

Contemporary Danish landscape research

Vejre, Henrik; Brandt, Jesper

Published in:
Belgeo

Publication date:
2004

Document Version
Early version, also known as pre-print

Citation for published version (APA):
Vejre, H., & Brandt, J. (2004). Contemporary Danish landscape research. *Belgeo*, (2-3), 223-230.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact rucforsk@ruc.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Contemporary Danish landscape research

Henrik Vejre
Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University, Frederiksberg
Jesper Brandt
University of Roskilde

ABSTRACT

Danish landscape research blossomed during the 1990s thanks to several transdisciplinary research programmes involving several institutions. The main themes of the programmes encompassed landscape change, landscape and biological diversity, nature and landscape management, use and monitoring of the countryside. The values of the Danish landscape pertain mainly to the coastal landscapes. The threats include the industrialization of the agricultural landscapes and, in places, urban sprawl.

KEY WORDS: *Denmark, transdisciplinary landscape research, agricultural landscapes, landscape values, nature quality*

RÉSUMÉ

ETAT DE LA RECHERCHE PAYSAGÈRE AU DANEMARK

Les travaux de recherche consacrés aux paysages du Danemark ont connu, au cours des années 90, un essor considérable grâce à différents programmes de recherche transdisciplinaire menés au sein de plusieurs institutions et centrés sur l'évolution des paysages, la diversité paysagère et biologique, la gestion de la nature et du paysage, l'affectation et le suivi des campagnes. Les points forts du paysage au Danemark sont les paysages côtiers, tandis que les principales menaces proviennent de l'industrialisation des paysages agricoles et, par endroits, de la croissance urbaine.

MOTS-CLÉS: *Danemark, recherche interdisciplinaire sur les paysages, paysages agricoles, valeurs paysagères, qualité de la nature*

INTRODUCTION

Decades of studies within the «mother sciences» of landscape research, e.g. geology, geography, biology, and spatial planning nourished modern landscape research of Denmark, which mainly deals with rural geography, agricultural landscapes and landscape ecology. The Department of Geography at the University of Copenhagen has been a centre for landscape related research, and in the 1970s the transdisciplinary Roskilde University combined biology and geography in a dynamic environment, rooting landscape ecology in Denmark. Studies of structure and dynamics of small uncultivated areas in agricultural landscapes were done in island-bio-geographical and socio-economic contexts (Agger & Brandt, 1988).

Danish landscape ecology was thereby linked to a mainstream trend within international landscape ecology. In the 1990s, spatial planning, landscape architecture and countryside management at the Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University merged to form the research area landscape management. Further, the departments of Botany and Cultural Geography of the University of Copenhagen developed issues within landscape ecology. The Forest and Landscape Research Centre, National Environmental Research Institute and Danish Institute of Agricultural Sciences have all put considerable emphasis on subjects as dispersal ecological aspects of the landscape and the farmers role in managing the landscape.

CONTEMPORARY LANDSCAPE RESEARCH IN DENMARK

During the last decade, research has been done within numerous aspects of landscape science. This paper only presents major assets, and put emphasis on the themes covered by the last 10 years concerted efforts within landscape research. These efforts comprised mainly five inter- and transdisciplinary programmes⁽¹⁾.

In the following the main themes covered by the programmes are presented. All programmes required participation of different institutions (listed in Table 1) and several projects implied interdisciplinarity with researchers participating from arts, science and social sciences. In many projects the work was done on information tied to a specific place or region, sharing common databases.

The projects exploited the multidisciplinary character of landscapes, by developing methods, strategies, and routines for interdisciplinary cooperation and by encouraging interdisciplinary dialogue. All programmes were based on the recognition that economic, social and environmental problems are closely related to structure, function, valuation and development of the landscape. The projects have demonstrated that interdisciplinary research is indeed a challenging endeavor which in order to be successful, requires general knowledge as to concepts, theories, paradigms, terminology, and methods of disciplines different from one's own.

In the following the main themes covered by the programmes are presented.

