

# **Roskilde** University

## **Religion in Criminal Law**

Denmark

Christoffersen, Lisbet

Published in: Religion in Criminal Law

Publication date: 2013

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (APA):

Christoffersen, L. (2013). Religion in Criminal Law: Denmark. In N. Doe, & M. Kotiranta (Eds.), Religion in Criminal Law: Proceedings; European Consortium for Church and State Research 2008 (pp. 49-53). Peeters.

**General rights** Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
  You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact rucforsk@kb.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# **RELIGION AND CRIMINAL LAW**

# **RELIGION ET DROIT PÉNAL**

Edited by

Matti Kotiranta Norman Doe



PEETERS LEUVEN – PARIS – WALPOLE, MA 2013

### CONTENTS

Preface	1
MATTI KOTIRANTA – The relation between religion and criminal law as a topic of discussion throughout Europe: an introduction to the national reports	3
RICHARD POTZ AND BRIGITTE SCHINKELE – Religion and Criminal Law in Austria	13
Eva Brems – Religion in Belgian Criminal Law	21
HRISTO P. BEROV – Religion and Criminal Law in Bulgaria	27
ACHILLES C. EMILIANIDES - Religion in the Criminal Law in Cyprus	35
Záboj Horák and Jiří Rajmund Tretera – Religion and Criminal Law: The Czech Republic	41
LISBET CHRISTOFFERSEN – Religion in Criminal Law: Denmark	49
MERILIN KIVIORG – Religion and Criminal Law in Estonia	55
Киммо Nuotio – Religion in the Criminal Law of Finland	63
ISABELLE RIASSETTO – Religion et Droit Pénal en France	75
RICHARD PUZA – Religion in Criminal Law: Germany	97
CONSTANTINOS G. PAPAGEORGIOU – The Special Treatment of Reli- gions in the Context of Greek Penal Law	115
BALÁZS SCHANDA – Religion in Criminal Law: Hungary	121
PAUL COLTON - Religion in Criminal Law in Ireland	129
ENRICO VITALI – Religion et Droit Pénal en Italie	155
RINGOLDS BALODIS – Legal Protection of Religion in the Republic of Latvia	163
ANDRIUS SPRINDZIUNAS - Religion and Criminal Law in Lithuania	177
ALEXIS PAULY (†) ET FRANÇOIS MOYSE – Religion et Droit Pénal – Luxembourg	189

#### CONTENTS

SOPHIE VAN BIJSTERVELD – Religion and Criminal Law in the Netherlands	199
MICHAŁ RYNKOWSKI – Religion and Criminal Law: Poland	205
José de Sousa e Brito - Religion and Criminal Law in Portugal	215
ANDI BOGDAN - Religion and Criminal Law in Romania	225
MATÚŠ NEMEC - Religion and Criminal Law in the Slovak Republic	233
AGUSTÍN MOTILLA – Religion in Spanish Criminal Law	241
LARS FRIEDNER - Religion in Criminal Law: Sweden	255
NORMAN DOE, RUSSELL SANDBERG AND FRANK CRANMER – Religion and Criminal Law in the United Kingdom	261

VIII

#### LISBET CHRISTOFFERSEN

### RELIGION IN CRIMINAL LAW: DENMARK

# Introduction: religious norms, criminal law and the protection of religion

The current Danish Penal Code from 1930 is understood as contributing to the modern breakthrough in Danish legislation (Hurwitz 1969). This accounts for the punishments (length and type) as well as for the systematic ordering of the law. The offences, on the other hand, still draw on a legal tradition which is hundreds of years old. Christianity influenced the law from the 13th century and this was greatly strengthened by post-Reformation legislation. The Reformation meant a break with Canon Law. Roman Law came into focus - except when it came to marriage law, penal law and other types of legislation related to the individual. In these fields the post-Reformation inspiration was the Decalogue. From 1683 the systematization of Danish penal law followed that of the Ten Commandments; offences were taken from Mosaic Law and punishments were also inspired by these sources (Tamm 1983; Tamm 2005). Much of this inspiration was down-scaled during the enlightenment and the first penal law following the constitution of 1849, but offences still show the old Christian influence. The Danish penal code, for example, has what is often called a Samaritan rule - everyone who, without any special danger to himself or anyone else, is in a position to help another person in obvious danger of his life, must do his best to save such other person; failure to do so may give rise to 2 years' imprisonment.1

### Crimes in relation to religion

Also the offence of *blasphemy* comes from post-Reformation 1683 Danish Law. It stands alongside other provisions protecting religious aspects of life in a chapter in the penal law concerning crimes against public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Strl §253: "Med bøde eller fængsel indtil 2 år straffes den, som, uagtet det var ham muligt uden særlig fare eller opofrelse for sig selv eller andre, undlader 1) efter evne at hjælpe nogen, der er i øjensynlig livsfare..."

