

## Introduction

Social investment: a buzzword – but has it reached the stakeholders?

Greve, Bent

*Published in:*  
Journal of International and Comparative Social Policy

*DOI:*  
[10.1080/21699763.2018.1479653](https://doi.org/10.1080/21699763.2018.1479653)

*Publication date:*  
2018

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Greve, B. (2018). Introduction: Social investment: a buzzword – but has it reached the stakeholders? *Journal of International and Comparative Social Policy*, 34(2), 126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21699763.2018.1479653>

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [rucforsk@kb.dk](mailto:rucforsk@kb.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Introduction

Bent Greve, professor

University of Roskilde, Denmark

Social investment has been a buzzword in political rhetoric over the last 10-15 years – and a substantial number of articles and books on the subject has emerged. In 2017 alone google scholar shows using social investment as search term 5070 elements. Naturally, they are not all dealing with social policy, and even less with long-term care for elderly persons. Social investment has been seen as a new way, sometimes even as part of the debate on the third way in welfare states development, and as a reaction to new public management and neo-liberal approaches to welfare states development.

Furthermore, the literature on social investment often have a focus on social services and activities where there might be possible long-term impact of an investment, for example, in relation to day-care for children. These long-term impacts might not always be the case if discerning effect of investment in long-term care. Stakeholders perception of and understanding of social investment is often not taken into consideration and analysed.

The articles in this themed section, based upon research in a Horizon 2020 project “SPRINT”, try to depict and investigate if and how stakeholders in different welfare states with very different approaches to long-term care knows about social investment. The countries under consideration is Denmark (Nordic), Portugal (Southern Europe) and Lithuania (Eastern Europe). They have different state emphasis on delivery of care and different traditions, although in all countries civil society is an important aspect in the daily support to and help to many elderlies.

The articles remarkably show that the concept of social investment as such is not known among stakeholders, which here consist of a broad group of institutions, actors and organisations, see the individual articles. However, when discussing different kinds of interventions and the way they might work, then they seem to understand what social investment actually is, and, also that this is something they support as a way to improve long-term care. Thereby, social investment without being known as such, the idea hereof is understood among those interested and involved in long-term care in very different welfare states. In this way ideas impact on welfare states development can also be confirmed.