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NDRG2 gene copy number is not altered in colorectal carcinoma

Anders Lorentzen, Cathy Mitchelmore

AIM
To investigate if the down-regulation of N-myc Downstream Regulated Gene 2 (NDRG2) expression in colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is due to loss of the NDRG2 allele(s).

METHODS
The following were investigated in the human colorectal cancer cell lines DLD-1, LoVo and SW-480: NDRG2 mRNA expression levels using quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR); interaction of the MYC gene-regulatory protein with the NDRG2 promoter using chromatin immunoprecipitation; and NDRG2 promoter methylation using bisulfite sequencing. Furthermore, we performed qPCR to analyse the copy numbers of NDRG2 and MYC genes in the above three cell lines, 8 normal colorectal tissue samples and 40 CRC tissue samples.

RESULTS
As expected, NDRG2 mRNA levels were low in the three colorectal cancer cell lines, compared to normal colon. Endogenous MYC protein interacted with the NDRG2 core promoter in all three cell lines. In addition, the NDRG2 promoter was heavily methylated in these cell lines, suggesting an epigenetic regulatory mechanism. Unaltered gene copy numbers of NDRG2 were observed in the three cell lines. In the colorectal tissues, one normal and three CRC samples showed partial or complete loss of one NDRG2 allele. In contrast, the MYC gene was amplified in one cell line and in more than 40% of the CRC cases.

Abstract

To investigate if the down-regulation of N-myc Downstream Regulated Gene 2 (NDRG2) expression in colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is due to loss of the NDRG2 allele(s).

METHODS
The following were investigated in the human colorectal cancer cell lines DLD-1, LoVo and SW-480: NDRG2 mRNA expression levels using quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR); interaction of the MYC gene-regulatory protein with the NDRG2 promoter using chromatin immunoprecipitation; and NDRG2 promoter methylation using bisulfite sequencing. Furthermore, we performed qPCR to analyse the copy numbers of NDRG2 and MYC genes in the above three cell lines, 8 normal colorectal tissue samples and 40 CRC tissue samples.

RESULTS
As expected, NDRG2 mRNA levels were low in the three colorectal cancer cell lines, compared to normal colon. Endogenous MYC protein interacted with the NDRG2 core promoter in all three cell lines. In addition, the NDRG2 promoter was heavily methylated in these cell lines, suggesting an epigenetic regulatory mechanism. Unaltered gene copy numbers of NDRG2 were observed in the three cell lines. In the colorectal tissues, one normal and three CRC samples showed partial or complete loss of one NDRG2 allele. In contrast, the MYC gene was amplified in one cell line and in more than 40% of the CRC cases.
CONCLUSION
Our study suggests that the reduction in NDRG2 expression observed in CRC is due to transcriptional repression by MYC and promoter methylation, and is not due to allelic loss.
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Core tip: NDRG2 is a putative tumor suppressor gene whose expression is reduced in many cancer forms, including colorectal carcinoma (CRC). We set out therefore to investigate if down-regulation of NDRG2 expression was due to loss of one or both alleles and/or to other mechanisms. In our paper, we show that allelic loss of NDRG2 is a rare event in CRC. To our knowledge, this is the first study that has specifically investigated gene copy number of NDRG2 in CRC. Furthermore, our results suggest that MYC is amplified in more than 40% of CRC cases. MYC is known to repress transcription of NDRG2. Our results lead us to suggest that it is the transcriptional control of NDRG2 expression, including repression by MYC and epigenetic regulation, that results in decreased NDRG2 mRNA levels in CRC, rather than allelic loss of NDRG2.


INTRODUCTION
N-myc downstream regulated gene 2 (NDRG2) is one of four genes belonging to the NDRG gene family. Common for these genes is an NDR domain, a protein motif covering almost the entire protein, but the cellular functions of these genes are currently unclear[1,2]. NDRG2 expression has been found to be down-regulated in several human cancers including colorectal carcinoma (CRC), hepatocellular carcinoma, glioblastoma and thyroid cancer[3-7]. NDRG2 is a candidate tumor suppressor gene, with a better overall survival for CRC, hepatocellular carcinoma and glioma patients displaying expression of the gene compared to low or no expression[8-12]. Further evidence of the tumor suppressor function of NDRG2 comes from the observation that NDRG2-lacking mice develop various types of tumors, and from xenograft studies showing that NDRG2-expressing tumor cells implanted in nude mice form smaller tumors and fewer metastases than control cells[13-15]. NDRG2 has a number of downstream targets, including activation of phosphatase and tensin homolog, a known tumor suppressor in the PI3K-AKT pathway[13,16].