INSTITUTION	FIELDS OF RESEARCH
Aalborg University, Dept of development and planning. www.plan.aau.dk	Public authorities, planning control, and land-use laws. Cadastral and Land Registration within Land Management Valuation and compensation. Digital geoinformation and physical planning, land use and land management.
Danish Centre for Forest, Landscape and Planning Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University www.sl.kvl.dk	Agricultural policies and landscape development Public planning and landscape development Planning and management for multifunctional landscapes Public participation in the planning process Landscape management in the urban fringe The farmer as landscape manager
Danish Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Tjele Dept of Agroecology www.agrsci.dk	Development of a basis for sustainable agriculture in relation to production, environment, nature and landscape at all levels from field via farm to regional and international level.
Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland. www.geus.dk	Late-Pleistocene and Holocene climatic change at the north Atlantic margin, Quantitative palaeoecology Landscape development Vegetation history Human impact and natural forest dynamics.
National Environment Research Institute, Denmark. Dept of terrestrial ecology www.dmu.dk	Conditions for plants and animals in the cultural landscape Nature quality - concepts and methods for management Population and vegetation ecology - from genetic analysis to landscape models
Roskilde University Dept of Geography and International Development Studies, Dept of Environment, Technology and Social Studies www.ruc.dk	Monitoring small biotopes, heterogeneity and urbanization of agricultural landscapes. Landscape aspects of organic farming. Landscape conditions for past and present land use in the Faroes and Greenland.
University of Copenhagen Department of Geography www.geogr.ku.dk	Landscape studies in cultural, economic and biophysical context Land use analyses and landscape characterization Interaction between agricultural systems and landscapes Landscape changes and spatial diversity of land use and landscape dynamics.
University of Southern Denmark www.humaniora.sdu.dk	Landscape history

Table 1. Primary actors in Danish landscape research (listed alphabetically).

LANDSCAPE CHANGE

Landscape history was scrutinized in case areas shared by many of the participating scientists, covering the whole time range from the Iron age to the post war period. The interaction between man and landscape has been a major issue, with emphasis on the cultural historical and nature conservation interests in relation to human economic and recreational use of the landscape. Land-use history in relation to plant diversity has been treated involving numerous disciplines. Several projects used scenario studies to discuss and evaluate the future landscape development.

LANDSCAPE AND BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

Landscape structures were analyzed to obtain a thorough understanding of landscape entities, cultural circumstances and natural processes influencing the landscape structures, the regulation of ecosystems and the biological diversity. The elucidation of factors which influence present plant diversity in natural habitats subjected to human exploitation has been a major issue. The impact on species diversity of local environmental conditions and historical processes was analyzed aimed at investigating the conditions for the present biodiversity at the genetic, species, and ecosystem level. *An important activity has been a discussion of the contents and function of the biodiversity concept in various scientific disciplines, as well as assessing its value as a quantitative and qualitative measurement tool.*

NATURE QUALITY AND LANDSCAPE MANAGEMENT

The theme encompassed the study of nature quality in ecosystems in cultural landscapes. Landscape management was treated from several different angles, along with the theoretical basis for elaboration of strategies for landscape management and protection of biodiversity at

different levels. *Legal aspects, economic incentives and farmer's production of nature qualities, design and implementation processes in the countryside with emphasis on the role of planning, land use and structure changes in the landscape was treated.* Scenario studies were used to study interactions between land management, agriculture, nature and environment in the countryside.

MANAGEMENT AND USE OF THE COUNTRYSIDE

Several scientific disciplines dealt with values, consequences and planning in regard to integrated management and use of the countryside. A focal point was ethical weighing of values and interests, e.g. *values and view of nature among inhabitants of suburbs, and the social dimension of outdoor recreation.* Scenarios were used to test visions on multiple use of the countryside. Part of the theme dealt with the agrarian landscape, including tourism and recreation in the agrarian landscape, farm buildings and landscape, state of conservation of archaeological remains on arable land, quantitative estimates, regionalism and causes behind property changes in the agrarian landscape. Finally a collection of tools was developed for analysis concerning nature, management and regulation, such as measures of landscape diversity and biodiversity, conditions and means for regulation, ways of public management and handling of interest groups.

