} \right) + \ln K_1 \quad (\text{Eq. 10})$$

where the subscripts refer to the values at temperatures 1 and 2. Thus, the correlation between K_a
85 and temperature depends on the thermodynamic quantities ΔH and ΔC_p , and it possible to calculate K_2 at any temperature T_2 as long as K_1 , ΔH and ΔC_p are known at temperature T_1 .

2.2 Effect of pressure

For buffers in solution, the effect of pressure on the equilibrium constant is closely related to the
90 change in volume (Hayert et al., 1999; Quinlan and Reinhart, 2005; Van Eldik et al., 1989). From a chemical thermodynamic perspective, the relationship between the pressure and volume of liquids

is described by compressibility, which is the decrease in volume upon pressure increase. In chemical thermodynamics, the chemical potential is defined as the partial molar Gibb's free energy:

$$\mu = \frac{\partial G}{\partial N} \quad (\text{Eq. 11})$$

95 The chemical potential is affected by composition, temperature and pressure. The variation of chemical potential of a solute species at constant temperature is a function of the pressure P and the volume V of the solute species:

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial P} = V \quad (\text{Eq. 12})$$

By combining eq. 5, 11 and 12, the relation between the volume change and the equilibrium
100 constant at constant temperature with change in pressure becomes evident:

$$-RT \frac{\partial \ln K_a}{\partial P} = \Delta V \quad (\text{Eq. 13})$$

Liquids are often practically incompressible due to tight packing of the species in solution. This is due to intermolecular repulsive forces in solution. In reality, liquids are slightly compressible, and pressure increase leads to small volume changes. At pressures <300-400 MPa, the volume changes
105 with pressure are minimal (Quinlan and Reinhart, 2005). This means that small changes in pressure have a limited effect on the composition and thus the equilibrium in solution.

2.3 Ionic strength

Ions exhibit strong interactions in solution, resulting in deviation from ideal behavior. In eq. 2, K_a is
110 expressed in terms of the concentrations of the reacting species. However, this is too simplistic and it is inadequate to describe the true nature of K_a this way, due to solutions deviating from ideal behavior. Therefore, the activity of the reacting species must be used instead:

$$K_a = \frac{a_{H^+} a_{A^-}}{a_{HA}} = \frac{\gamma[H^+]\gamma[A^-]}{\gamma[HA]} \quad (\text{Eq. 14})$$

where a is the activity, and γ is the activity coefficient. By using the activity term, a more precise
115 equilibrium constant can be determined. At low ionic strength, the activity coefficient of an ionic
solution (γ) can be calculated from the Debye-Hückel equation:

$$\log\gamma = -z^2 A I^{1/2} \quad (\text{Eq. 15})$$

where A is a constant, z is the charge and I is the ionic strength. A and I can be calculated
(Kennedy, 1990):

$$120 \quad A = 1.824 \cdot 10^6 \cdot (\epsilon T)^{-3/2} \quad (\text{Eq. 16})$$

A depends on temperature and the dielectric constant ϵ of the solvent. The ionic strength (I)
describes the intensity of the ion atmosphere of the entire solution (Kennedy, 1990):

$$I = 1/2 \sum z^2 C \quad (\text{Eq. 17})$$

The sum of charge (z) and concentration (C) refers to all of the various ions in the solution. The
125 Debye-Hückel equation is valid at low ionic strength. Better estimates of the activity coefficient at
higher ionic strength is possible by including terms for the effective radius of the ions or with
empirical constants (Goldberg et al., 2002; Kennedy, 1990; Roy et al., 2006):

$$\log\gamma = -\frac{Az^2\sqrt{I}}{1+Ba^*\sqrt{I}} + CI \quad (\text{Eq. 18})$$

where B is constant, a^* is the ion size parameter, and C is an adjustable parameter based on curve-
130 fitting. As shown by these equations, the activity coefficient depends on the ionic strength, the
charge and the temperature, thus making pK_a dependent on ionic strength.

3. Experimental determination of thermodynamic quantities pK_a , ΔH , and ΔC_p

Some methods used to determine pK_a include potentiometry, spectrometry, conductometry,
135 solubility, NMR, electrochemical cells with and without liquid junctions and calorimetry (Babic et
al., 2007; Goldberg et al., 2002; Nowak et al., 2015; Reijenga et al., 2013). By combining

experiments and thermodynamic equations, it is possible to determine how pK_a depends on temperature, and thus also how to use this information during drug formulation and processing.