Several mechanisms have been suggested as possible regulators of NDRG2 expression, of which epigenetic silencing, due to promoter hypermethylation, is the most widely observed[4,8,9,13,14,17]. However, other regulatory mechanisms may also play a role. One example could be the transcription factor MYC, which is characterised as a proto-oncogene often altered in human cancers[18]. The biological function of MYC seems to be to either activate or repress the transcription of target genes[19,20]. Zhang et al[21] have previously shown that ectopically expressed MYC is able, via Miz-1, to interact with and to repress transcription from the NDRG2 promoter. Moreover, correlation of high MYC with reduced NDRG2 expression has been observed in different cancers and cancer cell lines[15,22-24]. However, an inverse relation between MYC levels and NDRG2 expression seems not to apply to all cancer types[25].

CRC is, like most other cancers, a malignant disease with a combination of both genetic and epigenetic changes. One of these changes is chromosome instability, which affects one or several chromosomal regions. Many groups have analysed changes in gene copy numbers in CRC by different approaches and found numerous chromosomal gains and losses[26-29]. In the study by Lagerstedt et al[29], the status of CRC samples classified as Dukes stages A-D was analysed, showing an increasing frequency of allelic losses at more severe stages (Dukes C and D). According to their data, allelic deletions in chromosome 14, containing the NDRG2 gene, is already found at earlier stages (Dukes A and B) and becomes more frequent at the later stages. Although chromosome 14 is not considered one of the deletion hot spot regions, such as chromosome 8p or 18q[27,28,30,31], we hypothesised that deletions in chromosome 14 could lead to loss of one or both of the NDRG2 alleles. On the other hand, the MYC gene is found on chromosome 8q, and gains of this large chromosome arm are frequently found in CRC[26,28,32]. Analysing the gene copy number of MYC is therefore of interest with regards to its possible regulatory effect on NDRG2.

In this study, we demonstrate a frequent increase in the gene copy number of MYC in CRC. In contrast, we find that changes in the copy number of the NDRG2 locus are rare in CRC, and we suggest that reduced expression of NDRG2 in CRC is due to epigenetic and MYC-related transcriptional repression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Cell lines and genomic DNA
The DLD-1, LoVo and SW-480 colorectal cancer cell lines were a gift from Associate Professor Ole Vang, Roskilde University. Cells lines were incubated and maintained at 37℃ in an environment of humidified air with 5% CO₂ in McCoy’s 5A + GlutaMax-TM-1 media with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Invitrogen). RNA from cell lines was purified with...
the SV total RNA isolation kit (Promega) and genomic DNA was purified by ethanol precipitation after an overnight Proteinase K treatment. Reference human genomic DNA, purified from blood lymphocytes, was obtained from Roche Diagnostics, United States (Cat. No.11691112001). As a normal colonic control we used commercially available DNA (BioChain Institute Inc., D4234090). Human colon genomic DNA from tissue classified as either normal or tumorigenic was obtained from BioChain Inc, United States (Cat. no. D8235090-1; Supplementary Table S1). The commercial supplier confirms that tissue and data collection were ethically approved by their Institutional Regulatory Board and that informed consent was obtained from all human subjects.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation

The chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) kit from Abcam (Ab500) was used according to the instructions, with inclusion of a final ethanol precipitation to increase the DNA concentration. Antibody against MYC (Abcam, ab56-100) was used at a concentration of 5 µg per reaction. The primers used in the PCR step were designed to cover the core promoter region in NDRG2 (−80 to +93, Figure 1A) and their sequences were (5’-3’): CTTGAGGCATTGACCCCAGAG and GCCAGAGGAGGAACGAGCTAA. A positive control with in vitro methylated (IVM) DNA was prepared by mixing 2 µL NEB2 buffer, 1 µL 20 x S-adenosylmethylionine (New England Biolabs, B9003S), 200 ng reference human genomic DNA and 1 µL SssI methyltransferase (New England BioLabs, M0226S) in a total of 20 µL. Samples were incubated at 37 °C overnight with occasional addition of 2 µL 20 x S-adenosylmethylionine to ensure sufficient methyl-donor substrate. The following description was used for each CpG site: Unmethylated (no methylation signal); weakly methylated (methylation signal was less than or approximately equal to unmethylated signal); and strongly methylated (methylation signal was greater than unmethylated signal).