PERIOD	MAIN TRENDS IN LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT
Pre-history	Codevelopment of human settlement and vegetation. Development of farmed landscape in the Neolithic. During bronze and iron ages the landscape got completely influenced by human activity. Semi-permanent settlement structure.
700-1800	Villages located at fixed sites. Farming in a centre - periphery model with arable fields close to the village and commons at the edges of the village domain. Strong fragmentation of fields. Extensive use of Calluna heath land. Grazing in flood plains.
1770-1850 Enclosure	Complete transformation of eastern Denmark. Enclosure implied contiguous gathering of fields belonging to one property. Elimination of commons, drainage of wetlands. Dissolution of village structures and displacement of farm buildings to the open countryside. Forests were fenced and designated tree production. Stone and earth walls were constructed between farms properties and around forests. Planting of trees around farms and in field margins. Landscape dominated by linear elements, and increasingly by buildings.
1850-1950 Intensification	Ditching of wet meadows, cultivation and afforestation of heath land, drainage of upland soils with infiltration problems, deepening and chanalization of streams and brooks.
1950-1990 Industrialization of agriculture	Urbanization of urban fringes. Conversion of ditched meadows to arable fields in rotation. Elimination of earth and stone walls, hedgerows, water ponds and other small biotopes.
1990-	Restoration of streams, and water bodies, afforestation, planting of hedgerows. Further industrialization of agriculture results in mega-fields and huge emissions of nitrogen which eutrophiate terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Continued urbanization of the urban fringe.

Table 2. Development of the Danish landscape.

IMPORTANT LANDSCAPE VALUES OF DENMARK

Denmark is an intensively farmed, and in places strongly urbanized country. With more than 7500 km coastline and 43,000 km² of land territory, the coast is omnipresent and possesses major landscape and nature values, reflected in the EU Habitat designations of which more than 50% is related to marine and coastal environments including salt marshes, salt meadows, dunes, and brackish lagoons. The coastal landscapes are of strong

international importance, not least due to their significance for migrating birds. The west Baltic Archipelagos is a partly submerged moraine landscape, which is unique and well preserved, containing a rich variation of coastal morphology. Special interest pertains to the largest raised peat bogs of continental Europe. The valley landscape of central Jutland, with its plateaus, deeply dissected valleys, partly occupied by lakes, has a

strong regional importance in the north European lowland. Apart from these landscapes, the general agricultural landscapes house a rich variation of cultural

landscapes of significance to wildlife and vegetation dependent on agricultural management, not least the extensively managed pastures.

MAJOR POTENTIALS OF THE DANISH LANDSCAPE

The primary means of enhancing natural values in the Danish landscapes is to restore the natural vegetation (mainly deciduous forests) and to restore the natural hydrological regime. At present, afforestation are taking place with approx-

imately 1000 ha per year, and numerous wetland restoration projects are carried out, the largest one restoring the lowest 20 km of the largest Danish watercourse after having been chenalized in 40 years.

MAJOR THREATS TO THE DANISH LANDSCAPE

The primary threats pertain to industrialization of agriculture, and urbanization. In particular the air- and water borne emission of N compounds is a constant nuisance to the seminatural areas as forests,

heath land, commons and meadows. But whereas technical and management solutions seems within reach here, the urbanization and intensification of infrastructure are apparently harder to handle.

REFERENCES

- AGGER P. (1995), «Nordic Countries: Scenario studies and nature development policies», in SCHOUTE J.F.Th. *et al.*, *Scenario studies for the rural environment*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 525-537
- AGGER P. & BRANDT J. (1999), «Strategies concerning ecological networks in Denmark - a review», in MIKLÓS L. (Ed.) (1999), *Cultural Landscapes for Ecological Networks*, Foundation UNESCO-Chair for ecological awareness, Banská Stiavnica, Slovak Republic, 100 p.
- ANKER H.T. (1997), «Biodiversity and the Importance of the Legal Framework», in ARLER F. & SVENNEVIG I. (eds.), *Cross-cultural Protection of Nature and the Environment*, Odense University Press, pp. 93-103.
- ARLER F. & SVENNEVIG I. (1997) «Studying Biodiversity and Culture in Relation to Decision Making», in UNEP, *Biodiversity - cultural and ethical aspects*
- BRANDT J. (1995), «Ecological networks in Denmark», in VAN BUUREN M. & JONGMAN R. (eds.), *Ecological networks in Europe*, Special issue of the journal «Landschap», Wageningen, pp. 63-76.
- BRANDT J. (1999), «Geography as 'landscape ecology'», *Danish Journal of Geography*, 1 (Special Issue), pp. 21-32 .
- BRANDT J., PRIMDAHL J. & REENBERG A. (1999), «Rural Land-Use and Landscape Dynamics - Analysis of 'Driving Forces' in Space and Time», in KRÖNERT R., BAUDY J., BOWLER I.R. & REENBERG A., *Land-Use Changes and their Environmental Impact in Rural Areas in Europe*, edited by The Parthenon Publishing Group, pp. 81-102.
- BRANDT J., BUNCE R. G. H. , HOWARD D.C. & PETIT S. (2002), «General principles of monitoring land cover change based on two case studies in Britain and Denmark», *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 935, pp. 1-15.
- BRANDT J. & VEJRE H. (2004), «Multifunctional landscapes – motives, concepts and perceptions», in BRANDT J. & VEJRE H. (eds.),

Multifunctional landscapes, vol.1, Theory, values and history, Witpress, pp. 3-31.