140 The methods mentioned above are all widely used and accepted. However, a discussion of which techniques are superior is complex and beyond the scope of this work. Instead, interested readers are referred to papers such as Reijenga et al. (2013) and Babic et al. (2007) for descriptions of techniques and comparison between methods. It is worth noting though, that differences in results are seen between various experimental methods used to determine thermodynamic quantities. Also,
145 there are a differences in how well results and experimental conditions are reported. Potentiometric titration is an inexpensive and simple technique (Reijenga et al., 2013), but results are often summarized and the experimental data and conditions are not always reported in full detail (Goldberg et al., 2002). For conductivity measurements, electrochemical cell setups, and calorimetric studies, the experimental conditions, the composition of solutions as well as the
150 primary data are often reported, making it possible to recalculate the thermodynamic results based on these methods (Goldberg et al., 2002). By reporting experimental conditions and results more clearly, it enables recalculation of data (Goldberg et al., 2002). This provides an important tool in validating existing and potential thermodynamic models.

155 **4. Data selection**

During drug formulation and processing, it is important to choose a suitable buffer. For the present work some pharmaceutically relevant buffers have been selected, where the criteria for selecting buffers were 1) relevance for drug formulation, 2) availability of literature regarding thermodynamic quantities at various temperatures, pressure and ionic strength, and lastly 3) buffers
160 with different chemical structure should be included to highlight any potential differences based on

the chemical structure. Values of pK_a , ΔH° , and ΔC_p° at 25°C of selected buffers are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The table shows pK_a, ΔH and ΔC_p at 25°C for selected buffers used regularly in the pharmaceutical industry. ^a(Goldberg et al., 2002). ^b(Nagai et al., 2008). ^cpK₁ including aqueous CO₂. na = data not available.

Buffer		pK _a	Δ _r H° (kJ mol ⁻¹)	Δ _r C _p ° (J K ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹)
Acetate ^a		4.76	-0.41	-142
Carbonate ^a	pK ₁	3.60	na	na
	pK _{1.1} ^c	6.35	9.15	-371
	pK ₂	10.33	14.70	-249
Citrate ^a	pK ₁	3.13	4.07	-131
	pK ₂	4.76	2.23	-178
	pK ₃	6.40	-3.38	-254
Succinate ^a	pK ₁	4.21	3.0	-121
	pK ₂	5.64	-0.5	-217
Phosphate ^a	pK ₁	2.15	-8.0	-141
	pK ₂	7.20	3.6	-230
	pK ₃	12.33	16.0	-242
Tris ^a		8.06	47.45	-59
HEPES ^a	pK ₁	3.00	na	na
	pK ₂	7.50	20.4	47
MES ^a		6.27	14.8	5
TAPS ^a		8.44	40.4	15
Histidine ^{a,b}	pK ₁	1.56	-2.3	na
	pK ₂	6.07	29.5	176
	pK ₃	9.34	43.8	-233
Lysine ^b	pK ₁	1.85	0.33	na
	pK ₂	9.09	39.3	na
	pK ₃	10.90	43.5	na
Glutamate ^b	pK ₁	2.19	-0.366	na
	pK ₂	4.45	-0.798	na
	pK ₃	10.10	4.5	na

165

ΔH describes how the equilibrium constant depends on temperature. For ΔH close to 0, the equilibrium constant shows little variation with temperature as described by Eq. 8. ΔC_p describes how ΔH depends on temperature. A ΔC_p value close to 0 means that ΔH varies little with temperature.

170

5. Pressure has a negligible effect on buffer pK_a at pressures <100 MPa

Theoretically, a small change in pressure will lead to minimal changes in K_a . For carboxylic acids such as acetate, succinate and citrate, the pK_a decreases as pressure is increased (Hayert et al., 1999; Kumar, 2005; Quinlan and Reinhart, 2005). The decrease in pK_a is relatively small. For acetic acid, a decrease of 0.1 pH units is observed when pressure is increased from 0.1 MPa to 25.3 MPa (Kumar, 2005), and a decrease of 0.2 pH units when pressure is increased to 100 MPa (Hayert et al., 1999). Evidently, the reduction in pK_a is minimal for these buffers, even when pressure changes significantly.

180 Increase in pressure decreases the pK_a of phosphate buffer (Hayert et al., 1999; Quinlan and Reinhart, 2005). Phosphate is slightly more sensitive to pressure changes than carboxylic acid buffers, and for the range 0.1-100 MPa pK_a decreases by 0.3 pH units (Hayert et al., 1999). Phosphate buffer is increasingly more sensitive at higher pressures (Hayert et al., 1999).

185 Tris buffers and biological buffers such as HEPES and MES also show sensitivity towards pressure. However, in contrast with carboxylic acid buffers, pK_a increases with pressure (Hayert et al., 1999; Quinlan and Reinhart, 2005). The magnitude is similar to that of carboxylic acid buffers (Quinlan and Reinhart, 2005). Tris is thought to be almost pressure insensitive (Neuman et al., 1973). The

190 difference among the various groups of buffers is thought to be related to charges in the solution, as volume contractions occur due to packing of water molecules around the charged species (Hayert et al., 1999).