Bisulfite treatment and sequencing

Bisulfite treatment of genomic DNA was performed as previously described[33], using glycogen as carrier, and the precipitated DNA was redissolved in TE buffer, amplified by PCR and sequenced directly. The primers were designed to cover 16 CpG sites in the promoters in region of NDRG2 (Figure 1A) and their sequences were (5’-3’): TTTTCCAGGGTATAGGAGGTTTATT and CCAAAACTCTACTCTAATAAACACA[34]. A positive control with in vitro methylated (IVM) DNA was prepared by mixing 2 µL NEB2 buffer, 1 µL 20 x S-adenosylmethylionine (New England Biolabs, B9003S), 200 ng reference human genomic DNA and 1 µL SssI methyltransferase (New England BioLabs, M0226S) in a total of 20 µL. Samples were incubated at 37 °C overnight with occasional addition of 2 µL 20 x S-adenosylmethylionine to ensure sufficient methyl-donor substrate. The following description was used for each CpG site: Unmethylated (no methylation signal); weakly methylated (methylation signal was less than or approximately equal to unmethylated signal); and strongly methylated (methylation signal was greater than unmethylated signal).

Quantitative real-time PCR

Determination of gene copy number was based on the LightCycler technology using SYBR Green. The sequences of the primers were (5’-3’): NDRG2 (5’ end): CCCCCCGGCTTACTCCCA and ACA-GCCCCCTCTCCCACCTT; NDRG2 (3’ end): GGCG-TGAACGAGGAAACAAAG and CGAGGGAGACT-GTGGAGTGGAG; MYC: CCAGAGGAGGAAGCAGCTAA and TTGGACGGACAGGATGTATG; GFAP: TGACCC-TTCCACCCCCTATGGAC and CAGCAGCAGTGC-CCTGAAGATTAG; and MECP2: TCAAGGGTTGTCAGGGTGA and TGAAAAGGCATCTGGACAGGA. In a validation experiment using a control sample, a dilution series was performed and assayed for NDRG2, MYC, GFAP and MECP2. When C values were plotted against log dilution it was shown that the assays are quantitative over a range of 625-fold dilution for NDRG2 (5’ end), NDRG2 (3’ end), MYC, MECP2 and 125 for GFAP. All samples were quantified in triplicates and mean C values were normalised to GFAP and used to calculate delta delta C (ddCt) relative to the reference human genomic DNA[35]. Copy number was defined as a loss for ddCt < 0.75 and as a gain for ddCt > 1.25. Quantification of NDRG2 mRNA expression levels in colorectal cancer cell lines, using qRT-PCR and normalisation to β-actin, was carried out as previously described[25].

Statistical analysis

All statistical tests were carried out using GraphPad Prism 4 software and P values of < 0.05 were considered significant. An unpaired two-tailed t-test was used to compare the means of normal-distributed data for the two groups (normal vs tumor). The null hypothesis is that there is no difference between the two groups. When data of the two groups did not have equal variance, by F test analysis, we used a Mann-Whitney test.

RESULTS

NDRG2 expression is down-regulated in colorectal cancer cell lines

In order to examine how NDRG2 expression is regulated in colorectal cancer, we chose to work with three cell lines. First of all, we quantified NDRG2 mRNA levels in the three colorectal cancer cell lines DLD-1, LoVo and SW-480 and observed no or very low expression of NDRG2, when normalised to β-actin and compared to human colon mRNA from healthy controls (Table 1).

MYC binds to the NDRG2 gene promoter in colorectal cancer cell lines

We were interested in seeing whether endogenous MYC was bound to the NDRG2 promoter in these cell lines, since ectopically expressed MYC is a transcriptional repressor of NDRG2[21]. A ChIP experiment did indeed show binding of endogenous MYC protein to the core promoter region of NDRG2 in all three colorectal cancer cell lines (Figure 1B).