#### LISBET CHRISTOFFERSEN

order and peace.<sup>2</sup> The blasphemy rule provides that "a person, who publicly mocks or insults the dogma/creed or cult/form of worship of any religious community lawfully present in the country, is to be fined with a maximum of 4 months' imprisonment".<sup>3</sup> The rule thus covers *religious feelings* related to all types of creed, not only Christianity. Several suggestions in Parliament over the years (since the Cartoons crisis) have proposed to remove blasphemy from criminal law. A leading professor in criminal law supports the suggestion based on the argument that freedom of speech in relation to powerful institutions (monarchy, religion etc) has brought us to the current enlightened stage in Europe; however, a leading professor in constitutional law suggests that the norm is upheld in the code with reference to the 'public peace'-argument in order to protect religious groups from crimes not covered by the prohibitions on defamatory statements.<sup>4</sup>

The blasphemy rule was used in 1938 in a rather famous judgment with regard to changing the content and meaning of Jewish religious writings in Nazi propaganda. In order to protect the (Jewish) religious minorities further, the Danish parliament in 1938 added a paragraph penalizing defamation. This rule was later changed and widened in order to meet international standards, so that the Danish criminal code, as with all other European legal systems, now contains a rule criminalizing defamatory statements about a group of persons on the grounds of *inter alia* their religion or personal beliefs.<sup>5</sup> The legislative question, currently being investigated, is whether or not the possible crimes are all covered by §266 b; or whether or not this rule should be widened if the relevant protection should be secured in the event that the blasphemy rule is removed.

<sup>2</sup> Strl. Kapitel 15: Forbrydelser mod den offentlige orden og fred.

<sup>3</sup> Strl. §140: Den, der offentligt driver spot med eller forhåner noget her i landet lovligt bestående religionssamfunds troslærdomme eller gudsdyrkelse, straffes med bøde eller fængsel i indtil 4 måneder.

<sup>4</sup> See e.g. L 90 2007-08, 2. Samling: Forslag til lov om ændring af straffeloven (ophævelse af straffelovens blasfemibestemmelse), fremsat 28 februar 2008, med bemærkninger samt særligt udvalgsbehandlingen med svar fra Justitsministeren på spørgsmål fra udvalget; beretning fra udvalget samt referat fra offentlig høring 22. Maj 2008 med deltagelse af professor Vagn Greve og professor Henning Koch. [references are made to the relevant suggestion of new laws in the Danish Parliament and the public hearing involving the participation of Professor Vagn Greve, criminal law, and Professor Henning Koch, constitutional law. The Parliamentary committee decided to request a scientific analysis and ask the government to suggest a proposal for new laws. This proposal has not yet been made]. See also Koch 2006.

<sup>5</sup> Strl. §266 b.

Different groups in Danish society tried to get the 12 infamous cartoons evaluated in relation to the blasphemy rule, but no case was initiated as they were far from being blasphemous in the Danish context. There were also two or three earlier cases in the 1970s and 1980s, where songs and paintings showing the Christian God and Jesus in very humiliating positions did not lead to a blasphemy judgment (Christoffersen 2005 gives an overview).

Moreover, as part of the protection of public peace, the criminal law penalizes the disturbance not only of meetings in parliament and public councils, but also religious services or other public religious functions (such as wedding ceremonies or funerals).<sup>6</sup> All religious ceremonies are protected, no matter what confession, but in order to attract protection the ceremony must be public since the protection is designed for the public life of the country. In the same way *desecration of graves* is forbidden along with the *indecent handling of corpses* – again, no confessional distinctions are made.<sup>7</sup> The same paragraph has a special blasphemy provision which penalizes the indecent handling of objects belonging to a church and used for religious purposes; this provision is also being discussed in relation to the blasphemy rule.

*Proselytizing and apostasy* are of course not crimes in Denmark and this has been the position since full freedom of religion was introduced with the constitution of 1849.

The misuse of religious garments is only protected in relation to the national church, not through a special law but through a general norm that misuse of garments belonging to Danish public authorities (which in certain matters also covers the ministers of the national church) is criminalized.<sup>8</sup> Secrets of confession are protected in relation to all functions of ministers of the national church because they are civil servants.<sup>9</sup> The secrecy of confession is only protected in relation to religious leaders from other confessions in those situations in which such leaders exercise public

<sup>8</sup> Strl. §132, stk. 1, nr. 1: Med bøde straffes den, som forsætligt eller ved uagtsomhed på retsstridig måde benytter kendetegn eller dragt, som er forbeholdt dansk eller fremmed offentlig myndighed eller militærperson

<sup>9</sup> Strl. §152: Den, som virker eller har virket i offentlig tjeneste eller hverv, og som uberettiget videregiver eller udnytter fortrolige oplysninger, hvortil den pågældende i den forbindelse har fået kendskab, straffes med bøde eller fængsel indtil 6 måneder.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Strl §137, stk. 2:.. straffes den, der ved larm eller uorden forstyrrer offentlig samling af Folketinget, Færøernes lagting, kommunale eller andre offentlige råd, gudstjeneste eller anden offentlig kirkelig handling, eller som på usømmelig måde forstyrrer ligfærd.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Strl §139: Den, som krænker gravfreden eller gør sig skyldig i usømmelig behandling af lig, straffes med bøde eller fængsel indtil 6 måneder. På samme måde straffes den, som gør sig skyldig i usømmelig behandling af ting, der hører til en kirke og anvendes til kirkeligt brug.