It has been shown in several studies that the relationship between pK_a and pressure is approximately linear for the pressure range of 0-200 MPa (Hayert et al., 1999; Li and Duan, 2007; Quinlan and 195 Reinhart, 2005), thus making it possible to interpolate the change in pK_a value for pressures relevant during pharmaceutical processing. During autoclaving, pressure changes occur for liquid systems as the pressure increases to around 0.304 MPa (3 atm) to obtain the sterilization temperature. The change in pressure during autoclaving corresponds to a decrease of 0.0005 pH units for acetic acid, so the influence of pressure on the pK_a value during autoclaving can be 200 regarded as negligible. Other pharmaceutical processes where pressure changes may be relevant include homogenization, lyophilization, liposome extrusion, and transfer of liquid between compounding vessels. During production of e.g., suspensions or emulsions, homogenization is often used. Thus, a pressure of 10 MPa (100 atm) may be applied. Under these conditions the pK_a of acetic acid decreases less than 0.04 pH units, which is still considered a small change. For high 205 pressure homogenization, a pressure around 150-200 MPa can be applied, which will affect the pK_a of most buffers by about 0.5 pH units. This can potentially influence the stability of drug formulations and should hence be considered.

6. Temperature effect on pK_a depends on chemical structure

210 Carboxylic acid buffers are least affected by temperature changes (Goldberg et al., 2002; Karow et al., 2013; Mauger, 2017). The pK_a values as a function of temperature for some carboxylic acid buffers are shown in figure 1, which is based on data reported by Goldberg et al. (2002).

An increase in temperature leads to a slight decreases in pK_a values at low temperatures (0-50°C), and pK_a increases as the temperature exceeds 50°C (Goldberg et al., 2002; Li and Duan, 2007). The changes are, however, small. The pK_a of acetate decreases by 0.025 pH units from 0-25°C, and increases 0.290 by pH units in the interval 25-125°C (Goldberg et al., 2002). Similar small changes in pK_a values are reported for many carboxylic acids - among others citrate and succinate (Goldberg et al., 2002; Quinlan and Reinhart, 2005). By curve fitting the data from figure 1, it is evident that there is a quadratic relationship between pK_a and temperature for all three buffers. They fit a second-order polynomial function with similar quadratic coefficients, which means that the curvature is similar. Based on these data, the relationship between pK_a and temperature is generally similar for the carboxylic acid buffers. Common for these buffers are also dissociation enthalpy close to 0 (Table 1) (Fukada and Takahashi, 1998; Goldberg et al., 2002). This means that pK_a hardly changes with temperature.

The dissociation of the first two protons of phosphate also have enthalpy values close to 0, and pK_a changes only slightly with temperature (Karow et al., 2013; Mauger, 2017). In the temperature interval 25-125°C, the pK_1 of phosphate increases by 0.552 pH units (Goldberg et al., 2002). The enthalpy of the third proton dissociation of phosphate is larger and theoretically the temperature-dependency would thus be greater. However, experimental data is only available up to 37°C. The dissociation of carbonate has higher enthalpy changes, and thus also a greater temperature dependency.

The buffer most sensitive to temperature changes is Tris (Goldberg et al., 2002; Mauger, 2017). The dissociation of Tris also shows the highest enthalpy change. Dissociation of biological buffers such as MES, HEPES and TAPS also exhibits high enthalpy changes (Table 1), and in general these

240 buffers are more sensitive to temperature changes compared to carboxylic acid buffers. Figure 2 shows how the pK_a values of some biological buffers vary with temperature. In contrast to carboxylic acid buffers, biological buffers and Tris buffer have decreasing pK_a values as temperatures are increased (Roig et al., 1993; Roy et al., 2009; Roy et al., 2011). This indicates that increasing temperature does not favor ionization of the buffer. The pK_a of Tris decreases 1.987 pH units in the interval 25-125°C (Goldberg et al., 2002). A similar decrease in pK_a is seen for TAPS, whereas MES and HEPES show slightly smaller decreases in pK_a values (Fukada and Takahashi, 1998).

245

Other buffers with high dissociation enthalpy changes include the amino acids histidine and lysine. These buffers have high enthalpy values for the second and third dissociation represented by pK_2 and pK_3 . Histidine has a pK_2 change of 0.46 pH units in the range 25-60°C, and similarly, lysine has a pK_2 change of 0.75 pH units (Nagai et al., 2008). For the amino acid glutamate, only pK_3 is temperature sensitive (Gupta et al., 2013; Nagai et al., 2008). No experimental values for the pK_a of amino acids at temperatures >60°C could be found. However, it is possible to extrapolate these values to higher temperatures based on ΔH and ΔC_p (Table 1) using Eq. 8 and 10. The extrapolation of pK_a values for histidine, lysine, and glutamate are shown in figure 3. Figure 3 shows both experimental data from Nagai et al. (2008) and extrapolated pK_a values for temperatures above 255 60°C. The extrapolation shows that pK_2 and pK_3 for lysine and pK_3 for histidine are sensitive to temperature. The pK_1 and pK_2 values for glutamate are insensitive to temperature, and so is pK_1 for lysine and pK_2 for histidine. The extrapolation of pK_3 value for glutamate does not fit well with the experimental data. The values are calculated based on ΔH and Eq. 8, and the fit would likely be improved if ΔC_p was known.