The NDRG2 promoter is heavily methylated in colorectal cancer cell lines

In silico analysis of the NDRG2 promoter predicted a CPG island between -380 and +1471 relative to the transcriptional start site (%GC = 66.3; observed/expected CpG = 0.673, cpgislands.usc.edu/cpg.aspx).
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Figure 1  Epigenetic and chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis of the NDRG2 promoter in three colorectal cancer cell lines. A: The NDRG2 gene sequence around the transcriptional start site at +1. Primer-binding regions for PCR are underlined and CpG sites subjected to methylation analysis are numbered 1 to 16; B: Endogenous MYC interacts with the NDRG2 core promoter. ChIP analysis was carried out on SW-480, LoVo and DLD-1 cell extracts using antibody against the transcription factor MYC. “No antibody” was without antibody and “input” served as a positive control. Genomic DNA was used as positive control for the PCR reaction; C: The NDRG2 promoter is hypermethylated in three colorectal cancer cell lines. Bisulfite sequencing was carried out on human genomic DNA from LoVo, DLD-1 and SW-480 cell lines, normal colonic DNA, reference DNA and in vitro SssI-methylated (IVM) DNA. Each CpG site was rated as unmethylated, weakly methylated ($\leq 50\%$ methylated), or strongly methylated ($> 50\%$ methylated).
To establish the methylation status of the NDRG2 proximal promoter in all three cell lines, we carried out bisulfite treatment and sequencing of the region from -426 to -107, which contains 16 CpG sequences. Bisulfite treatment converts all unmethylated cytosines into uracils, while cytosines with a methyl group attached remain unaltered. As controls, we compared our results with healthy colon genomic DNA, reference genomic DNA from normal lymphocytes, and JVM genomic DNA. As presented in Figure 1C, the normal colon genomic DNA and reference genomic DNA sample were predominantly weakly methylated, whereas the in vitro methylated control was completely methylated at all cytosines. The three colorectal cancer cell lines, LoVo, DLD-1 and SW-480, displayed strong methylation at the majority of CpG sites (Figure 1C).

**NDRG2 gene copy number is not altered in colorectal cancer**

We wished to determine the allelic copy numbers of both NDRG2 and MYC in human colorectal carcinoma. By combining qPCR with the mathematical delta delta C<sub>t</sub> equation (ΔΔC<sub>t</sub>), we were able to quantify both losses and gains of these genes. Our experimental setup was validated by analysing the copy numbers of the X-chromosome linked MECP2 gene in males and females - with the expected one and two X-chromosomes, respectively. As visualised in Figure 2, DNA from 3 females were scored with a ΔΔC<sub>t</sub> value close to 1.00, which means that the same gene copy ratio between MeCP2 and GFAP was present in both the analysed samples and the reference female genomic sample. A ΔΔC<sub>t</sub> value of 1.00 therefore represents the normal two alleles. On the contrary, males displayed a ΔΔC<sub>t</sub> value of approximately 0.50, which represents one allele. Finally, we tested our setup on an unknown sample clearly showing the pattern for male DNA. The conclusion was, therefore, that our setup clearly could differentiate between females and male, i.e., one and two alleles, and has the potential to analyse the copy numbers of NDRG2 and MYC.

We have previously published data showing a statistically significant down-regulation of NDRG2 mRNA in CRC<sup>[13]</sup>, and the main aim in the present study has therefore been to analyse if allelic loss of NDRG2 could explain cases of decreased NDRG2 mRNA levels. For a thorough investigation of NDRG2, we selected two regions of the genomic sequence of NDRG2, one lying in the 5' part of the sequence and the other lying in the 3' end. We first analysed the three colorectal cancer cell lines for both NDRG2 and MYC and found no changes in the copy number of NDRG2, in contrast to MYC, for which we observed copy number loss in the LoVo cell line, the normal two alleles in DLD-1 cells and a clear copy number gain in SW-480 (Table 2). This latter result is in agreement with a previous study showing a 5 to 10-fold genomic amplification of MYC in SW-480 cells<sup>[36]</sup>.

We next analysed 8 normal and 40 CRC tissue samples. In one case out of the eight normal samples, our data indicated copy number loss at the 5’ end of the NDRG2 gene; otherwise, none of the samples showed any copy number alterations for NDRG2 (Table 3). As summarised in Table 3, 29 out of the 40 CRC samples (72%) had an unaltered copy number, 2 samples showed loss at either the 5’ or the 3’ end of NDRG2, and only in one case did we observe loss at both ends of the gene. In contrast, we found complete copy number gain of NDRG2 in 3 cases and partial gain in 9 cases (Supplementary Table S2).

Finally, we determined the copy numbers of MYC in the same 8 normal and 40 CRC samples, and observed one case of genomic amplification in the normal samples. Otherwise, we did not find any allelic changes in the normal samples (Table 3). For the 40 CRC samples, we observed copy number loss in 4 cases, the normal two copies in nearly half the cases (19 out of 40), and copy number gains of the MYC gene in the remaining 17 samples (42.5%) (Supplementary Table S1). However, the observed differences in copy number between normal and CRC tissue did not reach statistical significance (Mann-Whitney test, Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