- CASPERSEN O.H. & STENSTRUP J. (1998), «GIS-based methods for rural land use analysis based on the integrated agricultural control systems IACS», *International Institute for Aerospace survey and earth sciences Journal*, 1997 (3).
- FRITZBØGER B. (1999), «Forest legislation and management in Scandinavia c. 1660-1850: Natural resources between market economy and conservation», *Jahrbuch für europäische Verwaltungsgeschichte*, 11.
- HÖLL A. & MØRCH H. (Eds.) (2002), «Landscape change and scenario studies», *Danish Journal of Geography*, 3 (special issue).
- KRISTENSEN S. P. (2003), «Multivariate analysis of landscape changes and farm characteristics in a study area in central Jutland, Denmark», *Ecological modelling*, 168 (3), pp. 303-318.
- KRISTENSEN S. P., THENAIL C. & KRISTENSEN L. (2001), «Farmers' involvement in landscape activities: An analysis of the relationship between farm location, farm characteristics and landscape changes in two study areas in Jutland, Denmark», *Journal of Environmental Management*, 61, pp. 301-318.
- KRISTENSEN S. P. & CASPERSEN O. H. (2002), «Analysis of changes in a hedgerow network landscape in central Jutland, Denmark», *Journal of Environmental Management*, 66 (2), pp. 171-183.
- KRISTENSEN L. S., THENAIL C. & KRISTENSEN S.P. (2004), «Landscape changes in agrarian landscapes in the 1990s: the interaction between farmers

and the farmed landscape. A case study from Jutland, Denmark», *Journal of Environmental Management*, 71 (3), pp. 231-244.

- NIELSEN A.L., DEBOSZ K. & SCHIERUP H.H. (1998), «Extensive grassland systems: N-mineralization, productivity and biodiversity», *Grassland Science in Europe*, 3, pp. 315-318.
- PRIMDAHL J. (1999), «Agricultural landscapes as places of production and for living in owner's versus producer's decision-making and the implications for planning», *Landscape and urban Planning*, 46 (1-3), pp. 143-150.
- PRIMDAHL J., PECO B., SCHRAMEK J., ANDERSEN E. & ONATE J. (2003), «Environmental effects of agri-environmental schemes in Western Europe», *Journal of Environmental management*, 67 (2), pp.129-138.
- SØRENSEN, ESBEN MUNK m.fl. (1999), «Analyzing current changes in farm structure in two Danish parishes: Types of farmers and their strategies», *Forest and Landscape Research*, 1, 5.
- TRESS B. (2002), «Farming and the landscape: Structures on organic and conventional farms», in BASTIAN O. & STEINHARDT U. (Eds.), *Development and perspectives in landscape ecology – Conceptions, methods, application*, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 352-361.
- TRESS G. (2002), «Tourism and the landscape: A mutual relationship», in BASTIAN O., STEINHARDT U. (Eds.), *Development and perspectives in landscape ecology – Conceptions, methods, application*, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 362-373.

(1) *Man, Landscape and Biodiversity* (1995-2001), (<http://www.forsk.dk/forskpro/menneske/index.htm>, <http://www.fsl.dk/boundaries/> <http://www.geo.rc.dk/vldb>), *Changing Landscapes, Land Use – The Farmer as Landscape Manager* (1995-2001); (<http://www.agrsci.dk/jbs/arias/>), *Sustainable land use* (1999-2000); (<http://www.smp.au.dk/smp.dk/ProgrammetsCentre/c13/c133/c133.htm>), *Changing Landscapes* (1997-2000), see <http://www.sdu.dk/Hum/ForandLand/English/Index.htm> and *The Agrarian Landscape* (1998-2003) (<http://www.forsk.dk/shf/publ/eval/agrarelandskab/> and *Man, Landscape and Biodiversity*).

Henrik Vejre
Danish Centre for Forest, Landscape and Planning
Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University
Rolighedsvej 23, 1958 FC. Denmark
hv@kvl.dk.

Jesper Brandt
Department of Geography and International Development Studies
University of Roskilde, PO Box 4000 Roskilde, Denmark

manuscript submitted in September 2003; revised in February 2004