260

There is a clear correlation between dissociation enthalpies close to 0 and lower sensitivity towards temperature increase. This has been described previously (Fukada and Takahashi, 1998; Karow et al., 2013). The selected biological buffers and Tris have high dissociation enthalpy values at 25°C, and ΔC_p is close to 0. This means the ΔH values will not change significantly when temperatures are increased, which means that these buffers are sensitive to temperature changes as seen in figure 2. For carboxylic acid buffers, small enthalpy changes at 25°C are most commonly seen, and there is thus a small temperature dependency. The selected carboxylic acid buffers have high ΔC_p values, which results in larger changes in dissociation enthalpy at higher temperatures, and this explains why pK_a values of carboxylic acids become increasingly more sensitive as temperatures are increased (see figure 1). The carboxylic acid moiety of amino acids exhibits dissociation enthalpies close to 0, and these pK_a values are insensitive to temperature changes (see figure 3). By comparing pK_a values of amino acids with the various functional groups in each amino acid, it becomes evident that the functional groups reflect the sensitivity towards temperature. Histidine and lysine have one carboxylic acid moiety each represented by pK_1 , and both moieties have low dissociation enthalpies. On the other hand, glutamic acid has two functional carboxylic acid moieties i.e., pK_1 and pK_2 , and both of these moieties have low dissociation enthalpies. The two amino moieties of histidine and lysine have higher dissociation enthalpies, and are thus more sensitive to temperature changes (figure 3). The functional groups reflect the sensitivity towards temperature, as histidine and lysine, with only one carboxylic acid moiety, are more sensitive to changes in temperature compared to glutamic acid. Further, it is observed that buffers containing amino groups are very temperature dependent. The effect of temperature on the pK_a values of buffers is linked to the chemical structure. This knowledge can be used to qualitatively predict the temperature sensitivity of a buffer system.

285 During drug formulation, processing and storage temperatures can vary. Storage temperatures are often as low as 5°C, but may vary from country to country. In warmer climates, storage temperatures may be up to 30°C. During processing such as autoclaving temperatures are up to 130°C. The pK_a of Tris is 8.06 at 25°C, and thus it has a buffering capacity in the range 7-9 at this temperature. As temperature is increased to 130°C, pK_a decreases to 6.07, and correspondingly the pH of the solution decreases by 2 pH units. Tris is considered to be the most temperature-sensitive buffer, and the above mentioned example was therefore based on this buffer. Also during homogenization of formulations, temperature can vary, which can affect the pK_a. As the liquid is compressed, the temperature will rise 2-2.5°C per 10 MPa. The pressure increase during homogenization is approximately 50 MPa, meaning the temperature will increase by 10-12.5°C.

290

295 During homogenization, this will correspond to a decrease in pK_a of approximately 0.3 pH units for Tris buffer. However, during high pressure homogenization, the pressure increase is 100-200 MPa, and thus the temperature increase will be 20-50°C. In addition to temperature rising with increasing pressure, the formulation is sometimes preheated prior to homogenization. The maximum temperature during high pressure homogenization can reach 140°C, which would affect the pK_a of buffers. As drug formulations highly depend on the regulation of pH, this is potentially a problem that should be incorporated into the formulation and process design. One way of doing this could be to use a flowchart (figure 4) that visualizes how temperature and pressure should be considered when selecting a suitable buffer. Altering the pK_a of a system changes the pH of the solution, which influences the solubility and chemical stability of the product. Amino-containing buffers appear most sensitive to temperature changes, according to the data presented in this work. However, to evaluate other buffer species more closely, it is suggested to consider ΔH and ΔC_p of the reaction. If ΔH is known, it is possible to predict the variation of pK_a with temperature over small temperature intervals by using Eq. 8. By knowing ΔH and ΔC_p , it is possible to make more accurate predictions

300

305

for pK_a across a wider range of temperatures using Eq. 10. These two parameters should be
310 considered and used as a guide during drug formulation or processing, as illustrated in figure 4.

The temperature-dependency is sometimes crucial for selecting a suitable buffer, and in such
situations a carboxylic acid buffer would be preferred. However, there might be situations where
carboxylic acid buffers are not suitable due to unwanted interactions. Alternatively, it should be
315 possible to use a mixture of buffers to obtain a suitable system that is less affected by temperature.
In a study by Quinland and Reinhart (2005), it was proposed that insensitivity of pK_a values to
pressure might be achieved by mixing two buffers; one with increasing pK_a as pressure is increased,
and one with decreasing pK_a as pressure is increased. Glutamate is a buffer that contains two
carboxylic acid groups and an amino group, and the amino acid moiety is less affected by changes
320 in temperature compared to other amino-containing buffers. Thus, a mixture of a biological or Tris
based buffer with carboxylic acid buffer could lead to a buffer less sensitive to changes in
temperature than biological buffers by themselves. However, it would be necessary to verify this
experimentally.