#### LISBET CHRISTOFFERSEN

power.<sup>10</sup> That is the case as to the general permission for religious ministers to perform marriages under the marriage act; Danes may choose between civil marriage or religious marriage according to civil legislation (whereas religious laws are not in use with regard to religious marriages). Ministers from religious minorities such as the Catholic Church also exercise public power when they bury the dead, even though authority to decide the funeral for all citizens is delegated to ministers of the national church. All religious ministers (regardless of confession) are however protected by the *secrecy of confession* when administered in prisons and they are not obliged (or allowed) to function as *witnesses* before the courts.

*Female genital mutilation* is prohibited in Denmark under criminal law, and the act is punishable with 6 years' imprisonment. The rule is new in the criminal code (from 2003).<sup>11</sup> Moreover, in 2008 a new law was enacted to penalize *forced marriage*, technically by making it compulsory to see this as an aggravated form of common crimes of coercion, with imprisonment for up to 4 years.<sup>12</sup> Male mutilation is not criminalized, but there were serious suggestions to do so in public debate in 2009.

The question of *aggravation* has also been relevant in a couple of cases regarding *honour killings*, where the religious dimension has resulted in a more severe punishment – whereas a killing, based on jealousy would often result in an *attenuation* of the punishment.

The concept of *sects* is not known in Danish legislation. If a religious group as part of its activities breaks the criminal law, the religious dimension and a closed milieu might be seen as some sort of aggravation, but there have been no cases.

#### Religion in criminal law

As can be seen, religion as a protected function does not play a central role in criminal law, whereas there have been attempts to see religiouslymotivated acts as more severe than other breaches of the criminal law.

<sup>10</sup> Strl. §152 b, stk. 1: Med samme straf som efter §152 straffes den, som udøver eller har udøvet en virksomhed eller et erhverv i medfør af offentlig beskikkelse eller anerkendelse, og som uberettiget videregiver eller udnytter oplysninger, som er fortrolige af hensyn til private interesser, og hvortil den pågældende i den forbindelse har fået kendskab.

<sup>11</sup> Strl. §245 a: Den, som ved et legemsangreb med eller uden samtykke bortskærer eller på anden måde fjerner kvindelige ydre kønsorganer helt eller delvis, straffes med fængsel indtil 6 år.

<sup>12</sup> Lov nr 316 af 30/04/2008 om ændring af straffeloven (Skærpelse af straffen for ulovlig tvang i forbindelse med indgåelse af ægteskab): Tvinges nogen til at indgå ægteskab, kan straffen stige til 4 år. However, where religious motivation does play a most crucial role is as the normative basis of the crimes. As far as I can see, the more central discussion for the future will be about which acts are to be seen as crimes and on what philosophical or normative basis in democratic societies with a plurality of religions.

### Bibliography

- Christoffersen, Lisbet, 2005: "Blasfemisk Blindhed" [Blasphemic Blindness] in Biskop for Herrren og så mange andre. Festskrift til Kjeld Holms 60-års dag, red. Anne Ehlers, Niels Grønkjær & Marianne Levinsen, Forlaget Anis, København, p. 189-214
- Koch, Henning 2006: "Ytringsfrihed og tro" [Faith and Freedom of Speech] i Lisbet Christoffersen (red.): *Gudebilleder. Ytringsfrihed og religion i en* globaliseret verden [Images of Gods. Freedom of Speech and Religion in a global Wordl], Tiderne Skifter, København, p 72-88
- Greve, Vagn 2005: et al Kommenteret straffelov. Speciel del [The Penal Law with Commentaries. The Crimes], 8. Omarbejdede udgave, Jurist- og Økonomforbundets forlag
- Hurwitz, Stephan 1969: Den danske Kriminalret. Almindelig del, [Danish Criminal Law. General Introduction]; 4. Reviderede udgave v. Knud Waaben; Gad
- Tamm 1983: Ditlev Tamm: "Majestætsforbrydelsen I Danske Lov" [Crimes towards the Crown in the Danish Law] i d.s. (red): Kong Christian den Femtes Danske Lov, Jurist- og Økonomforbundets forlag 1983, p. 641-676
- Tamm 2005: Ditlev Tamm: *Retshistorie. Danmark Europa globale perspektiver*, [Legal History. Denmark – Europe – Global Perspectives], Jurist- og Økonomforbundets forlag

This book contains the contributions made to the conference 'Religion and Criminal Law', organized by the European Consortium for Church and State Research in 2008. The contributions represent national reports which deal with the topic in nearly all EU member states: each is written by a national expert. The book also contains an analysis of the status of religion in criminal law on a regional basis separately for Northern Europe, Western Europe, Southern Europe and the "new" EU states. The overall analysis was written by Professor Matti Kotiranta of the University of Eastern Finland.







PEETERS