We and others have previously published data showing a statistically significant reduction in NDRG2 mRNA levels in CRC compared to normal colorectal tissue samples<sup>[3,12,23]</sup>. Similar findings have been observed in other cancers including gliomas, hepatocellular carcinoma, breast cancer, thyroid cancer and meningioma<sup>[5-7,25,37]</sup>. Exactly how and why NDRG2 expression is reduced is not fully understood, but repression by the MYC transcription factor is likely to be involved in some cases, just as promoter hypermethylation seems to play an important role<sup>[4,14,21,34]</sup>. Here, we show that 16 potential methylation sites in the proximal promoter of NDRG2 are heavily methylated in all three colorectal cancer cell lines tested. Methylation of the analysed region from -426 to -107 could reduce accessibility to the transcription factors WT1 and HIF1α, which have binding sites in this region<sup>[38,39]</sup> and/or result in transcriptional silencing. In support of this, previous studies have shown that reversal of methylation by 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine treatment leads to increased NDRG2 mRNA levels in the colorectal cancer cell lines CaCo2, HT116 and SW480<sup>[40]</sup>. Furthermore,
Table 2 ddCt values and corresponding copy numbers for the NDRG2 and MYC genes in colorectal cancer cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>NDRG2 - 5' end</th>
<th>NDRG2 - 3' end</th>
<th>MYC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ddCt ± SD</td>
<td>Copy number</td>
<td>ddCt ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoVo</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLD-1</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW-480</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copy number loss is defined as ddCt < 0.75 and a gain is defined as ddCt > 1.25. ddCt: Delta delta Ct; SD: Standard deviation.

Table 3 Alteration in copy numbers for the NDRG2 and MYC genes in colorectal tissue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colorectal tissue</th>
<th>Number of samples</th>
<th>NDRG2 - 5' end</th>
<th>NDRG2 - 3' end</th>
<th>MYC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss ddCt &lt; 0.75</td>
<td>Unaltered ddCt &gt; 0.75 - 1.25</td>
<td>Gain ddCt &gt; 1.25</td>
<td>Normal vs CRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDRG2 - 5' end</td>
<td>Normal CRC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDRG2 - 3' end</td>
<td>Normal CRC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYC</td>
<td>Normal CRC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value for comparison of ddCt values (supplementary table S2) in normal and CRC samples using an unpaired two-tailed t-test; |P| value for comparison of ddCt values (supplementary table S2) in normal and CRC samples using a Mann-Whitney test. ddCt: Delta delta Ct.

![Figure 2: Validation of the gene copy number experimental setup.](image-url)

Data are presented as mean (filled bars) and SD (whiskers).
no visual changes, but where genetic abnormalities had already occurred.

In conclusion, we observed NDRG2 promoter hypermethylation and interaction of endogenous MYC with the core promoter in three colorectal cancer cell lines, together with absent or low NDRG2 mRNA expression. Frequent allelic loss was not found at the NDRG2 locus in the colorectal cancer cell lines and tissue samples from either normal or tumor tissues. In contrast, we observed partial or complete NDRG2 copy number gains in more than 25% of the CRC cases, compared to none in the normal samples. We also found that more than 40% of CRC cases displayed MYC amplification, which indicates that the level of MYC mRNA is elevated in CRC. We conclude that epigenetic silencing and transcriptional repression by MYC are likely to be more important than copy number loss for the reduced levels of NDRG2 mRNA observed in CRC.

COMMENTS

Background

A frequent change observed in colorectal carcinoma (CRC) is chromosomal instability, in which gain or loss of chromosomal regions affects levels of gene expression. Thus, loss of one or both alleles could explain the reduced expression of tumor suppressor genes, such as NDRG2, that is observed in CRC. Alternatively, NDRG2 down-regulation could be due to transcriptional and epigenetic mechanisms.

Research frontiers

In order to understand the origin of CRC, it is important to investigate changes at the epigenetic, genetic and transcriptional level. This study investigated regulation of NDRG2 gene expression using bisulfite-sequencing to study DNA-binding of the endogenous gene-regulatory protein MYC.

Innovations and breakthroughs

This study shows for the first time that gene copy number for NDRG2 is unaltered in CRC cell lines and clinical samples.

Applications

The authors describe a validated approach to determine gene copy number, relative to a control gene, using the comparative (ddCt) approach. Future approaches could focus on re-activating expression of NDRG2 in CRC.

Terminology

NDRG2 is a newly described tumor suppressor gene that is down-regulated in a large range of cancers, including CRC. Interest in NDRG2 as a therapeutic target is supported by studies showing a better prognosis in patients having higher NDRG2 expression in tumor tissues.
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The paper is very good.
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