325 **7. Influence of ionic strength on pK_a of selected buffers**

The ionic strength of a solution describes the interactive forces and distances between the ions in
the solution. Ionic strength can be increased by increasing the concentration of existing components
or by adding ionic compounds. It is possible to keep the ionic strength practically constant by
having a large concentration of background electrolytes (e.g. buffer) compared to the ionic
330 contribution of added species (Ferra et al., 2011). As described previously, changes in ionic strength
affect the activity coefficient, and will thus lead to changes in pK_a values. For carboxylic acid
buffers, the pK_a decreases with increasing ionic strength until a minimum is reached; further

increase of the ionic strength leads to an increase in pK_a . The minimum for carboxylic acids is reached for ionic strength around 0.42-1.5 mol/kg. The pK_a of acetate decreases around 0.3 pH units as ionic strength is increased in the interval 0-0.5 mol/kg at 25°C (Ferra et al., 2011; Harned et al., 1937). Similar decreases in pK_a are seen for citrate and succinate in the interval 0-1 mol/kg at 25°C (Bénézeth et al., 1997; Kettler et al., 1995). The pK_a of carbonate also decreases by a similar magnitude in the interval 0-1.5 mol/kg (He and Morse, 1993; Millero et al., 2007). Phosphate shows a relationship between pK_a and ionic strength that is similar to that of carboxylic acid buffers. The pK_a decreases for ionic strength 0-3 mol/kg, and increases for higher ionic strength (Hershey et al., 1989).

Tris behaves differently from carboxylic acid buffers when ionic strength is increased, as was also seen for the pK_a -temperature relationship. The pK_a of Tris increases by about 0.2 pH units in the interval 0-1 mol/kg at 25°C (Izaguirre and Millero, 1987; Palmer and Wesolowski, 1987). An increase in pK_a with increasing ionic strength is also observed for biological buffers (Roig et al., 1993; Roy et al., 2002; Wesolowski and Palmer, 1989).

It is evident that the structure of the buffers dictates how they are affected by ionic strength. This is similar to what is observed for the temperature effect on buffer pK_a . However, whereas the pK_a of Tris and biological buffers decreases with temperature, pK_a values increase with ionic strength. For carboxylic acid buffers, the effect of ionic strength on pK_a is interval specific. Manipulating ionic strength can be exploited during drug formulation to increase chemical stability or improve solubility without having to change the buffer system. Increasing the ionic strength of Tris buffer will increase the pK_a , and thus alter the appropriate range of the buffer. At 0 mol/kg ionic strength the pK_a of Tris is 8.06, but at 2 mol/kg, the pK_a increases to 8.41, thus slightly changing the

appropriate buffer range to 7.5-9.5. Exploiting the variation of pK_a has the potential to make buffers more versatile, as a relevant pK_a value is essential in choosing the appropriate buffer system.

360 As described previously, the thermodynamic equilibrium constant is defined by the concentration and the activity coefficient, thus this should be accounted for when reporting pK_a values. By adjusting the pK_a values to 0 mol/kg ionic strength, it would become easier to compare pK_a values reported in different studies. In many cases, the activity coefficients are unknown, but these can be estimated by the Debye-Hückel model or similar models. The biggest challenge in doing so is
365 choosing the correct model, as the Debye-Hückel equation is valid at low ionic strength, and the inclusion of empirical constants is needed to account for a more complex ion environment and specific interactions. Extending and understanding the ion activity models are a field of study of its own, but accurate reporting of thermodynamic pK_a values will make it easier to compare values obtained in different studies.

370

8. Role of organic co-solvents in relation to buffer pK_a

Organic co-solvents have a lower dielectric constant than water. The dielectric constant is a measure of the polarity of a solvent, and thus the addition of organic co-solvents will reduce the overall polarity of a solution. The concentration of organic co-solvent is often varied during pre-
375 formulation to find a suitable concentration, but during later pharmaceutical processing, the concentration will be constant. From Eq. 15 and 16, it can be seen how the activity coefficient depends on the dielectric constant. Reduction in the dielectric constant results in a decreased polarity. Changes in the polarity of the solution will lead to changes in pK_a values (Avdeef et al., 1999; Yalkowsky, 1999; Yasuda, 1959). The variation in pK_a depends on the fraction of the co-
380 solvent, the pH of the solution and the buffer species (Subirats et al., 2007). For carboxylic acids,

addition of co-solvents will increase the pK_a values (Williams et al., 2013). The magnitude of the increase depends on the polarity and amount of the co-solvent. The pK_a of acetic acid increases from 4.76 to 5.89 in a 50:50 mixture of water-DMSO (Yalkowsky, 1999). Also in a 50:50 mixture of water-ethanol is a change in the pK_a value of acetic acid observed, where it has been reported to be 5.62 (Yalkowsky, 1999). The pK_a of phosphate buffer also increases as the polarity of the solvent decreases (Yalkowsky, 1999).

The pK_a of Tris decreases from 8.06 to 7.66 in a 50:50 mixture of water-DMSO (Yalkowsky, 1999). Biological buffers, i.e. HEPES, MES TAPS etc., show a similar decrease in pK_a values by addition of organic co-solvents. In general, the effect on pK_a values by co-solvents is smaller with Tris and biological buffers compared to carboxylic acid buffers. In general, when adding co-solvents, the pK_a value of a base is decreased by half as much as the pK_a value of an acid is increased (Yalkowsky, 1999).

The acidity of the buffer dictates the effect of added organic co-solvents. In drug formulation and processing, addition of organic co-solvents can be a necessity. Organic co-solvents are used to overcome issues with low aqueous solubility (Avdeef, 1998). This strategy is often applied to non-polar compounds which are practically insoluble in water (Williams et al., 2013). The amount of organic co-solvent needed depends on the insoluble compound and the desired concentration. The effects of an organic co-solvent on the pK_a of the buffer can be minimized by using a small amount of organic co-solvent and choosing a co-solvent with a dielectric constant close to that of water.

It is possible to extrapolate the obtained pK_a values to zero organic solvent content (Avdeef et al., 1999; Rived et al., 2001). Several extrapolation equations exist, but the most popular relates the

405 dielectric constant to the pK_a value through a linear relationship (Ruiz et al., 2005). The pK_a value must be measured at various water-methanol concentrations to obtain empirical constants that can be used for the extrapolation. A linear relationship is seen for 0-60 % methanol content. More advanced models also exist.

410 **9. Summary**

The pH of a buffer solution is an important characteristic during drug formulation and processing, and it depends on the buffer pK_a . Altering the temperature affects the buffer pK_a as described by the van't Hoff equations, and the effect on pK_a can be predicted based on ΔH and ΔC_p . The effect of temperature depends on the functional groups of the buffer. Carboxylic acid buffers and carboxylic
415 acid moieties in amino acids have a low temperature-dependency, while amine containing buffers are very temperature-dependent. Pressure changes within an interval of 0-100 MPa result in practically no changes in pK_a values for any of the buffer species. At higher pressures, changes in pK_a up to 0.5 pH units should be expected.

420 Altering ionic strength or the polarity of the solvent can be used to increase solubility of poorly soluble compounds, but these changes will affect the pK_a value due to the effect on the activity coefficient. The ionic strength affects the pK_a value in an interval-dependent manner depending on the structure of the buffer. Co-solvents reduce the polarity of the solvent resulting in decreased pK_a values for bases and increased pK_a values for acids.

425 The effect on pK_a can be exploited to increase chemical stability. However, changes in pK_a values can also present challenges during drug formulation and processing, i.e., during autoclaving, storage or homogenization where temperature or pressure increase. A carboxylic acid buffer or phosphate

buffer would be most suited to avoid changes in pK_a value of the buffer due to temperature changes.

430 To avoid changes in pK_a of buffer species, the ionic strength and polarity of the solvent should be kept constant.

Acknowledgement

John David Simpson is highly acknowledged for linguistic support.

435 **References**

- Avdeef, A., 1998. pH-metric Solubility. 1. Solubility-pH Profiles from Bjerrum Plots. Gibbs Buffer and pKa in the Solid State. *Pharm. Pharmacol. Commun.* 4, 165–178.
- Avdeef, A., Box, K.J., Comer, J.E.A., Gilges, M., Hadley, M., Hibbert, C., Patterson, W., Tam, K.Y., 1999. PH-metric log P 11. pK a determination of water-insoluble drugs in organic solvent-water mixtures, *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*.
- 440
- Babic, S., Horvat, A.J.M., Mutavdžic, D.P., Kaštelan-Macan, M., 2007. Determination of pK a values of active pharmaceutical ingredients. *Trends Anal. Chem.* 26, 1043–1061. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2007.09.004>
- Bénézech, P., Palmer, D.A., Wesolowski, D.J., 1997. Dissociation Quotients for Citric Acid in Aqueous Sodium Chloride Media to 150 degree C. *J. Solution Chem.* 26, 63–84.
- 445
- Ferra, M.I.A., Grac-A, J.R., Marques, A.M.M., 2011. Ionization of Acetic Acid in Aqueous Potassium Chloride Solutions. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 56, 3673–3678. <https://doi.org/10.1021/je200584a>
- Fukada, H., Takahashi, K., 1998. Enthalpy and heat capacity changes for the proton dissociation of various buffer components in 0.1 M potassium chloride. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Genet.* 33, 159–166. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0134\(19981101\)33:2<159::AID-PROT2>3.0.CO;2-E](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0134(19981101)33:2<159::AID-PROT2>3.0.CO;2-E)
- 450
- Goldberg, R.N., Kishore, N., Lennen, R.M., 2002. Thermodynamic quantities for the ionization reactions of buffers. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 31, 231–370. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1416902>
- Good, N.E., Winget, G.D., Winter, W., Connolly, T.N., Izawa, S., Singh, R.M., 1966. Hydrogen Ion Buffers for Biological Research*. *Biochemistry* 5, 467–477.
- 455
- Gupta, M., Da Silva, E.F., Svendsen, H.F., 2013. Modeling Temperature Dependency of Ionization Constants of Amino Acids and Carboxylic Acids. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 117, 7695–7709. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp402496u>

- 460 Harned, H.S., Herbert Harned, B.S., Hickey, F.C., 1937. The Ionization of Acetic Acid in Aqueous Sodium Chloride Solutions from 0 to 40°. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 59, 1284–1288.
- Hayert, M., Perrier-Cornet, J.-M., Gervais, P., 1999. A Simple Method for Measuring the pH of Acid Solutions Under High Pressure. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 103, 1785–1789. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp983204z>
- 465 He, S., Morse, J.W., 1993. The carbonic acid system and calcite solubility in aqueous Na-K-Ca-Mg-Cl-SO₄ solutions from 0 to 90°C. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 57, 3533–3554.
- Hershey, J.P., Fernandez, M., Millero, F.J., 1989. The Dissociation of Phosphoric Acid in NaCl and NaMgCl Solutions at 25 degree C. *J. Solution Chem.* 18, 875–891.
- Izaguirre, M., Millero, F.J., 1987. The pK* for the Dissociation of TRISH in NaClO₄ Media. *J. Solution Chem.* 16, 827–834.
- 470 Karow, A.R., Bahrenburg, S., Garidel, P., 2013. Buffer capacity of biologics-from buffer salts to buffering by antibodies. *Biotechnol. Prog.* 29, 480–492. <https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.1682>
- Kennedy, C.D., 1990. Ionic Strength and the Dissociation of Acids. *Biochem. Educ.* 18, 35–40.
- Kettler, R.M., Wesolowski, D.J., Palmer, D.A., 1995. Dissociation Quotient of Benzoic Acid in Aqueous Sodium Chloride Media to 250°C. *J. Solution Chem.* 24.
- 475 Kumar, A., 2005. Pressure dependence of the dissociation of acetic, benzoic, mandelic and succinic acids at 298.15 K. *Thermochim. Acta* 439, 154–157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TCA.2005.06.045>
- 480 Li, D., Duan, Z., 2007. The speciation equilibrium coupling with phase equilibrium in the H₂O–CO₂–NaCl system from 0 to 250 °C, from 0 to 1000 bar, and from 0 to 5 molality of NaCl. *Chem. Geol.* 244, 730–751. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMGEO.2007.07.023>
- Mauger, J.W., 2017. Physicochemical properties of buffers used in simulated biological fluids with potential application for in vitro dissolution testing: A mini-review. *Dissolution Technol.* 38–

51. <https://doi.org/10.14227/DT240317P38>

485 Millero, F., Huang, F., Graham, T., Pierrot, D., 2007. The dissociation of carbonic acid in NaCl solutions as a function of concentration and temperature. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 71, 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.08.041>

Nagai, H., Kuwabara, K., Carta, G., 2008. Temperature Dependence of the Dissociation Constants of Several Amino Acids. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 53, 619–627. <https://doi.org/10.1021/je700067a>

490 Neuman, R.C.J., Kauzmann, W., Zipp, A., 1973. Pressure Dependence of Weak Acid Ionization in Aqueous Buffers. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 77, 2687–2691.

Nowak, P., Wozniakiewicz, M., Koscielniak, P., 2015. Application of capillary electrophoresis in determination of acid dissociation constant values. *J. Chromatogr. A* 1377, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2014.12.032>

495 O'Brien, E.P., Brooks, B.R., Thirumalai, D., 2012. Effects of pH on proteins: Predictions for ensemble and single molecule pulling experiments. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 134, 979–987. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja206557y>

Palmer, D.A., Wesolowski, D., 1987. Acid Association Quotients of Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane in Aqueous NaCl Media to 200 degree C. *J. Solution Chem.* 16, 571–581.

500 Quinlan, R.J., Reinhart, G.D., 2005. Baroresistant buffer mixtures for biochemical analyses. *Anal. Biochem.* 341, 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2005.03.002>

Reijenga, J., van Hoof, A., van Loon, A., Teunissen, B., 2013. Development of Methods for the Determination of pKa Values. *Anal. Chem. Insights* 8, 53–71. <https://doi.org/10.4137/ACIS12304>

505 Rived, F., Canals, I., Bosch, E., Rosés, M., 2001. Acidity in methanol-water. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 439, 315–333.

- Roig, T., Bäckman, P., Olofsson, G., 1993. Ionization Enthalpies of Some Common Zwitterionic Hydrogen-Ion Buffers (Hepes, Pipes, Hepps and Bes) for Biological Research. *Acta Chem Scand* 47, 899–901.
- 510 Roy, L.N., Roy, R.N., Denton, C.E., LeNoue, S.R., Roy, C.N., Ashkenazi, S., Williams, T.B., Church, D.R., Fuge, M.S., Sreepada, K.N., 2006. Second Dissociation Constant of Bis-[(2-hydroxyethyl)amino]acetic Acid (BICINE) and pH of Its Buffer Solutions from 5 to 55 degree C. *J. Solution Chem.* 35, 605–624. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10953-005-9009-6>
- Roy, L.N., Roy, R.N., Wollen, J.T., Stegner, J.M., Harmon, M.A., Martin, M.S., Bodendorfer, B.M., 515 Henson, I.B., 2011. Calculation of the pH of Buffer Solution of 2-[N-Morpholino]ethanesulfonic Acid (MES) from 5°C to 55°C. *Open J. Phys. Chem.* 1, 77–84. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojpc.2011.13011>
- Roy, R.N., Roy, L.N., Ashkenazi, S., Wollen, J.T., Dunseth, C.D., Fuge, M.S., Durden, J.L., Roy, C.N., Hughes, H.M., Morris, B.T., Cline, K.L., 2009. Buffer Standards for pH Measurement of 520 N-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-N-2-ethanesulfonic Acid (HEPES) for I = 0.16 mol·kg⁻¹ from 5 to 55 degree C. *J Solut. Chem* 38, 449–458. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10953-009-9378-3>
- Roy, R.N., Roy, L.N., Grant, J.G., Cummins, M.P., Tabor Iii, B.J., Richards, S.J., Himes, C.A., Lively, B.R., Blackwell, P.L., Simon, A.N., 2002. Second Dissociation Constants of 4-[N-morpholino]butanesulfonic Acid and N-[2-hydroxyethyl]piperazine-N -4-buthanesulfonic. 525 *J. Solution Chem.* 31, 861–872.
- Ruiz, R., Rosés, M., Ràfols, C., Bosch, E., 2005. Critical validation of a new simpler approach to estimate aqueous pKa of drugs sparingly soluble in water. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 550, 210–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2005.06.058>
- Stoll, V.S., Blanchard, J.S., 1990. Buffers: Principles and Practice 1, in: *General Methods for* 530 *Handling Proteins and Enzymes.* pp. 43–56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(09\)63006-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(09)63006-8)

- Subirats, X., Rosés, M., Bosch, E., 2007. On the Effect of Organic Solvent Composition on the pH of Buffered HPLC Mobile Phases and the pK_a of Analytes - A Review. *Sep. Purif. Rev.* 36, 231–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15422110701539129>
- 535 Van Eldik, R., Asano, T., Le Noble, W.J., 1989. Activation and Reaction Volumes in Solution. 2. *Chem. Rev* 89, 549–688.
- Wesolowski, D.J., Palmer, D.A., 1989. Acid Association Quotients of Bis-Tris in Aqueous Sodium Chloride Media to 125 degree C. *J. Solution Chem.* 18, 545–559.
- Williams, H.D., Trevaskis, N.L., Charman, S.A., Shanker, R.M., Charman, W.N., Pouton, C.W., Porter, C.J.H., 2013. Strategies to address low drug solubility in discovery and development. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 65, 315–499. <https://doi.org/10.1124/PR.112.005660>
- 540 Yalkowsky, S.H., 1999. Solubility and Solubilization in Aqueous Media. American Chemical Society and Oxford University Press.
- Yasuda, M., 1959. Dissociation Constants of Some Carboxylic Acids in Mixed Aqueous Solvents. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 32, 429–432.
- 545

Figure legends

Figure 1: pK_a values as function of temperature ($^{\circ}C$) for selected carboxylic acid buffers; acetate, citrate, and succinate. The pK_a values are almost invariant over the temperature range of 0-125 $^{\circ}C$.
550 The plot is generated based on experimental data available from Goldberg et al. (2002).

Figure 2: pK_a values as a function of temperature ($^{\circ}C$) for Tris buffer and some biological buffers; MES, TAPS, and HEPES. The pK_a values for all buffers decrease as temperature increases. This plot is based on experimental data available from Goldberg et al. (2002) and Fukada and Takahashi
555 (1998).

Figure 3: pK_a values as a function of temperature ($^{\circ}C$) for the amino acids; lysine, histidine, and glutamate. (\bullet): pK_1 . (\blacksquare): pK_2 . (\blacktriangle): pK_3 . The open data points from 0-60 $^{\circ}C$ are experimental data from Nagai et al. (2008). The filled data points from 75-125 $^{\circ}C$ are extrapolated based on ΔH
560 and ΔC_p in Table 1 and Eq. 8 or 10.

Figure 4: Flowchart illustrating how temperature and pressure should be considered during the selection of a suitable buffer for pharmaceutical processing.